

Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering Electrical Power and Machines Department

Transient Stability Improvement of Grid Connected DFIG based on Wind Farm

M.Sc. Thesis By

Mohamed Magdy Mahmoud Mohamed

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.Sc. degree in Electrical Engineering

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. Hussien Faried El-sayied Soliman Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Hasanien Dr. El-Hussein Abbas Mahmoud

Cairo, 2018

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Name: Mohamed Magdy Mahmoud Mohamed

Thesis title: Transient Stability Improvement of Grid Connected DFIG based on

Wind Farm

Degree: Master of Science degree in electrical engineering.

Name, title and affiliation

Signature

Prof. Dr. Ashraf Mohamed Hemeida

Electrical Power Department, Faculty of Energy Engineering, Aswan University

Prof. Dr. Hossam El-din Abdullah Talaat

Electrical Power and Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hussien Faried El-sayed Soliman

Electrical Power and Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Hasanien

Electrical Power and Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

SUPERVISORS COMMITTEE

Name: Mohamed Magdy Mahmoud Mohamed

Thesis title: Transient Stability Improvement of Grid Connected DFIG based on

Wind Farm

Degree: Master of Science degree in electrical engineering.

Name, title and affiliation

Signature

Prof. Dr. Hussien Faried El-sayed Soliman

Electrical Power and Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Hasanien

Electrical Power and Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Dr. El-Hussein Abbas Mahmoud

Department of Offshore Operation
ADNOC Drilling

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University as one of the requirements for Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering.

The work in this thesis has been carried out by the author, and no part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other university or institution.

| Name: Mo | ohame | Magdy Mahmoud Mohamed |
|-----------|-------|-----------------------|
| Signature | : | |
| Date: | / | / 2018 |

RESEARCHER'S DATA

Name : Mohamed Magdy Mahmoud Mohamed

Place of birth : Baghdad – Iraq

Last academic degree : Bachelor of Electrical Engineering

Field of specialization : Electrical Power and Machines

University : Higher Technology Institute

Date of issued degree : 15/10/2012

Job : Electrical Engineer

ABSTRACT

Wind energy is playing a significant role in turning the world into a green source of energy. Therefore, the worldwide share of wind energy in the overall power capacity is soaring upwards. Lately, lots of attention has been directed towards the notion of a variable-speed wind turbine (WT) given its relatively high quality, controllability and efficiency. As a result of the rise in demand for variable speed WTs, the demand for control rises. Consequently, it is necessary to examine the wind turbine-generator systems (WTGSs) techniques that could precisely simulate the performance of the WTGS components.

To obtain a stable WTGS power output, control techniques need to be improved by taking into consideration the previously obtained WTGS models' results. The given regulation strategies consist of the grid, generator converter side controls, maximum power point tracking control and pitch angle control. The grid converter side is applied to stabilize the DC-link voltage and generate a unity power factor considering the grid-side WTGS. The regulator of the generator-side converter is capable of regulating the reactive power as well as torque. At the stator terminals, the active power reference values are delivered using the maximum power point tracking controller. The pitch-angle control is meant to limit the maximum output power equal to the rated power and only activated at high wind speeds.

In this thesis, an artificial intelligence controller is used when varying the rotor speed for getting different operating modes at sever conditions. The results of both PI and ANN controllers are then compared. The validity of the proposed model is designed through MATLAB/SIMULINK. The adaptive neural network system focuses on improving the use of wind power while connecting to the grid. Nowadays, the variable speed-pitch control Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) constructed WT with variable-scheme has become the most well-known wind energy generator. This machine can operate at different modes either when its grid-connected or standalone mode. An understanding is necessary for the modeling, control scheme, and dynamic also the stable machine state analysis in the functional modes to extract the optimal wind energy power while giving an accurate predication for its performance and behavior.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thank God, for the wisdom, knowledge and his countless blessings on me.

I would like to thank my supervisors: Prof. Dr. Hussein Faried Soliman, Prof. Dr.

Hany Mohamed Hasanien and Dr. El-Hussien Abbas Mahmoud for their continuous

guidance, support, and encouragement throughout my research study. I have learned

valuable lessons from their wisdom, carefulness, and vision. They have been wonderful

advisors to me and have left a major impact on my life. I cannot possibly list all that I

have learned from them.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to the committee

members and the professors for the time they dedicated to examining and reviewing my

work, their valuable feedback and useful suggestions which helped make this thesis a

more comprehensive one.

I am grateful for my parents, my wife and my brother, who helped me throughout

the thesis. Thank you for supporting me every day. Finally, I would like to dedicate this

work to the memory of my father.

Mohamed Magdy Mahmoud

Cairo, 2018

vii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Abstract | vi |
|--|------|
| Acknowledgment | vii |
| Table of Content | viii |
| List of Figures | xi |
| List of Tables | xvi |
| List of Abbreviations | xvii |
| List of Symbols | xix |
| Chapter 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 General | 1 |
| 1.1.1 Wind Energy History | 2 |
| 1.1.2 Wind Turbines | |
| 1.2 Constituents of a Wind Turbine Generator System (WTGS) | 8 |
| 1.2.1 WT Concepts | 10 |
| 1.2.2 WTGS Operating Regions | 12 |
| 1.3 Wind Turbine-Generator System Control Strategies | 13 |
| 1.4 Wind Turbine-Generator System Power Converter Topologies | 14 |
| 1.5 Motivation | 16 |
| 1.6 Research Objective | 17 |
| 1.7 Thesis Organization | 17 |
| Chapter 2 MODELING OF A WIND TURBINE-GENERATOR SYSTEM. | 18 |
| 2.1 General | 18 |
| 2.2 DFIG Wind Turbine Scheme. | 18 |
| 2.3 Aerodynamic Model | 19 |
| Chapter 3 <u>Doubly Fed Induction Generators (DFIG)</u> | 21 |
| 3.1 General | 21 |
| 3.2 Principles of Working | 21 |
| 3.3 DFIG Modeling. | 23 |

| 3.3.1 Electric Relations | 23 |
|---|--------------|
| 3.3.2 Mechanical Relations | 24 |
| 3.4 Dq0-reference Frame | 25 |
| 3.5 Modeling in dq0-reference Frame | 27 |
| 3.5.1 Electric Equations | 27 |
| 3.5.2 Phasor Notion | 30 |
| 2.5.3 Mechanical Equations | 30 |
| 3.6 Per-unit System | 32 |
| 3.7 Electromagnetic Brake Chopper | 34 |
| 3.7.1 Fault Ride Through | 35 |
| 3.7.2 DC-link Braking Resistor Strategy | 35 |
| Chapter 4 Effect of PI Controller Parameters on The Transient | Stability Of |
| <u>DFIG</u> | 36 |
| 4.1 General | 36 |
| 4.2 Simulink Model of Controller Scheme | 35 |
| 4.3 Results and Discussion. | 37 |
| 4.3.1 Speed Controller | 38 |
| 4.3.2 Current Controller | 39 |
| 4.4 Case Studies | 40 |
| 4.4.1 First Case Study | 41 |
| 4.4.2 Second Case Study | 44 |
| 4.4.3 Third Case Study | 47 |
| 4.4.4 Fourth Case Study | 50 |
| Chapter 5 Effect of ANN Controller Parameters on The Transic | |
| <u>DFIG</u> | 58 |
| 5.1 General | 58 |
| 5.2 Conventional Controllers | 58 |
| 5.3 Main Idea of the Artificial Neural Network | 59 |
| 5.4 Artificial Neural Network (ANN) | 60 |
| 5.4.1 Advantages of ANN | 60 |
| 5.4.2 Disadvantages of ANN | 60 |
| 5.4.3 The Neuron Model | 61 |

| 5.4.4 Feed Forward Network | 61 |
|--|----|
| 5.4.5 The Activation Function | 62 |
| 5.4.6 The Generalized Delta Rule | 64 |
| 5.4.7 The Back-Propagation Algorism | 65 |
| 5.4.8 The On-Line Back Propagation | 66 |
| 5.5 Results and Discussion | 66 |
| 5.5.1 Speed Controller | 66 |
| 5.5.2 Current Controller | 67 |
| 5.6 Case Studies | 68 |
| 5.4.1 First Case Study | 68 |
| 5.4.2 Second Case Study | 74 |
| 5.4.3 Third Case Study | 79 |
| Chapter 6 Conclusions and Suggested Future Wok | 84 |
| 6.1 Conclusion. | 84 |
| 6.2 Recommendations for Future Research | 84 |
| References | 85 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1: WECS grid-connected components |
|---|
| Figure 1.2: Heron's wind-powered organ |
| Figure 1.3: The Persian, horizontal windmill |
| Figure 1.4: Instances of VAWT (a, b, and c)5 |
| Figure 1.5: Instances of HAWT6 |
| Figure 1.6: WTGS components |
| Figure 1.7: Power curve of a variable speed wind turbine |
| Figure 1.8: Doubly-fed induction generator |
| Figure 1.9: Full-scale converter generator |
| Figure 1.10: Squirrel cage IG |
| Figure 2.1: DFIG WT scheme |
| Figure 3.1: Schematic presentation of DFIG and its converters |
| Figure 3.2: Relationship between the synchronous (thick), rotor (dashed) and stator (thin) reference frames |
| Figure 3.3: DFIG equivalent circuit |
| Figure 3.4: Schematic Diagram of full converter wind turbine with dc-brake chopper.34 |
| Figure 4.1: Single line diagram of studied system |
| Figure 4.2: Speed Controller Outer Loop |
| Figure 4.3: Rotor Current Regulator Controller Inner Loop |
| Figure 4.4: Simulation result of DC link voltage at full load when changing DC link voltage controller parameters of PI |

| Figure 4.5: Simulation result of active power at full load when changing DC link |
|---|
| voltage controller parameters of PI42 |
| Figure 4.6: Simulation result of reactive power at full load when changing DC link voltage gain controller parameters of PI |
| Figure 4.7: Simulation result of terminal voltage at full load when changing DC link voltage controller parameters of PI |
| Figure 4.8: Simulation result of Active Power response at full load with varying rotor current regulator PI controller gains |
| Figure 4.9: Simulation result of reactive Power response at full load with varying the rotor current regulator PI controller gains |
| Figure 4.10: Simulation result of DC linkage voltage response at full load when varying the rotor current regulator PI controller gains |
| Figure 4.11: Simulation result of terminal voltage response at full load when varying the rotor current regulator PI controller gains |
| Figure 4.12: Simulation result of Active Power at full load when changing the rotor current regulator PI Controller parameters |
| Figure 4.13: Simulation result of reactive Power at full load when changing the rotor current regulator PI Controller parameters |
| Figure 4.14: Simulation result of DC link voltage at full load when changing the rotor current regulator PI Controller parameters |
| Figure 4.15: Simulation result of terminal voltage at full load when changing the rotor current regulator PI Controller parameters |
| Figure 4.16: Simulation result of active Power using brake chopper when varying DC link voltage PI controller gains |
| Figure 4.17: Simulation result of reactive power impact using brake chopper when changing DC link voltage PI controller gains51 |
| Figure 4.18: Simulation result of DC link voltage using brake chopper when changing its PI controller gains |
| Figure 4.19: Simulation result of terminal voltage using brake chopper when changing DC link voltage PI controller gains |

| regulator PI Controller gains and using brake chopper | |
|--|----|
| Figure 4.21: Simulation result of reactive Power at full load with varying rotor curre regulator PI Controller gains and using brake chopper | |
| Figure 4.22: Simulation result of DC link voltage at full load when changing the rote current regulator PI Controller gains and using brake chopper | |
| Figure 4.23: Simulation result of terminal voltage at full load when changing the rote current regulator PI Controller gains and using brake chopper5 | |
| Figure 4.24: Simulation result of Active Power using brake chopper when varying rotor current regulator PI Controller gains | 56 |
| Figure 4.25: Simulation result of reactive Power using brake chopper when varying rotor current regulator PI Controller gains | |
| Figure 4.26: Simulation result of DC link voltage using brake chopper when varying rotor current regulator PI Controller gains | |
| Figure 4.27: Simulation result of terminal voltage using brake chopper with varying rotor current regulator PI Controller gains | |
| Figure 5.1: The simplest representation of the neuron. | 59 |
| Figure 5.2: Feedforward artificial neural network | 62 |
| Figure 5.3: Depicts the shape of the sigmoid logistic function | 63 |
| Figure 5.4: The tangent (tanh) sigmoid activation function | 63 |
| Figure 5.5: Multi-layer feed forward ANN | 64 |
| Figure 5.6: Simulation result of active power at full load with brake chopper when changing the learning rate and fixed number of neurons in hidden layer .6 | 69 |
| Figure 5.7: Simulation result of reactive power at full load with brake chopper when | l |
| changing the learning rate and fixed number of neurons in hidden | |
| layer | 70 |
| Figure 5.8: Simulation result of DC link voltage at full load when changing the | |
| learning rate and fixed number of neurons in hidden layer with using | |
| brake chopper | 70 |

| Figure 5.9: S | Simulation result of terminal voltage at full load with brake chopper when |
|---------------|---|
| | changing the learning rate and fixed number of neurons in hidden layer71 |
| Figure 5.10: | Simulation result of active power at full load with brake chopper when changing the number of neurons in hidden layer and fixed learning rate |
| Figure 5.11: | Simulation result of reactive power at full load with brake chopper when changing the number of neurons in hidden layer and fixed learning |
| Figure 5.12: | rate |
| | rate |
| Figure 5.13: | Simulation result of terminal voltage at full load with brake chopper when changing the number of neurons in hidden layer and fixed learning rate |
| Figure 5.14: | Simulation result of active Power full load response with using the traditional PI controller vs ANN Controller74 |
| Figure 5.15: | Simulation result of reactive Power full load impact with using the traditional PI controller vs ANN Controller |
| Figure 5.16: | Simulation result of DC voltage full load impact with using the traditional PI controller vs ANN Controller |
| Figure 5.17: | Simulation result of terminal Voltage full load impact with using the traditional PI controller vs ANN Controller76 |
| Figure 5.18: | Simulation result of active Power response with half load with using the traditional PI controller vs ANN Controller |
| Figure 5.19: | Simulation result of reactive Power response at half load with using the traditional PI controller vs ANN Controller |
| Figure 5.20: | Simulation result of DC voltage impact at half loaded with using the traditional PI controller vs ANN Controller |
| Figure 5.21: | Simulation result of terminal voltage impact at half loaded with using the traditional PI controller vs ANN Controller |
| Figure 5.22: | Simulation result of active power at full load for the traditional PI controller with the ANN controller and using brake chopper79 |
| Figure 5.23: | Simulation result of reactive power at full load for the traditional PI controller with the ANN controller and using brake chopper80 |

| Figure 5.24: Simulat | ion result of DC link voltage at full load for the traditional PI | |
|----------------------|--|----|
| controll | ler with the ANN controller and using brake chopper | 80 |
| Figure 5.25: Simulat | ion result of terminal voltage at full load for the traditional PI | |
| controll | ler with the ANN controller and using brake chopper | 81 |
| Figure 5.26: Simulat | ion result of active power at half loading for the traditional PI | |
| controll | ler with the ANN controller and using brake chopper | 82 |
| Figure 5.27: Simulat | ion result of reactive power at half loading for the traditional PI | |
| controll | ler with the ANN controller and using brake chopper | 82 |
| Figure 5.28: Simulat | ion result of DC link voltage at half loading for the traditional P | ľ |
| controll | ler with the ANN controller and using brake chopper | 83 |
| Figure 5.29: Simulat | ion result of terminal voltage at half loading for the traditional F | PI |
| controll | ler with the ANN controller and using brake chopper | 83 |