

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات




يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار






بالرسالة صفحات
لم ترد بالأصل





بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



DOSE INTENSIFICATION IN CEREBRAL GLIOMA: USE OF IMAGING IN RESPONSE EVALUATION

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of
M.D. Degree in
Radiation Oncology & Nuclear Medicine



By

Dina Ragab Diab

M.B., B. Ch. M. Sc.

67980

أ. د. ر. دياب


أ. د. ر. دياب
م. د. ر. دياب

615.842
D. R.

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Hassan Kamel Awwad

Prof. of Radiation Oncology & Nuclear Medicine
National Cancer Institute



Prof. Dr. Soheir Sayed Ismail


Prof. of Radiation Oncology & Nuclear Medicine
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Alaa El-Din Moheb Fakhr

Professor of Neurosurgery
Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

2002



Acknowledgement

I was fortunate to carry out this work under the guidance of Prof. Dr. Hassan Awaad, Professor of Radiation Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, NCI-Cairo University. I learned a lot from him both practically and scientifically. I would like to express my profound gratitude and sincere appreciation for his great help, continuous support and warm advice, without his help, this work would not come into existence.

I would like to express my deep thanks and sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Soheir Sayed Ismail, Professor of Radiation Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Ain Shams University for her guidance, valuable support, precious instructions and encouragement throughout this work.

I owe special gratefulness and much regards to Prof. Dr. Alaa Fakhri El-Din, Professor of Neurosurgery, Ain Shams University, for his limitless help, valuable advice, guidance and encouragement during the preparation of the thesis.

I thank all professors in Radiation Therapy Department, all my colleagues for their sincere help and cooperation during the accomplishment of this work.

Lastly my deep gratitude goes to Dr. Ehab Khalil, Assistant lecturer of Radiation Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, NCI, Cairo University. I thank him for his help, guidance, patience and advice during the accomplishment of this work.

Dina Ragab

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
Aim of work	
Part I : Introduction and Review of Literature	1
Part II: Methods and Materials	55
Part III: Results	68
Part IV: Discussion	101
Summary and Conclusion	110
References	113
Arabic Summary	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

^{60}Co	Cobalt 60
AA	Anaplastic astrocytoma
AJCC	American Joint Committee on Cancer
BCNU	Carmustine
BMRC	British Medical Research Council
BNCT	Boron Neutron Capture Therapy
BTCG	Brain Tumour Cooperative Group
BUDR	Bromodeoxyuridine
CCUU	Comustine
CD	Cytosine deaminase
CF	Conventional Fractionation.
CT	Computed - Tomography
CTV	Clinical Tumour Volume
ECOG	Eastern cooperative oncology group
FSRT	Fractionated Stereotactic Radiotherapy
GBM	Glioblastoma multiforme
GFAB	Glial fibrillary acidic protein
GKS	Gamma Knife System
GTV	Gross Tumour Volume
Gy Eq	Gray Equivalent
Gy	Gray
HF	Hyperfractionation
HSVtk	Herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase
IUDR	Iododeoxyuridine

KPS	Karnofsky Performance Status
linac	Linear accelerator
Mev	Megaelectron volt
MoAb	Monoclonal antibody
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
NCOG	Northern California Oncology Group
NO ₂	Nitro Group
ORR	Overall response rate
PVT	Planned tumour volume
RTOG	Radiotherapy Oncology Group
SEBI	Sterotactic external-beam irradiation
SGSG	Scandinavian Glioma Study Group
SRS	Seterotactic Radiosurgery
SWOG	South Western Oncology Group
SSD	Source Skin Distance
TF	Tissue factor
TGF-B	Transforming growth factor
TNM	Tumour Node Metastasis
UCSF	University of California San Francisco
WHO	World Health Organization

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
I.1	Astrocytoma subtypes	2
I.2	Histopathological classification of fibrillary astrocytic neoplasms	3
I.3	The WHO grading system for gliomas	5
II.1	Karnofsky index of performance status	63
II.2	The TNM staging system	64
II.3	Acute radiation morbidity scoring criteria (RTOG)	65
II.4	Late radiation morbidity scoring scheme (RTOG, EORTC)	66
III.1	Patients characteristics in the HF and CF groups	68
III.2	Distribution of the 46 patients according to age	70
III.3	Distribution of the 46 patients according to the TNM AJCC.	75
III.4	The overall response according to age, gender and PS	79
III.5	The relation of overall response rate to the TNM stage	80
III.6	Overall response according to histopathological grade	82
III.7	The relationship between the extent or type of surgery and the overall response	83
III.8	The relation between the tumour site and the overall response rate	84
III.9	The relationship between radiotherapy schedule and the overall response rate	85
III.10	Response rates in the two radiotherapy arms according to tumour grade	86
III.11	Acute and late toxicities of the HF and CF regimens	91

