



Cairo University

MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION OF STEEL FLOORS AGAINST COST AND EMBODIED ENERGY

By

Ahmed Magdy Mohamed El-Sayed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION OF STEEL FLOORS AGAINST COST AND EMBODIED ENERGY

By
Ahmed Magdy Mohamed El-Sayed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Sherif Saleh Safar

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahdy
Marzouk**

Professor of
Structural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Professor of
Construction Engineering and Management
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**Dr. Hazem Hossam Al-Din Al-
Anwar**

Professor of
Structural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION OF STEEL FLOORS AGAINST COST AND EMBODIED ENERGY

By
Ahmed Magdy Mohamed El-Sayed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Sherif Saleh Safar,

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahdy Marzouk,

Advisor

Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Mahmoud Seddeik,

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Khaled Mohamed Nassar,

External Examiner

- Professor at American University in Cairo

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Engineer's Name: Ahmed Magdy Mohamed El-Sayed
Date of Birth: 24/11/1990
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: ahmed_magdy8@hotmail.com
Phone: 01002505286
Address: 3138 Mearag Oulwy – Maadi - Cairo
Registration Date: 1/10/2013
Awarding Date: .../.../2018
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Sherif Saleh Safar
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahdy Marzouk
Dr. Hazem Hossam Al-Din Al-Anwar

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Khaled Mohamed Nassar (External examiner)
- Professor at American University in Cairo
Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Mahmoud Seddeik (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Sherif Saleh Safar (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahdy Marzouk (Advisor)

Title of Thesis:

MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION OF STEEL FLOORS AGAINST COST
AND EMBODIED ENERGY

Key Words:

Multi-Objective; Optimization; Steel; Cost; Embodied Energy

Summary:

This thesis takes the advantage of evolutionary optimization algorithms to use it in finding the optimal layouts for steel floors against cost and embodied energy through making a program that can do this task. Effort is made to acquire embodied energy values for common structural materials in Egypt to be used along with the cost data according to the Egyptian market in finding the optimal layouts. The program is tested on three case studies and the results are analyzed to successfully give recommendations to structural engineers in order to obtain near optimum solutions for their design without using the program.

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Ahmed Magdy Mohamed El-Sayed

Date: 10/10/2018

Signature:

Acknowledgments

I would like to show gratitude for everyone who supported me through this work by all means. Starting by my family who gave me the spark and supported me financially and emotionally from the very beginning, I would like to thank my father for his complete support and being a great role model and a true backbone and my mother who always pushed me to explore further limits and always showed me love and faith even when I am down, she always guides me when I lose my passion. I would also like to thank my wife who showed complete support and dedicated most of her time to make the best environment for me to work hard. I would also like to thank my brother and sister for their accommodation and help during the whole period of the thesis.

I would like to thank my advisors Dr. Sherif Safar, Dr. Mohamed Marzouk, and Dr. Hazem El-Anwar for their encouragement and support. They always showed up solving any problem I face with complete dedication spending a lot of their precious time to help get this thesis done. They showed not only technical support, but also administrative support and complete guidance through the years of work.

Finally, I would like to thank my friends and everyone who showed support and concern about finishing this thesis.

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER	I
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF TABLES	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	VII
NOMENCLATURE	IX
ABSTRACT	XI
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. OVERVIEW	1
1.1.1. Structural Optimization	1
1.1.2. Embodied Energy	1
1.1.3. Affordable Housing and Construction.....	2
1.2. PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.3. OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF RESEARCH	3
1.4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	4
1.5. THESIS ORGANIZATION	4
Chapter 1 : Introduction.....	4
Chapter 2 : Literature Review	6
Chapter 3 : Embodied Energy Analysis	6
Chapter 4 : Model Development	6
Chapter 5 : Case studies and Analysis.....	6
Chapter 6 : Discussion and Conclusion.....	6
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1. GENERAL	7
2.2. OPTIMIZATION IN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY	7
2.2.1. Structural Optimization	7
2.2.1.1. Sizing Optimization	7
2.2.1.2. Shape Optimization	8
2.2.1.3. Topology Optimization.....	8
2.2.1.4. Layout Optimization.....	8
2.2.2. Multi-Objective Optimization	11
2.2.2.1. Cost and structural requirement Multi-Objective Optimization.....	11
2.2.2.2. Cost and environmental requirements Multi-Objective Optimization	12
2.2.3. Layout Optimization for architects	13
2.3. EMBODIED ENERGY FOR CONSTRUCTION	14
2.3.1. Definition of Embodied Energy	15
2.3.2. Embodied Energy stages	15
2.3.3. Embodied Energy calculation	16
2.3.3.1. System boundary	16

2.3.3.2.	Method of Embodied Energy calculation	16
2.3.3.3.	Geographic Location	16
2.3.3.4.	Primary and delivered forms of energy	17
2.3.3.5.	Data and temporal representatives	17
2.3.3.6.	Processing method and feedstock energy	17
2.3.4.	Embodied Energy of construction materials.....	17
2.4.	OPTIMIZATION METHODS	19
2.4.1.	Optimization algorithms and methods classification.....	19
2.4.2.	Multi-Objective Optimization	22
2.5.	SUMMARY	25
CHAPTER 3 : EMBODIED ENERGY ANALYSIS		26
3.1.	GENERAL.....	26
3.2.	STRUCTURAL MATERIALS IN EGYPT	26
3.3.	SYSTEM BOUNDARIES AND CALCULATION METHODS	27
3.4.	EMBODIED ENERGY DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION.....	27
3.4.1.	Concrete Material	27
3.4.2.	Structural and Reinforcing Steel.....	29
3.4.2.1.	Production process.....	29
3.4.2.2.	Embodied Energy Values	29
3.5.	SUMMARY	33
CHAPTER 4 : MODEL DEVELOPMENT.....		34
4.1.	GENERAL.....	34
4.2.	MODEL FRAMEWORK	34
4.3.	SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE	35
4.3.1.	Elements Database.....	37
4.3.2.	Design of elements	37
4.3.2.1.	Load Path.....	37
4.3.2.2.	Metal Deck selection	38
4.3.2.3.	Design of steel beams	38
4.3.2.3.1.	Secondary beams loads and straining actions	38
4.3.2.3.2.	Main beams loads and straining actions.....	39
4.4.	MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION.....	41
4.5.	OPTIMIZATION PROCESS.....	44
4.5.1.	Optimization problem formulation.....	44
4.5.2.	Genetic Algorithm application on model	45
4.5.2.1.	Population.....	45
4.5.2.2.	Crossover.....	46
4.5.2.3.	Mutation	47
4.5.2.4.	Selection and Generations	48
4.5.3.	Multi-objective pareto optimization application	49
4.6.	3D MODELLING TOOL	49
4.7.	SUMMARY	50
CHAPTER 5 : CASE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS.....		52
5.1.	GENERAL.....	52
5.2.	CASE STUDY 1 - ONE ROOM LAYOUT	52
5.2.1.	Unconstrained variables	53

5.2.2.	Constrained variables	60
5.2.3.	Case Study 1 results and analysis	62
5.3.	CASE STUDY 2 - FOUR ROOMS LAYOUT	64
5.4.	CASE STUDY 3 - FIVE ROOMS LAYOUT	70
CHAPTER 6 : DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION		78
REFERENCES		81

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Embodied Energy for hot rolled sections	31
Table 3.2 Embodied Energy for steel corrugated sheets.....	32
Table 3.3 Embodied Energy for steel reinforcing bars	33
Table 5.1 Case Study 1, 1800 kg/m ² , Optimum solutions details.....	55
Table 5.2 Case Study 1, 1600 kg/m ² , Optimum solutions details.....	57
Table 5.3 Case Study 1, 1200 kg/m ² , Optimum solution details	60
Table 5.4 Case Study 1, 2000 kg/m ² – 200 kg/m ² , Optimum solution details.....	61
Table 5.5 Case Study 1, 2000 kg/m ² bounded variables, Optimum solution details	62
Table 5.6 Case Study 1, 2000 kg/m ² bounded and unbounded variables, Optimum solution details.....	63
Table 5.7 Case Study 2, 1600 kg/m ² , Optimum solution geometric details.....	66
Table 5.8 Case Study 2, 800 kg/m ² , Optimum solution geometric details.....	68
Table 5.9 Case Study 2, 2000 kg/m ² – 200 kg/m ² , Optimum solutions geometric details	70
Table 5.10 Case Study 3, 1800 kg/m ² , Optimum solutions geometric details	74
Table 5.11 Case Study 3, 1200 kg/m ² , Optimum solutions geometric details	76
Table 5.12 Case Study 3, 2000 kg/m ² – 200 kg/m ² , Optimum solutions geometric details	78

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Research methodology flowchart.....	5
Figure 2.1 Sample Layout of Mensinger and Huang work [10].....	10
Figure 2.2. Embodied Energy through Building Life Cycle	15
Figure 2.3 Embodied Energy of Materials in Australia [30].....	18
Figure 2.4 Optimization Design space and Objective space	23
Figure 2.5 Multiobjective optimization Pareto-Front	24
Figure 3.1 Steel Production Process	30
Figure 3.2 Embodied energy values for structural materials in Egypt	33
Figure 4.1 Model framework.....	34
Figure 4.2 Proposed procedure including optimization phase	36
Figure 4.3 Load Path from metal deck to secondary beams	37
Figure 4.4 Load Path from secondary to main beams	38
Figure 4.5 Applied loads on main beams.....	39
Figure 4.6 Rooms location as matrix indices	42
Figure 4.7 Sample beams arrangement	43
Figure 4.8 Layout example for elaboration.....	45
Figure 4.9 GA Population, Chromosomes, Genes, and Evaluation.....	46
Figure 4.10 One-Point Crossover	47
Figure 4.11 Two-Point Crossover.....	48
Figure 4.12 GA Mutation Process	48
Figure 4.13 Whole Dynamo script.....	50
Figure 4.14 Part of the Dynamo script.....	50
Figure 4.15 Integrating python code inside Dynamo script.....	51
Figure 5.1 Generic layout for one room.....	52
Figure 5.2 Pareto-Front, Case study 1, Scenario 1	53
Figure 5.3 Energy pareto optimal solution Revit® model – Case study 1, Scenario 1, Solution a.....	54
Figure 5.4 Cost pareto optimal solution Revit® model – Case Study 1, Scenario 1, Solution b	55
Figure 5.5 Pareto-Front, Case Study 1, Scenario 2.....	56
Figure 5.6 Energy pareto optimal solution Revit® model – Case Study 1, Scenario 2, Solution a.....	57
Figure 5.7 Cost pareto optimal solution Revit® model – Case Study 1, Secenario 2, Solution b	57
Figure 5.8 Pareto-Front, Case Study 1, Scenario 3.....	58
Figure 5.9 Pareto optimal solution Revit® model – Case Study 1, Secenario 3.....	59
Figure 5.10 Pareto-Front	61
Figure 5.11 Optimum aspect ratio bounds for 1 room.....	62
Figure 5.12 Optimum Secondary Beams spacing over Longer side ratio bounds	63
Figure 5.13 Generic layout for four rooms.....	64
Figure 5.14 Pareto optimal solution Revit® model – Case Study 2, Scenario 1, 3D....	65
Figure 5.15 Pareto optimal solution Revit® model – Case Study 2, Scenario 1, Plan..	66
Figure 5.16 Pareto optimal solution Revit® model, Case Study 2, Scenario 2, Plan....	68
Figure 5.17 Optimum global aspect ratio for four rooms layout.....	70
Figure 5.18 Generic layout for electric equipment building – apparent spaces	71
Figure 5.19 Generic layout for electric equipment building – actual spaces	72

Figure 5.20 Pareto-Front, Case study 3, Scenario 1 73
Figure 5.21 Cost Pareto optimal solution Revit® model, Case Study 3, Scenario 1, Plan
..... 74
Figure 5.22 Pareto-Front, Case study 3, Scenario 2 75
Figure 5.23 Cost Pareto optimal solution Revit® model, Case Study 3, Scenario 2, Plan
..... 76

Nomenclature

w_{DL}	Applied dead load on secondary beam per unit length of beam
B_{OW}	Own weight of the secondary beam per unit length of beam
I_{MD}	Intensity of metal deck weight per unit area of floor
γ_{RC}	Density of concrete
t_s	Thickness of reinforced concrete slab
a	Secondary beams spacing distance
I_{Fl}	Intensity of flooring load per unit area of floor
w_{LL}	Applied live load on secondary beam per unit length of beam
I_{LL}	Intensity of live load per unit area of floor
w_T	Applied total load on secondary beam per unit length of beam
Q_y	Shear in y direction
M_x	Moment about x-axis of beam
l	Secondary beam length
w_{eq}	Equivalent uniformly distributed load over main beam.
B_{OW}	Own weight of the main beam per unit length of beam
$\sum R$	Sum of reactions of secondary beams exerted on the main beam
L	Main beam length
f_{act}	Actual bending stress
f_{all}	Allowable bending stress
q_y	Actual shear stress in y-axis direction
q_{all}	Allowable shear stress
E	Young's modulus for steel
I_x	Second moment of inertia of beam section about x-axis
Δ_{act}	Actual deflection
Δ_{all}	Allowable deflection
n_1	The number of rooms in the horizontal direction
n_2	The number of rooms in the vertical direction
A_i	The area of the room
n_H	Number of horizontal rooms
n_V	Number of vertical rooms
n_T	Total number of rooms
X	The optimization variable vector
md	The metal deck section
C_T	The total cost of structure
C_s	The cost of steel per ton
C_c	The cost of concrete per cubic meter
W_s	Total weight of steel material
W_c	Total weight of concrete material
E_T	The total embodied energy for the structure
E_s	The embodied energy factor for steel per ton
E_c	The embodied energy factor for concrete per cubic meter
W_{max}	Maximum width of structure defined by user
W_{min}	Minimum width of structure defined by user
w_i	Room width or dimension in x-axis
L_{max}	Maximum length of structure defined by user

L_{min}	Minimum length of structure defined by user
l_i	Room length or dimension in y-axis
A_{UD}	Total area of structure defined by user
W	Total width of layout
L	Total length of layout
A	Area of layout
r_u	Upper bound for aspect ratio at a specific load value
r_l	Lower bound for aspect ratio at a specific load value
T_L	Total applied load
S_{ru}	Upper bound for ratio between secondary beams spacing and longer side of room at a specific load value
S_{rl}	Lower bound for ratio between secondary beams spacing and longer side of room at a specific load value
R	Global aspect ratio of layout at a specific load value