

### Efficacy and Safety of Ultrasound Guided versus Blind Technique for Office Insertion of Intrauterine Contraceptive Device:

**A Randomised Clinical Trial** 

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in **Obstetrics and Gynecology** 

By

#### Shaimaa Saad Amin Abd El-Azeem

M.B.B.CH-2009 Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University Resident of Obstetrics and Gynecology Al Nile Hospital for Health Insurance

Supervised By

### Prof. Amro Salah Eldin Elhoussieny

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

#### **Prof. Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim**

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### **Assist. Prof. Alaa Sayed Hassanin**

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University 2018



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

### Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Amro Salah Eldin**Elhoussieny, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology,

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Prof.** Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Alaa Sayed**\*\*Thassanin\*, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help, outstanding support, active participation and guidance.

Shaimaa Saad Amin Abd El-Azeem



**To:** 

### My parents

for their endless love, support, and continuous care

> My Husband & My Family



## Tist of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	6
List of Figures	7
List of Abbreviations	11
Protocol	
Introduction	1 -
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature	5
Subjects and Methods	70
Results	87
Discussion	98
Summary	
Conclusion	107
Recommendations	108
References	109
Arabic Summary	

# List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Uterine fundus transverse diam measured in 408 nulliparous women .	
<b>Table (2):</b>	Demographic characteristics among studied groups	
<b>Table (3):</b>	Sounding status among the stugroups	
<b>Table (4):</b>	Fundal Distance (D) immediately insertion among the studied groups	
<b>Table (5):</b>	Fundal distance(D) at follow postmenstrual after one month ar the studied groups	nong
<b>Table (6):</b>	Follw up downward displacement (postmenstrual after one month arthe studied groups	nong
<b>Table (7):</b>	Complications among the studied gro	ups95
<b>Table (8):</b>	Evaluation of insertion the stugroups	

# List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (1):	Copper indomethacin intrauterine (IUD)	
Fig. (2):	The IUB <sup>TM</sup> in its free form (Left), and into the insertion tube (right)	
Fig. (3):	VeraCept	10
Fig. (4):	Essure device	11
Fig. (5):	Loading the copper T380A IUD in insertion tubing.	
Fig. (6):	Loading the copper T380A IUD in insertion tubing.	
Fig. (7):	Placing the insertion tubing contains copper T380A IUD.	-
Fig. (8):	Releasing the arms of the copper T380.	A IUD19
Fig. (9):	Advancing the insertion tubing to the where slight resistance is felt	
Fig. (10):	Holding the insertion tube stead withdrawing the white rod	
Fig. (11):	Trimming the IUD strings	20
Fig. (12):	Ensuring horizontal positioning of IUL	arms21
Fig. (13):	Loading the levonorgestrel-reintrauterine system into insertion with correct orientation of knobs at the the arms.	tubing e end of
Fig. (14):	Securing threads on the handle insertion device.	
Fig. (15):	Set the flange at the level to which the sounds	

# Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (16):	Advancing the insertion tubing to from the external cervical os	
Fig. (17):	Retracting slider and expelling the IU insertion device.	
Fig. (18):	Advancing the insertion tubing un flange is at the level of the external os	
Fig. (19):	Pulling the slider down completely to the IUD.	
Fig. (20):	Trimming the IUD strings to 3-4 cm	24
Fig. (21):	Peel the clear cover of Multiload	25
Fig. (22):	Adjustment of Multiload introducer le	ngth25
Fig. (23):	Multiload insertion	27
Fig. (24):	Loading of Nova-T	27
Fig. (25):	Adjustment of Nova-T insertion tube.	28
Fig. (26):	Nova-T insertion through cervical can	al28
Fig. (27):	Nova-T unfolding	29
Fig. (28):	Nova-T advancement to the fundus	29
Fig. (29):	Nova-T release intrauterine	29
Fig. (30):	Classification of partially perforated d	evices36
Fig. (31):	A systematic representation and transscan displaying the distance between of the intrauterine device (IUD) and of the uterine cavity	the top the end
Fig. (32):	A systematic representation and transscan displaying the intradevice+endometrium distance (IUD+F	auterine

## Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (33):	2D and 3D ultrasound showing the the uterine cavity	
Fig. (34):	A) 3D ultrasound of a T-shaped IUD mm wide uterus width; B) 3D ultra narrow uterine cavity (15 mm) widt ultrasound demonstrating g discrepancy between IUD and uterin leading to early discontinuation width	sound of h; C) 3D eometric ne cavity
Fig. (35):	A) IUD with embedded arms in the portion of the uterus; B) Displaced I both arms embedded in the cervix	UD with
Fig. (36):	3D ultrasound and hysteroscopic pic frameless copper and levonorgestrel- IUDs	releasing
Fig. (37):	<b>Pregna</b> Intra Uterine Contraceptive	Device 72
Fig. (38):	Loading the copper T380A IUD insertion tubing.	
Fig. (39):	Loading the copper T380A IUD insertion tubing.	into the 76
Fig. (40):	Placing the insertion tubing contain copper T380A IUD	_
Fig. (41):	Releasing the arms of the copper T38	0A IUD77
Fig. (42):	Advancing the insertion tubing to the where slight resistance is felt	•
Fig. (43):	Holding the insertion tube stea withdrawing the white rod	
Fig. (44):	Trimming the IUD strings	78
Fig (45).	Diagram of fundal"D" distance calcula	ation 80

### Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (46):	Transvaginal ultrasound of uterus for IUD insertion in group U showing distance (D distance) =2.5mm	fundal
Fig. (47):	Transvaginal ultrasound of uterus at up visit after one month in group U s fundal distance (D distance) =2.3mm	howing
Fig. (48):	Transvaginal ultrasound of uterus for IUD insertion in group B showing distance (D distance) =15mm	fundal
Fig. (49):	Transvaginal ultrasound of uterus for IUD insertion in group B showing distance (D distance) =2.8mm	fundal
Fig. (50):	Transvaginal ultrasound of uterus at up visit after one month showing distance (D distance) =6.3mm	fundal
Fig. (51):	CONSORT, Patient flow chart	88
Fig. (52):	Fundal Distance (D) immediately insertion among the studied groups	
Fig. (53):	Fundal distance(D) at follow postmenstrual after one month amo studied groups	ng the
Fig. (54):	Procedure duration among the groups	
Fig. (55):	Satisfaction among the studied groups.	97

# Tist of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>CPP</i>	. Chronic pelvic pain
	. Computed tomography
	. Gel Instillation Sonography
HCG	. Human Chorionic Gonadotropin
<i>IUB</i>	. Intrauterine Ball
<i>IUD</i>	. Intra Uterine Contraceptive Device
<i>IUD±ED</i>	. Intra Uterine Device-Endometrium
<i>LARC</i>	. Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptive
<i>LNG</i>	$.\ Levonorge strel$
LNG-IUS	$.\ Levonorge strel-Intrauterine\ system$
<i>MLCu</i>	. Multiload copper IUD
MLCu375	. Multiload copper375 IUD
<i>MRI</i>	. Magnetic resonance imaging
NSAIDs	. Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs
<i>PID</i>	. Pelvic Inflammatory Disease
TCu380A	. CopperT380A intrauterine device
TVU	. Trans-Vaginal Ultrasonography
VAS	. Visual Analogue Scale
WHO	. World Health Organization

### Introduction

he intrauterine device (IUD) is the most widely used reversible method of contraception currently. The estimation is that 15% of the world's women of reproductive age use it. IUDs provide a reversible and long-term method of contraception as a convenient, efficient, relatively safe and low-cost method (*Ahmadi et al.*, 2015).

Several investigators have examined the efficacy of various copper IUD devices. A Cochrane review published by Kulier et al10 in 2007, The authors concluded that the Copper T-380A was more effective in preventing pregnancy than the other devices including the Multiload 375, Multiload 250, Copper T-220, and Copper T-200 (*Kulier et al.*, 2007).

Results from household surveys including the Pan Arab Project for Family Health (PAPFAM) and the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) in six countries (Algeria, Lebanon, Morocco, Palestine, Syria, and Yemen) indicated that these countries have 1.2 million unintended births (*Farzaneh et al.*, 2009). It is estimated that 86 million unintended pregnancies every year are caused by inadequate access to family planning services (*Singh et al.*, 2010).

Unwanted pregnancies may adversely affect maternal and fetal health due to unsafe abortion (*Sciarra*, 2009), delayed antenatal care (*Tsui et al.*, 2010), adverse life outcomes for



offspring, or reduced educational opportunities and financial situation for the woman (Marston and Cleland, 2003).

Difficulties with IUD insertion, failure and complications can lead to decreasing utilization of such an effective method (Bahammondes et al., 2015). From the literature search one author identified that the incidence of IUD insertion failure was between 2.3 and 8.3 per 1000 insertions, and pain during the insertion procedure was associated with increased likelihood of IUD insertion failure. However, as this is a concomitant event it cannot be used to predict patients at high risk of an insertion failure (Farmer and Webb, 2003).

In the first year after insertion, between 5 and 15% of women will have their IUD removed because of irregular uterine bleeding which have been attributed to the effect of contact between the device and the endometrium and even the pressure on the uterine muscle. The disharmonious relationship between the IUD and the uterus is the cause of most of the bleeding complaints, so bleeding is related to improper position rather than the contraceptive method itself which should be excluded before abandoning the IUD for any other method of birth control (Kroon et al., 2003).

Ultrasonography of the pelvis and especially the transvaginal route, plays an essential role in evaluating the IUD position (Peri et al., 2007) and it's potential complications, thus is considered the gold standard for this gynaecological



condition (Nowitzki et al., 2015). Investigation of the symptomatic patient and even routine follow-up asymptomatic women with IUDs include transvaginal ultrasonography to rule out IUD malposition and other complications such as perforation, expulsion and pregnancy (Lee et al., 1997).

#### AIM OF THE WORK

o compare between ultrasound guided and blind IUD insertion technique as regards proper fundal location of IUD, incidence of complications, time consumption and patient satisfaction.

#### **Research hypothesis:**

In women undergoing IUD insertion, ultrasound guided insertion may be similar to blind insertion as regard proper site of insertion (fundal insertion).

#### **Research question:**

In women undergoing IUD insertion, does ultrasound guided IUD insertion similar to blind insertion as regard proper fundal location of IUD?