

حسام مغربي



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



حسام مغربي



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



حسام مغربي



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



خسار مغربي



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



حسام مغربي



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



B 1019.

**QUALITY OF LAMINATED VENEER LUMBER
(LVL) PRODUCED FROM TWO HARDWOODS
AS AFFECTED BY VENEER THICKNESS AND
NUMBER OF PLIES**

Thesis

Presented to the Graduate School

Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Forestry and Wood Technology

By

Gaber Refai EL-Sayed Kayad

3/ 2002

Advisors Committee:

Prof. Dr. O.A. Badran

Prof. of Forestry and Wood Technology,
Faculty of Agriculture,
Alexandria University.

Prof. Dr. M.M. Megahed

Prof. of Forestry and Wood Technology,
Faculty of Agriculture,
Alexandria University.

Dr. M.M. EL-Morshedy

Associate Prof. of Forestry and Wood Technology,
Horticulture Research Institute
Agriculture Research Center.

**QUALITY OF LAMINATED VENEER LUMBER
(LVL) PRODUCED FROM TWO HARDWOODS AS
AFFECTED BY VENEER THICKNESS AND
NUMBER OF PLIES**

Presented by
Gaber Refai EL-Sayed Kayad
For the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In
Forestry and Wood Technology

Examiners' Committee

Prof. Dr. Mostafa E. Shehata

Prof. of Structural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Alexandria University

Approved

M. E. Shehata

Prof. Dr. Hamed I. EL-Mousli

Prof. of Production Engineering, and
Head of Center for Development of
Small- Scale Industries and
Local Technologies
Faculty of Engineering
Ain-Shams University

Hamed I. EL-Mousli

Prof. Dr. Megahed M. Megahed

Prof. of Forestry and Wood Technology
Faculty of Agriculture
Alexandria University

M. M. Megahed

Date: 4/3/2002

ABSTRACT

This work was initiated to study the quality of laminated veneer lumber (LVL) produced from veneer of two hardwoods, namely, casuarina (*Casuarina glauca*) and poplar (*Populus sp.*) and their mixture, as affected by number of plies, veneer thickness and combination of different veneer thickness.

Veneer of three thickness 1,2 and 3 mm were prepared from each species. These veneer were used for producing LVL panels from one thickness, from mixture of two thickness (1 and 2 mm or 1 and 3 mm) and from the three thickness together. Commercial urea-formaldehyde (U.F) was used as adhesive by applying hot pressure of 12 kg/cm^2 at 100°C for 20 minutes.

Three combinations were made from veneer of homogenous thickness (1 or 2 or 3 mm veneer thickness). Three arrangements were made from mixing 1 and 2 mm and four arrangements from mixing 1 and 3 mm. Meanwhile, four arrangements were made from mixing 1,2 and 3 mm and one arrangement from mixing 2 and 3 mm veneer.

For this study, five panels were produced for each arrangement from each species and from their mixtures. The nominal dimensions of produced panels were 18 mm in thick by 300 mm for both width and length.

The mechanical properties of the panels were evaluated in flatwise bending properties ($\text{MOR}_f, \text{MOE}_f$), edgewise bending properties ($\text{MOR}_e, \text{MOE}_e$), maximum crushing strength parallel to board axis (C_{max}) and gluing shear

strength (SH). In addition, water absorption (WA), thickness swelling (TS), compressibility ratio ($COMP_{ratio}$) and specific gravity (G) were determined.

A completely randomized design with five repetitions was used to estimate the role of each factor. The significant differences between the means of each studied parameter were determined depending on the least significant differences method ($L.S.D_{0.05}$).

The results indicated that veneer type (Species) significantly affects the mechanical and physical properties of LVL panels. Each species behaved differently according to the thickness and number of plies and methodology of mixing the different thickness for producing LVL panels.

LVL panels made from poplar veneer showed higher bending properties either in the flatwise or edgewise than LVL panels made from casuarina. The three LVL types exhibited weaker strength in edgewise bending (MOR_e) than in flatwise bending (MOR_f) and stiffer strength in edgewise bending (MOE_e) than in flatwise bending (MOE_f). The results indicated that variability in edgewise bending properties was less than variability in flatwise bending, except for modulus of elasticity of poplar LVL.

The results of the mechanical testing indicated that panels produced from homogenous veneer thickness with 1 mm were more suitable for casuarina LVL than panels made from 2 or 3 mm veneer thickness. However, poplar panels made from 2 or 3 mm veneer thickness were more suitable than panels made from 1 mm veneer thickness. The mixing between 1 and 3 mm veneer thickness mostly gave higher means than mixing between 1 and 2 mm veneer thickness.

The arrangements with high number of plies recorded higher mean values of mechanical properties than the arrangements of lower number of plies.

The results showed that casuarina LVL properties were affected by changes in number of plies and veneer thickness combinations, whereas poplar and mixed LVL were mostly affected by changes in number of plies than changes in veneer thickness combinations.

As for dimensional stability properties, results indicated that poplar LVL have higher mean values of water absorption (WA), thickness swelling (TS), compressibility ratio ($COMP_{ratio}$) than casuarina LVL, whereas casuarina LVL had higher specific gravity than poplar LVL. Moreover, it is obvious from the results of homogenous panels that WA and TS increase by increasing veneer thickness, while $COMP_{ratio}$ and G decrease by increasing veneer thickness. The physical parameters studied were more sensitive to the changes in number of plies than changes in veneer thickness combinations. Also, mixing veneer of the two species in manufacturing LVL panels gave some improvement to WA and TS for the product than panels produced from poplar veneer only.

In general, the results showed that most mechanical properties and dimensional stability treatments are in range of these values in the available specifications.

From these results, it can be concluded that LVL can be produced in Egypt with proper properties by using veneer from timber alone or mixed with veneer waste of poplar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	I
ABSTRACT.....	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	V
LIST OF TABLES.....	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	X
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	XII
1- INTRODUCTION.....	1
2- LITERATURE REVIEW.....	5
2.1. Possibility of Producing Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL) from Hardwoods.....	5
2.2. Effect of Veneer Types on Properties of LVL.....	9
2.2.1 Mechanical Properties.....	9
2.2.1.1 Bending Strength.....	9
2.2.1.2 Compression Strength.....	24
2.2.1.3 Shear Strength.....	26
2.2.2 Physical Properties.....	30
2.2.2.1 Dimensional Stability.....	30
2.2.2.2 Compressibility Test.....	32
2.2.2.3 Specific Gravity.....	35
2.3. Effect of Veneer Thickness and Number of Plies on the Properties of LVL.....	38
2.4. Effect of Mixing Different Veneer Types on Properties of LVL.....	43
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	50
3.1 Raw Materials.....	50
3.2 Veneer Preparation.....	50
3.3 Manufacture of LVL Panels.....	51
3.3.1 Veneer Arrangement and Gluing	51
3.3.2 Pressing Process	52
3.4 Preparation of Test Specimens.....	52
3.5 Determination of LVL Properties.....	53
3.5.1 Mechanical Properties.....	53
3.5.1.1 Flatwise Bending Test.....	53
3.5.1.2 Edgewise Bending Test.....	54
3.5.1.3 Compression Test.....	55
3.5.1.4 Gluing Shear Test.....	55
3.5.1.5 Percentage of Wood Failure.....	57

3.5.2 Physical Properties.....	57
3.5.2.1 Water Absorption.....	57
3.5.2.2 Thickness Swelling.....	59
3.5.2.3 Compressibility Ratio.....	60
3.5.2.4 Specific Gravity.....	61
3.6 Statistical Analysis.....	62
4- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	63
4.1 Mechanical Properties of LVL.....	64
4.1.1 Effect of Veneer Type on Mechanical Properties of LVL.....	64
4.1.1.1 Effect of Veneer Type on Flatwise Bending Modulus of Rupture	64
4.1.1.2 Effect of Veneer Type on Flatwise Bending Modulus of Elasticity	66
4.1.1.3 Effect of Veneer Type on Edgewise Bending Modulus of Rupture.....	67
4.1.1.4 Effect of Veneer Type on Edgewise Bending Modulus of Elasticity	69
4.1.1.5 Effect of Veneer Type on Maximum Crushing Strength	70
4.1.1.6 Effect of Veneer Type on Shear Strength and Percentage Wood Failure	72
4.1.2 Effect of Number of Plies on the Mechanical Properties of LVL.....	75
4.1.2.1 Effect of Number of Plies on Flatwise Bending Modulus of Rupture.....	76
4.1.2.2 Effect of Number of Plies on Flatwise Bending Modulus of Elasticity.....	77
4.1.2.3 Effect of Number of Plies on Edgewise Bending Modulus of Rupture.....	78
4.1.2.4 Effect of Number of Plies on Edgewise Bending Modulus of Elasticity.....	79
4.1.2.5 Effect of Number of Plies on Maximum Crushing Strength.....	80
4.1.2.6 Effect of Number of Plies on Shear Strength.....	81
4.1.3 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on the Mechanical Properties of LVL.....	82
4.1.3.1 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Flatwise Bending Modulus of Rupture.....	83
4.1.3.2 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Flatwise Bending Modulus of Elasticity.....	84

4.1.3.3 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Edgewise Bending Modulus of Rupture.....	85
4.1.3.4 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Edgewise Bending Modulus of Elasticity.....	87
4.1.3.5 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Maximum Crushing Strength.....	88
4.1.3.6 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Shear Strength.....	89
4.2 Physical Properties.....	90
4.2.1 Effect of Veneer Type on Physical Properties of LVL.....	90
4.2.1.1 Effect of Veneer Type on Water Absorption	90
4.2.1.2 Effect of Veneer Type on Thickness Swelling	91
4.2.1.3 Effect of Veneer Type on Compressibility Ratio....	92
4.2.1.4 Effect of Veneer Type on Specific Gravity.....	93
4.2.2 Effect of Number of Plies on Physical Properties.....	94
4.2.2.1 Effect of Number of Plies on Water Absorption....	95
4.2.2.2 Effect of Number of Plies on Thickness Swelling.....	95
4.2.2.3 Effect of Number of Plies on Compressibility Ratio.....	96
4.2.2.4 Effect of Number of Plies on Specific Gravity.....	97
4.2.3 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Physical Properties.....	98
4.2.3.1 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Water Absorption.....	98
4.2.3.2 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Thickness Swelling.....	99
4.2.3.3 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Compressibility Ratio.....	100
4.2.3.4 Effect of Veneer Thickness Combination on Specific Gravity.....	102
5- SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	104
6- LITERATURE CITED.....	107

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Shows the Distribution of Veneer with Different Thickness within LVL Panel.	115
Table 2. The Analysis of Variance of Flatwise Bending Modulus of Rupture (MOR_f) and Flatwise Bending Modulus of Elasticity (MOE_f), Edgewise Bending Modulus of Rupture (MOR_e) and Edgewise Bending Modulus of Elasticity (MOE_e), Maximum Crushing Strength (C_{max}), Shear Strength (SH), Percentage of Wood Failure (P.W.F), Water Absorption (WA), Thickness Swelling (TS), Compressibility Ratio ($COMP_{ratio}$) and Specific gravity (G) for the Panels Used in This Study.	116
Table 3. Mean Values of Flatwise Bending Modulus of Rupture (MOR_f) and Flatwise Bending Modulus of Elasticity (MOE_f), Edgewise Bending Modulus of Rupture (MOR_e) and Edgewise Bending Modulus of Elasticity (MOE_e), Maximum Crushing Strength (C_{max}), Shear Strength (SH), Percentage of Wood Failure (P.W.F), Water Absorption (WA), Thickness Swelling (TS), Compressibility Ratio ($COMP_{ratio}$) and Specific gravity (G) for the Three LVL Types.	117
Table 4. Mean Values for the Three LVL Types of Flatwise Bending Modulus of Rupture (MOR_f) [kg/cm^2] for Veneer Thickness Combination Groups.	118
Table 5. Mean Values for the Three LVL Types of Flatwise Bending Modulus of Elasticity (MOE_f) [kg/cm^2] for Veneer Thickness Combination Groups.	119
Table 6. Mean Values for the Three LVL Types of Edgewise Bending Modulus of Rupture (MOR_e) [kg/cm^2] for Veneer Thickness Combination Groups.	120
Table 7. Mean Values for the Three LVL Types of Edgewise Bending Modulus of Elasticity (MOE_e) [kg/cm^2] for Veneer Thickness Combination Groups.	121
Table 8. Mean Values for the Three LVL Types of Maximum Crushing Strength (C_{max} kg/cm^2) for Veneer Thickness Combination Groups.	122
Table 9. Mean Values for the Three LVL Types of Shear Strength (SH kg/cm^2) for Veneer Thickness Combination Groups.	123