

**PRECISION AGRICULTURE USING ADVANCED
REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES
IN ARID LANDS**

By

MOHAMED MORTADA RAGAB EL SHARKAWY

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Natural Resources & Agric. Engineering), Alex. Univ., 2007

PGD Natural Resources Management, ITC, TWENTE Univ., Netherlands 2011

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Soil and Water), Damanhour University, 2013

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirements for The Degree of**

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

**in
Agricultural Sciences
(Agriculture in Desert and Salt Affected Areas)**

**Arid Land Agricultural Graduate
Studies and Research Institute
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University
2018**

Approval sheet

**PRECISION AGRICULTURE USING ADVANCED
REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES
IN ARID LANDS**

By

MOHAMED MORTADA RAGAB EL SHARKAWY

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Natural Resources & Agric. Engineering), Alex. Univ., 2007

PGD Natural Resources Management, ITC, TWENTE Univ., Netherlands 2011

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Soil and Water), Damanhour University, 2013

This thesis for PhD degree has been approved by:

Dr. Afify Abbas Afify

.....

Chief Research Emeritus, Soil, Water and Environment Research
Institute, Agricultural Research Center

Dr. Ayman Farid Abou Hadid

.....

Prof. Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Usama Ahmed El-Behairy

.....

Prof. of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Abd El-Aziz Saad Sheta

.....

Prof. Emeritus of Soil, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Date of Examination: 15 / 8 /2018

PRECISION AGRICULTURE USING ADVANCED REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES IN ARID LANDS

By

MOHAMED MORTADA RAGAB EL SHARKAWY

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Natural Resources & Agric. Engineering), Alex. Univ., 2007
PGD Natural Resources Management, ITC, TWENTE Univ., Netherlands 2011
M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Soil and Water), Damanhour University, 2013

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Abd El-Aziz Saad Sheta

Prof. Emeritus of Soil, Department of Soil Science, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal supervisor)

Dr. Osama Ahmed El-Behiery

Prof. of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Sayed Medany Arafat

Research Prof. Emeritus of Soil, National Authority for Remote
Sensing and Space Sciences

ABSTRACT

Mohamed Mortada Ragab El-Sharkawy: Precision Agriculture Using Advanced Remote Sensing Techniques in Arid Lands, Unpublished PhD thesis, Arid Land Agricultural Graduate Studies and Research Institute, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2018.

One of the most important tools for precision agriculture is remote sensing data and GIS advanced techniques. The main objectives of the current study are to establish a management zones based on various soil and nutrient maps linked to crop productivity. Monitoring plant water consumption and nutrient availability help for increasing the efficient use of water and other inputs. Two sites were selected in El-Salhiya and East of Beni-Suef to represent two regions and different management practices in irrigated desert lands. Soil samples were collected from both study areas. The collected soil samples were analyzed and nutrient availability were measured. Data obtained were used to identify different soil management zones. In order to evaluate the role of remote sensing data, Landsat satellite data (2014-2016) were selected and processed. The advanced resolution merge techniques were used to enhance the spatial resolution from 30 meters in Landsat sensors to 5 meters of Rapideye data which specially designed for precision agriculture service. In this study, image fusion using Principal Component Spectral Sharpening (PCSS) method was applied to integrate NDVI and plant water consumption calculated from Landsat satellite data. Moreover, four hyperspectral vegetation indices were calculated from satellite data.

The obtained results showed that remote sensing data with soil data analyses allowed for the identification of spatial pattern of crop growth variability. Data indicated that peanut yield was mainly affected by soil variability obtained from different spatial maps. Using the soil suitability model and a sufficient number of field observations within each class, an acceptable accuracy and good spatial distribution of the suitability classification was achieved. The empirical regression growth model was able to predict the yield across the field when the correct inputs were used, showing great potential for use in yield map prediction and interpretation in the context precision agriculture. Results of Tukey's HSD showed that Blue, Red and NIR spectral zones are more sufficient in the monitoring differences between peanut growth stages than green, SWIR-1 and SWIR-2 spectral zones. Also, there are significant correlations between varied classes productivity and spectral similarity measures, indicating that similarity between the samples' spectra decreases as the pigments concentration in the plant leaves increases, which offer as a precision agriculture tool to manage crop variations within fields that can affect crop yield. Moreover, resulting yield predictions showed a high agreement with field data with good significant correlation coefficient. From the obtained results we concluded that remote sensing spectral data with their appropriated derived indices are important source for producing various vegetation-soil models and controlling inputs based on soil and plant requirements in irrigated lands of arid climate.

Key words: Precision agriculture, Peanut, Data fusion, Hyperspectral indices; arid land, SMCE, Remote sensing and GIS.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Abdel-Aziz Saad Nasr Sheta**, Prof. Emeritus of soil in Soil Sciences Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his valuable supervision in addition to his scientific supporting and suggestions throughout this work.

In the same Soil Sciences Department, my greatest debt is to **Prof. Mohamed Saif El-Din Abd El-Wahed**, Associate Prof. of soil for his supervision, and continues supporting.

Many thank for staff members in Arid Land Agricultural Graduate Studies and Research Institute for their help; in particular **Prof. Dr. Usama Ahmed El-Behairy**, Professor of vegetables, Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, guidance and encouraging to improve this work.

I would like also to acknowledge my colleagues in faculty of Agriculture, Beni-Suef University for their support, in particular **Prof. Dr. Mostafa Mohamed Kotb** and **Prof. Dr. Adel Mohamed Goda** their guidance.

I would like to thank **NARSS** team, in particular my supervisor **Prof. Dr. Sayed Medany Arafat** for data availability, their help and continues support.

I would like to acknowledge special thanks and my gratitude to my family also; I dedicate this thesis to my family: mother, father and all family members for their support, continuous encouragement and patience during the duration of this study.

CONTENTS

| | | |
|--------|---|-----|
| | LIST OF TABLES | II |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | III |
| | ABBREVIATIONS | V |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2 | REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 3 |
| 2.1. | Precision Agriculture | 3 |
| 2.1.1. | Definition | 3 |
| 2.1.2. | History of Precision agriculture | 4 |
| 2.1.3. | Precision agriculture driving force “Spatial variability” | 7 |
| 2.1.4. | Precision agriculture benefits and Sustainability | 8 |
| 2.2. | Precision agriculture data sources | 9 |
| 2.2.1. | Soil sampling | 9 |
| | Soil evaluation using Spatial Multi Criteria Evaluation | 10 |
| 2.2.2. | (SMCE) | |
| 2.2.3. | Remote sensing applications | 12 |
| 2.2.4. | Crop yield data collection and Potential yields | 20 |
| 2.3. | Study sits characteristics and crops description | 22 |
| 2.3.1. | Peanut Pivot | 22 |
| 2.3.2. | Peanut crop importance | 25 |
| 2.3.3. | Peanut growth stages | 26 |
| 2.3.4. | Olive Farm | 28 |
| 3 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 32 |
| 3.1. | Study area description | 32 |
| 3.1.1. | Salhiya site | 32 |
| 3.1.2. | East of Beni-Suef Area | 33 |
| 3.2. | Field work | 34 |
| 3.3. | Soil analyses | 35 |
| 3.4. | Deriving of soil productivity maps | 36 |
| 3.5. | Field management practices | 39 |
| 3.5.1. | Salhiya Site | 39 |

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| 3.5.2. | East of Beni-Suef Site | 40 |
| 3.6. | Canopy reflectance measurements in Salhiya site | 41 |
| 3.7. | Satellite data collection and image processing | 43 |
| 3.8. | Deriving of calculated indices | 45 |
| 3.9. | Image fusion between actual Evapotranspiration calculated by Surface Energy Balance Algorithm for Land (SEBAL) and NDVI maps to manage field irrigation | 47 |
| 3.9.1. | CROPWAT model (based on FAO Penman-Monteith approach). | 50 |
| 3.9.2. | Climatic, crop and soil data for CROPWAT model | 50 |
| 3.9.3. | Principal Component Spectral Sharpening (PCSS) | 51 |
| 3.10. | Statistical analyses | 52 |
| 3.11. | Kriging | 54 |
| 3.12. | Flowchart of the work steps | 56 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 55 |
| 4.1. | Salhiya area | 55 |
| 4.1.1. | Soil properties | 55 |
| 4.1.1.2. | Contents of Available nutrients in the site | 66 |
| 4.1.1.3. | Management zones based on soil physical and chemical properties and available nutrients levels | 73 |
| 4.2. | East of Beni-Suef Site | 77 |
| 4.2.1. | Soil properties of East of Beni-Suef Site | 77 |
| 4.2.1.1. | Morphological, physical and chemical attributes | 77 |
| 4.2.1.2. | Amount of available nutrient elements at Beni-Suef site | 81 |
| 4.3. | Salhiya Soil characteristics at middle growth stage | 83 |
| 4.4. | Correlation between peanut yield and the values of derived indices | 86 |
| 4.5. | Correlation between peanut yield and soil characteristics | 93 |
| 4.6. | Correlation between soil characteristics and derived indices in the middle stage | 94 |
| 4.7. | Correlation between derived indices and slope at middle stage | 99 |

| | | |
|---------|---|-----|
| 4.8. | Using vegetation and moisture indices for water stress detection | 102 |
| 4.9. | Soil productivity assessment | 106 |
| 4.9.2. | Accuracy assessment of mapping peanut productivity | 107 |
| 4.10. | ANOVA and Tukey's HSD analyses of derived indices | 110 |
| 4.11. | Hyper-spectral data of peanut crop using field spectrometer | 118 |
| 4.11.1. | Correlating reflectance with physiological spectral indices | 120 |
| 4.12. | Nitrogen management zones | 121 |
| 4.13. | Estimating daily evapotranspiration: | 126 |
| 4.13.1. | Calculating ET _c using SEBAL, FAO 56-Penman-Monteith (PM) and FAO crop-water model | 126 |
| 4.13.2. | Variable irrigation rate of water consumption in the pivot area | 136 |
| 4.13.3. | NDVI and ET _c data fusion using PCSS | 136 |
| 4.14. | Conclusion and Recommendations | 141 |
| 5 | SUMMARY | 143 |
| 6 | REFERENCES | 149 |
| | APPENIX | 169 |
| | الملخص العربي | 173 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-------------------|--|----|
| Table (1) | Meteorological data for Salhyia site Chandler <i>et al.</i> (2015) | 22 |
| Table (2) | Growth stage descriptions for peanut (Boote, 1982). | 26 |
| Table (3) | Days from planting to specific reproductive growth stages for Starr and Florunner peanut cultivars (Boote, 1982). | 27 |
| Table (4) | Meteorological data for Beni-Suef site Chandler <i>et al.</i> (2015) | 29 |
| Table (5) | Soil and Climate Evaluation Criteria of peanut crop Saaty (1980); Sys <i>et al.</i> (1991) and Eastman <i>et al.</i> (1995) | 37 |
| Table (6) | Soil and Climate Evaluation Criteria for olive crop Saaty (1980); Sys <i>et al.</i> (1991) and Eastman <i>et al.</i> (1995) | 38 |
| Table (7) | Field management practices in Beni-Suef farm 2014 - 2017 | 39 |
| Table (8) | the ASD FieldSpec 4 Specifications | 40 |
| Table (9) | Landsat OLI 8 satellite data specifications | 42 |
| Table (10) | criterion weights based on ranking sum eq | 51 |
| Table (11) | Soil description of the surface layers in Salhiya site | 56 |
| Table (12) | Some of physical properties of the studied soil samples | 58 |
| Table (13) | Some soil chemical properties of the studied soil samples | 62 |
| Table (14) | Available P, K and micro nutrients (Fe, Mn and Zn) of the soil samples before plantation | 67 |
| Table (15) | Area of different units based on soil properties | 73 |
| Table (16) | Area of different soil management zones based on soil fertility | 74 |
| Table (17) | Soil profile distribution of east Beni-Suef site | 78 |
| Table (18) | Some soil physical properties of the studied soil profiles | 79 |
| Table (19) | Some soil chemical characteristics of the studied soil profiles | 80 |
| Table (20) | Amount of water and nutrient elements in Beni-Suef farm 2014 - 2017 | 81 |
| Table (21) | Some soil chemical characteristics of the studied soils at middle stage | 83 |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| Table (22) | Levels of available P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn of the studied soils at middle stage | 84 |
| Table (23) | Correlation between yield (ton/ha) and derived indices | 85 |
| Table (24) | Results of the stepwise MLR analysis for soil characteristics and correlation between model parameters and measured yield | 86 |
| Table (25) | Value of derived vegetation and moisture indices | 88 |
| Table (26) | Correlation between yield (ton/ha) and derived indice | 91 |
| Table (27) | Correlation between derived indices and ECe | 95 |
| Table (28) | Correlation between derived indices and CaCO ₃ | 95 |
| Table (29) | Correlation between derived indices and SP | 95 |
| Table (30) | Correlation between derived indices and pH | 95 |
| Table (31) | Correlation between derived indices and slope | 100 |
| Table (32) | Single regression based on NDVI of different growth stages versus NDMI | 102 |
| Table (33) | Single regression based on EVI of different growth stages versus NDMI | 102 |
| Table (34) | Confusion matrix of soil productivity output classification | 107 |
| Table (35) | Producer and user's accuracies of soil productivity output data classification | 108 |
| Table (36) | Classification accuracy assessment of different classes of peanut soil productivity | 109 |
| Table (37) | Descriptive statistics for NDVI, SAVI, Albedo, Emissivity and estimated LAI variables of peanut | 110 |
| Table (38) | Spectral indices of difference peanut growth stages | 120 |
| Table (39) | Average NDVI, slope and average reflectance at 2180 nm. | 122 |
| Table (40) | Nitrogen Indices used in the current study | 123 |
| Table (41) | Results of Nitrogen Indices used in the current study | 124 |
| Table (42) | Daily actual ET of peanut Khalifa <i>et al.</i> (2011) | 130 |
| Table (43) | Total irrigation requirements for peanut | 132 |
| Table (44) | Peanut irrigation schedule, gross irrigation and net irrigation | 133 |
| Table (45) | Total area, average seasonal evapotranspiration and total | 139 |

summer season ET for different land use classes, Salhyia
2015

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| Table (46) | Amounts and costs of phosphorus application using VRT | 140 |
| Table (47) | Amounts and costs of potassium application using VRT | 141 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|--------------------|--|----|
| Figure (1) | Delineated physiographic- soil units of the study area (regionally covered by Afify <i>et al.</i> 2010) | 14 |
| Figure (2) | Salhiya climatic elements as reflected by air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, solar radiation and average sunshine hours. | 24 |
| Figure (3) | Olive growth stages | 28 |
| Figure (4) | Beni-Suef climatic elements as reflected by air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, solar radiation and average sunshine hours | 31 |
| Figure (5) | The site (A) and situation (B) of the study area in Salhiya | 32 |
| Figure (6) | the site (A) and situation (B) of the study area in Beni- Suef | 33 |
| Figure (7) | Location of the elaborated spectra | 40 |
| Figure (8) | Whisker box (plot) components as shown in one way ANOVA test results | 52 |
| Figure (9) | Kriging (Geography, 2008) | 53 |
| Figure (10) | Flowchart of methodology applied in the current study | 54 |
| Figure (11) | Soil Texture, Saturation Percentage (SP) and CaCO_3 | 59 |
| Figure (12) | Soil classes of Texture, Saturation Percentage (SP) and CaCO_3 | 61 |
| Figure (13) | Soil ECe, pH and ESP of the study soil locations before plantation | 64 |
| Figure (14) | Soil classes of ECe, pH and ESP of the study soil locations before plantation | 65 |
| Figure (15) | Available phosphorus & potassium of the study soil locations | 68 |
| Figure (16) | Available Fe, Mn & Zn of the study soils in Salhiya Site | 69 |
| Figure (17) | Available phosphorus (P) and available potassium (K) | 71 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure (18) Different soil units based on soil factors (USDA, 2003) | 72 |
| Figure (19) Different soil units based on some soil characteristics | 75 |
| Figure (20) Total areas of final management zones map based on soil properties and soil fertility management zones | 76 |
| Figure (21) Soil sample locations and digital soil characteristics maps of pivot 22 at middle growth stage, El-Salhiya area, Ismailia governorate | 84 |
| Figure (22) Actual yield by predicted yield response and RMSE | 86 |
| Figure (23) Costume tones mapping for the spatial distribution of derived indices values of peanut crop | 89 |
| Figure (24) Costume tone mapping for the spatial distribution of derived indices values of Beni-Suef olive farm | 93 |
| Figure (25) Validation of E _{Ce} verses NDVI, SAVI, LAI, Albedo and Emissivity | 96 |
| Figure (26) Validation of CaCO ₃ verses NDVI, SAVI, LAI, Albedo and Emissivity | 96 |
| Figure (27) Validation of SP verses NDVI, SAVI, LAI, Albedo and Emissivity | 97 |
| Figure (28) Validation of pH verses NDVI, SAVI, LAI, Albedo and Emissivity | 97 |
| Figure (29) Validation of slope model verses NDVI, SAVI, LAI, Albedo and Emissivity | 100 |
| Figure (30) Validation of NDVI model based on initial growth stage (A), Middle growth stage (B) and late growth stage (C) verses NDMI | 103 |
| Figure (31) Validation of EVI model based on initial growth stage (A), Middle growth stage (B) and late growth stage (C) verses NDMI | 104 |
| Figure (32) Soil productivity of the studied pivot | 106 |
| Figure (33) Results of Statistical analysis ANOVA and grouped quantile plots of NDVI in different growth stage | 111 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| Figure (34) | Results of Statistical analysis ANOVA and grouped quantile plots of SAVI in different growth stage | 112 |
| Figure (35) | Results of Statistical analysis ANOVA and grouped quantile plots of <i>albedo</i> in different growth stage | 114 |
| Figure (36) | Results of Statistical analysis ANOVA and grouped quantile plots of Emissivity in different growth stage | 115 |
| Figure (37) | Results of Statistical analysis ANOVA and grouped quantile plots of LAI in different growth stage | 116 |
| Figure (38) | Average spectral reflectance measured at different stages | 117 |
| Figure (39) | Correlation between NDVI and Reflectance at 2180 nm | 121 |
| Figure (40) | Peanut NDVI map (17 August 2015) and slope map | 121 |
| Figure (41) | Validation of NRI calculated from Landsat 8 verses NDNI ₁₅₁₀ | 125 |
| Figure (42) | Daily evapotranspiration of Salhyia in 24 July 2015 | 127 |
| Figure (43) | Peanut daily evapotranspiration estimated using SEBAL | 128 |
| Figure (44) | Daily Actual Evapotranspiration mapping of peanut crop | 129 |
| Figure (45) | Olive daily evapotranspiration estimated using SEBAL | 131 |
| Figure (46) | Irrigation water requirements for peanut pivot | 134 |
| Figure (47) | Result of (C) the resolution merges within (A) ETc and (B) NDVI using PCSS method | 137 |
| Figure (48) | Daily Actual Evapotranspiration mapping of Olive field | 138 |