IMPACT OF INTERACTION BETWEEN GENOTYPE AND NUTRITION ON SOME PRODUCTIVE AND IMMUNOLOGICAL PARAMETERS IN LOCAL CHICKEN STRAINS

By

EMAN SAYED OSMAN HASSAN

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agricultural Prod.), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2000M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Environmental Science), Institute of Environmental Studies and Research, Ain Shams Univ., 2009

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirements for the Degree of

in
Agricultural Sciences
(Poultry Breeding)

Department of Poultry Production Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

IMPACT OF INTERACTION BETWEEN GENOTYPE AND NUTRITION ON SOME PRODUCTIVE AND IMMUNOLOGICAL PARAMETERS IN LOCAL CHICKEN STRAINS

By

EMAN SAYED OSMAN HASSAN

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agricultural Prod.), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2000M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Environmental Science), Institute of Environmental Studies and Research, Ain Shams Univ., 2009

Under The Supervision of:

Dr. Ahmed HatemIbrahim ElAttar

Prof. Emeritus of Poultry Breeding, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Ahmed GalalElsayed

Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Ehab Ahmed Abdullah

Head Researcher of Poultry physiology, Department of Poultry Breeding, Animal Production Research Institute

Approval Sheet

IMPACT OF INTERACTION BETWEEN GENOTYPE AND NUTRITION ON SOME PRODUCTIVE AND IMMUNOLOGICAL PARAMETERS IN LOCAL CHICKEN STRAINS

By

EMAN SAYED OSMAN HASSAN

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agricultural Prod.), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2000M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Environmental Science), Institute of Environmental Studies and Research, Ain Shams Univ., 2009

This Thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Date of examination: / / 2018

Dr.Amira	Esmail El-	Dlebshany		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Prof.	ofPoultry	Breeding,	Faculty	of A	Agriculture,	Alexandria
Unive	ersity.					
Dr.Alaa H	El Din Abde	el Salam He	emid	•••••	•••••	•••••
Prof.	Emeritusof	Poultry N	Nutrition,	Facul	ty of Agric	ulture, Ain
Sham	s University	.				
Prof.	ed GalalEls of PonamsUniver	oultry Bro	eeding,	Facu	lty of	
Prof.		of Poultry			lty of Agric	

ABSTRACT

Eman Sayed Osman: Impact of Interaction between Genotype and Nutrition on some Productive and Immunological Parameters in Local Chicken strains. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2018

The main objective of this study was to investigate the effect of the genetic differences in productive performance, carcass characteristics, physiological response, antioxidant activity, immunological response and histological examination by use the natural growth promoters in diets offered to local chicken strains (Golden Montaza (GM) and Bandara (B)). A total of 300 birds (150 birds of each strain) were used from 16 to 40 weeks of age. Birds were randomly distributed into six treatments. Each treatment had 20 (female) and 5 (male) birds (25X 6X 2) that were individually caged. The first treatment of each strain served as a control group and fed the formulated basal diet without any tested feed additives. The second treatment fed the basal diet with BioPlus[®] 2B. (400gm/ton) a commercial probiotic preparation (pro. (Bio)). The third treatment birds were fed the same basal diet with the addition of TechnoMos® (500gm/ton) a prebiotic type (pre. (Tech). The fourth treatment fed the basal diet with Diamond V[®] (2.5kg/ton) a commercial prebiotic produced (pre. (Dia). The fifth treatment fed the basal diet with FORMI® NDF (2kg/ton) (organic acid (FORMI)). The sixth treatment fed the basal diet with combination of (BioPlus® 2B, (400gm/ton) + TechnoMos® (500gm/ton) + FORMI[®] NDF (1kg/ton)) combination (BTF).

The final body weight and total body weight gain for female in prebiotic groups were higher than birds fed diet supplemented with combination (BTF). The highest values were found for probiotic and organic acid (FORMI) groups in final body weight and total body weight gain for male. Egg number (egg N.) and egg mass (egg mass) were higher in Golden Montaza than Bandara. The egg N. and egg mass in probiotic

(Bio) group had the highest value and the lowest value was found for diet supplemented with organic acid (FORMI). There were significant difference between Golden Montaza and Bandara on egg quality at 30 weeks in Egg weight (EW), egg Surface area (ES) Shell % (SP), Yolk weight (YW) and Yolk index (YI) and in Shell weight (SW), Shell thickness (ST), SWUSA (SWU), Shell % (SP), Yolk weight (YW) Yolk % (YP) and Yolk index (YI) at 40 weeks. No significant differences were detected between treatments at 30 weeks in egg weight (EW), Shell weight (SW), Shell thickness (ST), egg Surface area (ES), and eggshell index (EI) and Yolk weight (YW) Yolk % (YP) Haugh unit (HU) and Yolk color (YC). Also, there were no significant differences were detected between treatments at 40 weeks for Shell thickness (ST) and Shape Index (SI) and Yolk % (YP).

The highest value was found for prebiotic (Dia.) and the lowest value was found for organic acids (FORMI) group on carcass weight%. The value highest of Spleen% was found for combination (BTF) group while the lowest value was detected for prebiotic (Dia.) group. Organic acids (FORMI) group was higher in the relative weight of Liver than that from pro (Bio) group.

No significant differences between Golden Montaza and Bandara were detected for HDL, triglycerides (TG), glucose, calcium, phosphorus, uric acid, ALT and Glutathione Peroxidase (GPX) however, total cholesterol, LDL, total protein, albumin, globulin and A/G were higher in Golden Montaza than Bandara. On other hand, total lipids (TL), triiodothyronin hormone (T3) and AST, Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAOC) and Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) were higher in Bandara than Golden Montaza. Regarding the interaction, data confirmed that there were significant interaction effect in cholesterol LDL, HDL, triglycerides (TG), total lipids (TL), glucose, calcium, phosphorus, triiodothyronin hormone (T3), uric acid, AST, total protein, albumin, globulin and A/G

between strain and supplementation of (growth promoters). However, no interaction was observed in GPT.

There were a significant increase for total cholesterol, LDL, uric acid, total protein, albumin, and globulin for organic acids (FORMI) group than the control and pro (Bio) groups. The highest value of HDL was found for Pre (Tech.) and the lowest value was found for pro (Bio) and combination (BTF) groups. The TG level the highest value was found for Pre (Dia.) group and the lowest value was found for pro (Bio). There was a significant increase in TL and A/G levels in the pro (Bio) group than the Pre (Tech) groups. The lowest values of glucose, calcium, phosphorus and T3 were found for control group than the treated groups. The highest value of glucose level was found for pro (Bio) and Pre (Dia.) groups. The highest values of calcium, phosphorus and T3 were found for combination (BTF) group. No significant differences of AST and ALT were observed between all treatments.

The highest value was found for Pre (Tech.) group and the lowest value was found for organic acid (FORMI) group in TAOC. The highest value of GPX was associated with probiotic (Bio) group while, the lowest value was found for control group. The highest value of SOD was found for Pre (Dia.) group and the lowest value was found for control group.

Heterophils/Lymphocyte ratio percentage value was significantly higher in Golden Montaza than Bandara. The highest value was found for organic acids (FORMI) group and the lowest value was found for probiotic (Bio Plus) group. The antibody titer before vaccination the highest values were attached with pre (Tech) group in comparison to pre (Dia) group. The higher values of antibody titer against Newcastle vaccine after vaccination were detected with pre (Tech.), org ((FORMI), combination (Bio, Tech and FORMI) and control groups in compare to values of either pre (Dia) or pro (Bio) groups.

The pre. (Tech.) enhanced villi height and width but the number and size of the crypts are completely different in Bandara than Golden Montaza Strain. The probiotic addition caused similar effect on both strains. Both strains respond differently to the pre. (Dia.) addition and to organic acid (FORMI) in terms of greater villi height and an increase in crypts number in Golden Montaza birds than Bandara ones.

Key words: Local strains, Probiotic, Prebiotic, Organic acid, Productive performance, Carcass characteristics, physiological response, Immunological response, Antioxidant activity, Histological examination.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to ALLAH, the most gracious, beneficent and merciful for his induced blessing to achieve goals and make them possible.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation and my deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hatem Ibrahim ElAttar** Professor of Poultry Breeding, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his counsel, close supervision, encouragement, help and interest as the author's advisor during the research study, and great help in reviewing and the preparation of the thesis.

I wish also to express my deepest appreciation and deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Galal Elsayed,** Dean of Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, great help in reviewing this manuscript and his fruitful advice during this study.

Deep thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Ehab Ahmed Abdullah,** Head Researcher of Poultry Physiology, Department of Poultry Breeding, Animal Production Research Institute, for his kind supervision, great help in writing and fruitful advice during the whole experimental period.

Special thanks and gratitude are expressed to **Prof. Dr. Ibrahim El-Wardany El-Sayed Hassan** Prof. of Poultry Physiology, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture. Ain Shams University, for his encouragement and valuable advice and his continuous help.

I wish also to express my deepest thanks to **Dr. Gamal Rayan**, Lecturer of Poultry Breeding, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, who helped me during the statistical analysis.

I am grateful to the staff members of the Department of Poultry Production, Ain Shams University, and those of the Department of Poultry Breeding, Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center for their support and help during my study. I also offer my regards and blessing to all my friends and those who assistance and supporting me in any respect during the completion of this thesis.

Special deep appreciation is given to my parents, brothers and sister for their encouragement and moral support throughout my life.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF FIGERS	VIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XII
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6
2.1. Effect of supplementations of probiotic, prebiotic, organic acid	
on Productivity performance	6
2.1.1. Live body weights and body weight gain	6
2.1.2. Egg production, feed conversion and egg quality	13
2.2. Carcass characteristics	17
2.3. Effect of supplementations of probiotic, prebiotic, organic acid	
on Physiological measurements	18
2.4. Effect of supplementations of probiotic, prebiotic, organic acid	
on antioxidants measurements	22
2.5. Effect of supplementations of probiotic, prebiotic, organic acid	
on Immunological measurements	24
MATERIALS AND METHODS	33
3.1. Experimental procedures	33
3.2. Measurements and studied traits	35
3.2.1. Productive traits	35
3.2.1.1. Body Weight	35
3.2.1.2. Weight Gain	35
3.2.1.3. Age and weight at sexual maturity	35
3.2.1.4. Egg production traits	35
3.2.1.4.1. Egg number	35
3.2.1.4.2. Average egg weight	35
3.2.1.4.3. Egg mass	35
3.2.1.5. Feed intake (consumption)	35
3.2.1.6. Feed conversion ratio	35

	Page
3.2.2. Egg quality traits	36
3.2.2.1. External egg quality	36
3.2.2.1.1. Egg weight	36
3.2.2.1.2. Shell weight (g) and Shell percent	36
3.2.2.1.3. Shell thickness	36
3.2.2.1.4. Egg shape index	36
3.2.2.2. Internal egg quality	37
3.2.2.2.1. Albumen weight and albumen percentage	37
3.2.2.2. Yolk weight and yolk percentage	37
3.2.2.2.3. Yolk index	37
3.2.2.2.4. Haugh Unit score	37
3.2.3. Slaughter characteristics	37
3.2.4. Blood Sampling	38
3.2.4.1. Physiological measurements	38
3.2.4.2. Antioxidant measurements	39
3.2.4.3. Immunological measurements	39
3.2.5. Histological measurements	40
3.3. Statistical analysis	40
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	41
4.1. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on live body	
weight and body weight gain	41
4.2. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on age and	
weight at sexual maturity	55
4.3. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on egg	
production and feed conversion	58
4.4. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on egg quality	68
4.5. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on	
characteristics of slaughter	77
4.6. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on	
Physiological measurements	81

	Page
4.7. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on antioxidants	
measurements	96
4.8. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on Leukocyte	
Count	102
4.9. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on immune	
response	106
4.9.1. Antibody titer against Newcastle disease virus	106
4.10. The effect of different nutrient supplementations on histological	
observations	110
4.10.1. Ileum histology	110
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	118
REFERENCE	124
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1	Ingredients and the chemical Composition of the	
	experimental diets.	34
2	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on live body	
	weight (g) of Golden Montaza and Bandara	
	females.	48
3	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on live body	
	weight of Golden Montaza and Bandara males.	52
4	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on body weight	
	gain (g) of Golden Montaza and Bandara females.	53
5	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on body weight	
	gain (g) of Golden Montaza and Bandara males.	54
6	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on age and weight	
	(g) at sexual maturity of Golden Montaza and	
	Bandara females.	56

Гable No.		Page
7	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on egg number of	
	Golden Montaza and Bandara.	63
8	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on egg weight(g)	
	of Golden Montaza and Bandara.	64
9	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on egg	
	productionat the experimental period (24-40 wk) of	
	Golden Montaza and Bandara.	65
10	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on feed conversion	
	ratio (%) of Golden Montaza and Bandara females.	67
11	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on externalegg	
	quality at 30 weeks of Golden Montaza and	
	Bandara.	73
12	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on internalegg	

Table No.		Page
	quality at 30 weeks of Golden Montza and Bandara.	74
13	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on externalegg	
	quality at 40 weeks of Golden Montza and Bandara.	75
14	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on internalegg	
	quality at 40 weeks of Golden Montza and Bandara.	76
15	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on slaughter	
	characteristics of Golden Montaza and Bandara	
	females.	80
16	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on lipoprotein	
	measurements at 40 weeks of Golden Montaza and	
	Bandara females.	88
17	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	
	(FORMI® NDF) and combination (Bio Plus,	
	TechnoMos and FORMI® NDF) on glucose,	
	calcium, phosphorus and T3 hormone at 40 weeks	91
	of Golden Montaza and Bandara females.	71
18	Effect of supplementations of probiotic (Bio Plus),	
	prebiotic (TechnoMos and Diamond), organic acid	93