



**Ain Shams University**  
**Faculty of Women for Arts Science and Education**  
**Department of English Language and Literature**

**A Critical Discourse Analysis of Selected  
Speeches of Three Egyptian Leaders: Saad  
Zaghoul, Mohamed Nageeb and Hosny Mubark**

M.A dissertation in Linguistics

Submitted of the Department of English Language  
and Literature

Faculty of Women for Arts Science and Education

Ain Shams University

By

**Shadia Aly Hasab El-Nabi**

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Ali Gamal El-Deen Ezzat**

Professor of Linguistics

Faculty of Education

Ain Shams University

**Dr. Neveen Mohamed Yehia Allam**

Lecturer of Linguistics

Faculty of Women for Arts Science and  
Education

Ain Shams University

**2018**

## **Abstract**

Language is a powerful means which shapes politics. Both of them interact and depend upon one another. Hence, the present research examines the linguistic usage of the political speeches of three Egyptian leaders during the three revolutions, to clarify their domination of their people's mind. It applies the analytical tools of Wodak's Discourse Historical Approach (DHA) within Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) and Systemic Functional Grammar (SFG). After comparing the three speeches, the differences become clear. The results reveal that, on the one hand, the first two speeches of Saad Zaghloul and Mohamed Nageeb are declared for the sake of the people's freedom. They utilize certain discursive strategies to convince the public of their ideas, and this appears vividly in their lexical and grammatical choices. On the other hand, the results show that the third speech of Mubarak is declared to impose his ideologies. He uses manipulative discursive strategies in an attempt to control and dominate his people and influence their opinion and knowledge. In the first two speeches the people encourage their leaders, while, in the case of Mubarak, they insist on his stepping down.

*Keywords:* Systemic Functional Grammar (SFG), Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA), Wodak's Discourse Historical Approach (DHA), Discursive Strategies

# **Acknowledgements**

## **In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious**

First of all, I would like to express my deepest feeling of gratitude to Allah, the most merciful, who helped me to finalize this piece of work.

I would like to acknowledge my greatest appreciation to my supervisors. No words can express my deepest gratitude to Professor Ali Ezzat for his great guidance, advice and consideration in the process of completing this dissertation.

I would also wish to extend my sincere appreciation and utmost gratitude to Dr. Heba Ibrahim for her great support, her enormous help, and her valuable suggestions that helped me to improve on the study.

I owe my deep sense of gratitude to Dr. Neveen Allam for her kindness, help and concern. She was always there when I needed her.

Special gratitude is due to my parents. I also wish to thank my husband and my two daughters Farida and Layan for their patience, support and help.

<b>Abstract</b>	i
<b>Acknowledgment</b>	ii
<b>Table of content</b>	iii
<b>List of abbreviation</b>	X
<b>List of tables</b>	Xi
<b>List of figures</b>	Xiii
<b>Introduction</b>	1
0.1. Preamble	1
0.2. Objectives of the study	2
0.3. Significance of the study	3
0.4. Research Assumption	4
0.5. Research Questions	4
0.6. Data Sources	5
0.7. Method of Research and Procedures	5
0.8. Chapterization	6
<b>Chapter One: Literature Review</b>	
<b>Section One: Critical Discourse Analysis</b>	8
<b>1.1.1. Introduction</b>	8
<b>1.1.2. Discourse</b>	8
1.1.2.1. Discourse and Text	10
<b>1.1.3. Discourse Analysis</b>	11
1.1.3.1. Subfields of Discourse Analysis	13
1.1.3.2. Methods of Discourse Analysis	16
<b>1.1.4. Critical Discourse Analysis</b>	17

1.1.4.1. Discourse Manipulation	21
1.1.4.2. CDA and the Notion of Ideology	22
1.1.4.3. Different Approaches to CDA	25
1.1.4.3.1. Fairclough's approach to CDA	25
1.1.4.3.2. Ruth Wodak's approach to CDA	27
1.1.4.3.3. van Dijk's approach to CDA	28
1.1.4.4. (CDA) and (SFL)	30
<b>Section Two: Systemic Functional Grammar</b>	
<b>1.2.1. Introduction (Functional Grammars and Formal Grammars)</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>1.2.2. Systemic Functional Grammar</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>1.2.2.1. The systems of language</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>1.2.2.2. The functions of language: The three Metafunctions</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>1.2.2.2.1. The Grammar of interpersonal meta-function</b>	<b>36</b>
1.2.2.2.1.1. Mood Structure & Modality	37
<b>1.2.2.2.2. The Grammar of ideational meta-function</b>	<b>39</b>
1.2.2.2.2.1. Transitivity System	40
1.2.2.2.2.2. The logical meaning: Clause complex	43
<b>1.2.2.2.3. The Grammar of textual meta-function</b>	<b>44</b>
1.2.2.2.3.1. Thematic Structure	44

1.2.2.2.3.2. Cohesion	47
<b>Chapter Two: Theoretical Framework</b>	
<b>2.1. Introduction: Wodak's view of "DHA"</b>	51
<b>2.2. The main principles that characterize DHA</b>	54
<b>2.3. DHA and the idea of "ideology", "power", and "critique"</b>	56
<b>2.4. Definition of terms in DHA</b>	58
<b>2.5. DHA and the principle of triangulation</b>	62
<b>2.6. The DHA in eight steps</b>	65
2.6.1. Step (1): Activation and consultation of preceding theoretical knowledge	64
2.6.2. Step (2): Systemic collection of data and context information	65
2.6.3. Step (3): Selection and preparation of data for specific analyses	66
2.6.4. Step (4): Specification of the research question and formulation of assumption	67
2.6.5. Step (5): Qualitative pilot analysis	67
2.6.6. Step (6): Detailed Case Study	68
2.6.7. Step (7): Formulation of critique	68
2.6.8. Step (8): Application of the detailed analytical results	68
<b>2.7. The Discursive Strategies</b>	69
2.7.1. The nomination/Referential Strategy	71

2.7.2. The predication Strategy	71
2.7.3. The argumentation strategy	72
2.7.3. The argumentation strategy	72
2.7.4. The perspectivization Strategy	72
2.7.5. The intensifying Strategy	73
<b>2.8. Discursive strategies as applied to various levels of discourse analysis</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>Part I Semantic Analysis</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>2.8.1. Semantics</b>	<b>75</b>
2.8.1.1. Collocations	76
2.8.1.2. Anthroponomy	76
2.8.1.3. Deictic	77
2.8.1.4. Vague expressions	78
<b>Part II Lexical Analysis</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>2.8.2. Lexicon</b>	<b>78</b>
2.8.2.1. Fallacy	79
2.8.2.2. Topoi	80
<b>Part III Syntactic Analysis</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>2.8.3. Syntax</b>	<b>82</b>
2.8.3.1. Indirect Speech acts (Question instead of assertion)	84
2.8.3.2. Question Tags	85
2.8.3.3. Quotation Marks	85

2.8.3.4. Diminutive	86
<b>Part IV Rhetorical Analysis</b>	86
<b>2.8.4. Rhetorical Figures</b>	86
2.8.4.1. Hyperboles	87
2.8.4.2. Euphemism	87
2.8.4.3. Metonymy	88
2.8.4.4. Synecdoche	89
2.8.4.5. Litotes	90
2.8.4.6. Metaphors and Similes	91
2.8.4.7. Allusion	92
<b>2.9. Previous studies of the political Speeches of different presidents</b>	93
<b>2.10. Studies utilizing the Discourse Historical Approach</b>	100
<b>2.11. The present Study</b>	101
<b>Chapter Three: Practical Analysis</b>	
<b>3.0. Introduction</b>	103
<b>3.1. The criteria of the analysis</b>	103
<b>3.1.1. Part One: Syntactic and Semantic Analysis</b>	111
3.1.1.1. Syntactic Analysis	111
3.1.1.1.1. Deictic pronouns	111
3.1.1.1.2. Quotation Marks	116

3.1.1.1.3. Direct and indirect speech	117
3.1.1.1.4. Relative clauses	118
3.1.1.1.5. Adjectives	121
3.1.1.1.6. Prepositional phrases	126
<b>3.1.1.2. Semantic Analysis</b>	131
3.1.1.2.1. Discursive construction of social actors	131
3.1.1.2.2. Discursive construction of objects/ phenomena/ events	137
3.1.1.2.3. Discursive construction of processes and actions	142
<b>3.1.2. Part Two: Argumentative and Rhetorical Analysis:</b>	146
<b>3.1.2.1. Argumentative Analysis: Topoi</b>	146
3.1.2.1.1. Topos of advantage / usefulness	146
3.1.2.1.2. Topos of danger and threat	149
3.1.2.1.3. Topos of responsibility	152
3.1.2.1.4. Topos of Law and right	156
3.1.2.1.5. Topos of Justice	160
3.1.2.1.6. Topos of History	162
<b>3.1.2.2. Rhetorical Analysis</b>	164
3.1.2.2.1. Metaphor	164
3.1.2.2.2. Hyperboles	167
Findings and Conclusion	171

References

175

Appendices

**List of abbreviations:**

SFG: Systemic Functional Grammar

CDA: Critical Discourse Analysis

DHA: Discourse Historical Approach

**List of tables:**

Table 1	38
Table 2	46
Table 3	47
Table 4	47
Table 5	114
Table 6	115
Table 7	116
Table 8	118
Table 9	125
Table 10	126
Table 11	127
Table 12	130
Table 13	131
Table 14	132
Table 15	134
Table 16	136
Table 17	136
Table 18	137
Table 19	138
Table 20	140
Table 21	141
Table 22	142

Table 23	143
Table 24	144
Table 25	145
Table 26	146
Table 27	146
Table 28	147
Table 29	147

**List of Figures:**

Figure 1	62
Figure 2	66
Figure 3	72

## **Introduction**

### **0.1. Preamble**

Language is a tool of expressing meaning, so whenever it is used, it conveys a message. The importance of language for man and society cannot be minimized. Society is a web of social relationships which imply development of social contacts. Shelly Shah (2016) states that language has simplified the conveyance of ideas and smoothed social contacts. This form of communication is the most important part of man's being; people connect effectively with their words, gestures and tone of voice. In addition, language reflects the character, identity, and personal feelings of the speaker whether he is happy, sad or angry (pp. 1-4). Overwhelmed by these feelings, the speaker chooses his words, which are also affected by his own ideology.

One of the most vital fields in which language is used is politics. Fatih Bayram (2010) states that "Politics is a struggle for power in order to put certain political, economic and social ideas into practice" (p. 24). From this perspective, language plays an important role, because every political action is played, influenced and accompanied by language. For a leader, who wants to have his domination over his country, must first of all dominate people's thoughts and ideas. That is why many linguists' concern is to analyze political discourses within the framework of Critical Discourse Analysis.

In fact, powerful speakers can control the minds of their recipients. van Dijk (2006) states that Critical Discourse Analysis