



Cairo University

Framework for Optimizing Space Layout Problem Using Agent-Based Building Occupancy Modeling

By

Dalia Hassen Taher Dorrah

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Integrated Engineering Design in Construction Projects

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Framework for Optimizing Space Layout Problem Using Agent-Based Building Occupancy Modeling

By

Dalia Hassen Taher Dorrah

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Integrated Engineering Design in Construction Projects

Under the supervision of

Prof. Mohamed Mahdy Marzouk

Professor of Construction Engineering and Management
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Framework for Optimizing Space Layout Problem Using Agent-Based Building Occupancy Modeling

By

Dalia Hassen Taher Dorrah

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Integrated Engineering Design in Construction Projects

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Mohamed Mahdy MarzoukThesis Main Advisor
Professor of Construction Engineering and Management – Structural Engineering
Department - Cairo University.

Prof. Ayman Hassaan MahmoudInternal Examiner
Professor of Architecture – Faculty of Engineering – Cairo University.

Dr. Mohamed Abd El Latif BakryExternal Examiner
Former Manager of Strategic Management Department – Social Development Fund.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Engineer's Name: Dalia Hassen Taher Dorrah

Date of Birth: 04/04/1993

Nationality: Egyptian

E-mail: daliadorrah@hotmail.com

Phone: 01222343224

Address: Dokki, Giza

Registration Date: 01/10/2016

Awarding Date:/....../2018

Degree: Master of Science

Department: Integrated Engineering Design In Construction Projects

Supervisors: Prof. Mohamed Mahdy Marzouk

Examiners:

Prof. Mohamed Mahdy Marzouk – Cairo University (Thesis Main Advisor)

Prof. Ayman Hassaan Mahmoud – Cairo University (Internal Examiner)

Dr. Mohamed Abd El Latif Bakry - Former Manager of Strategic Management
Department Social Development Fund (External Examiner)



Title of Thesis:

**Framework for Optimizing Space Layout Problem using Agent-based Building
Occupancy Modeling**

Key Words:

Agent-based Modeling; Queuing theory; Space Layout Problem; Multi-Objective Optimization; Modularity Approach.

Summary:

Space layout problem in buildings is a problem of allocating activities to building spaces. And with the trend occurring in the construction industry regarding incorporating sustainability measures in buildings, it has become a necessity to consider these measures in all the building requirements including the planning and design of its layouts. Applying this will enhance the efficient use of buildings, improve its performance, and offer more satisfying experience of its users. This research proposes a framework that optimizes the space planning problem in multi-purpose buildings. The framework is designed to augment both Agent-based modeling and Discrete-Event simulation techniques so as to model the building occupancies. And through the use of modularity approach and queuing theory, the modeling and simulation procedures of the various building activities are simplified but realistically studied. After the modeling stage, optimization is carried out to optimally solve the space layout problem under the different occupancy patterns modeled. Moreover, the performance assessment in the proposed framework is based on the energy consumption, flow patterns, and satisfaction of the building occupants, whereas the optimization problem is represented in the form of the multi-objective Linear Assignment minimization problem. Pairwise Pareto optimality testing is carried out for investigating the sensitivity of the optimization problem. This integrated framework is generic and can be effectively incorporated as a multi-objective decision support tool for solving large-scale space layout problems with versatile activities during the design, operation, or renovation phases.

Acknowledgements

There have been many people who supported me during my research. And I would like to thank each and every one of them. I am sincerely grateful to my supervisor **Professor Mohamed Mahdy Marzouk** for his continuous support, guidance, and valuable ideas.

Also, I would like to extend my thanks to my colleagues, **CETL Researchers**, for their support and motivation.

Last but not least, a special thank you to **my family** who supported me through my life-time journey. The completion of this research couldn't have been possible without your continuous encouragement.

Dalia Hassen Dorrah

April 4th, 2018

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Figures.....	vii
List of Tables	x
List of Symbols	xii
List of Abbreviations	xiii
Abstract.....	xiv
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
1.1. General	1
1.2. Problem Statement	1
1.3. Research Objectives	2
1.4. Research Methodology.....	3
1.5. Research Scope and Limitations	3
1.6. Thesis Organization.....	4
Chapter 2 Literature Review	5
2.1. General	5
2.2. Building Performance Simulation.....	5
2.2.1. Energy-Related Occupant Behavior	5
2.2.2. Building Occupancy Modeling	8
2.2.3 Agent-Based Modeling of Building Occupants	9
2.3. Space Layout Problem (SLP)	10
2.3.1. Background of the Layout Problem	10
2.3.2. Space Layout Problem (SLP).....	11
2.3.3. Solution Techniques for the SLP	14
2.3.4. Research Applications	15
2.4. Agent-based Modeling	18
2.4.1. Why use ABMS?	19
2.4.2. Areas of Application	20
2.4.3. Structure of Agent-Based Models.....	20
2.4.4. Validation, Verification, and Replication of Agent-Based Models	21
2.4.5. Advantages of ABMS	22
2.4.6. Disadvantages of ABMS.....	22

2.4.7. Tools for ABMS	23
2.5. Queuing Theory.....	23
2.5.1. Main Concepts of Queuing Theory.....	23
2.5.2. Specification and Measurement of Queuing Systems	25
2.5.3. Queuing System Probability Distributions	27
2.6. Summary and Research Gaps.....	27
Chapter 3 Proposed Framework	29
3.1. General	29
3.2. Framework Main Components.....	29
3.2.1. Component 1: Agent-Based Modeling and Queuing Theory	32
3.2.2. Component 2: Multi-Objective Optimization Problem Formulation.....	32
3.3. Modularity Approach	32
3.3.1. Service Module	33
3.3.2. Office Module	34
3.3.3. Mixed Module.....	34
3.4. Model Building	35
3.4.1. Building Facility	35
3.4.2. Building Occupants.....	36
3.5. Modeling and Simulation	37
3.6. Model Verification	38
3.7. Summary	40
Chapter 4 Occupancy Simulation Modeling	41
4.1. General	41
4.2. Activities in Multi-Purpose Buildings.....	41
4.2.1. Activity Description and Categorization	41
4.2.2. Occupancy Patterns.....	42
4.3. Agent-Based Modeling and Simulation Tools	42
4.4. AnyLogic Software Package	43
4.4.1. General Overview	43
4.4.2. Operating AnyLogic Software Package.....	44
4.5. AnyLogic Modeling and Implementation	47
4.5.1. Modeling of Business Facilities.....	47

4.5.2. Modeling of Administrative Facilities	50
4.5.3. Healthcare Facilities and Medical Centers	50
4.6. Simulation Analysis	53
4.7. Summary	55
Chapter 5 Optimizing Space Layout Problem	57
5.1. General	57
5.2. Multi-Objective Problem.....	57
5.3. Building Performance Metrics	58
5.3.1. Energy Metric	59
5.3.2. Flow Metric.....	60
5.3.3. Non-Satisfaction Metric	60
5.4. Formulation of the Assignment Problem	62
5.4.1. Classic Assignment Problem	62
5.4.2. Formulation of Linear (Classic) Assignment Problem	63
5.5. Multi-Objective Function Formulation	65
5.5.1. Objective Function.....	65
5.5.2. Energy Metric Objective.....	66
5.5.3. Flow Metric Objective	66
5.5.4. Non-Satisfaction Metric Objective	67
5.5.5. Solving the Multi-Objective Function	67
5.5.6. Normalization of Objective Functions.....	69
5.6. Summary	70
Chapter 6 Framework Implementation and Results	71
6.1. General	71
6.2. Building Description and Study Objectives	71
6.3. Building Spaces Analysis.....	74
6.3.1. Orientation Criterion.....	74
6.3.2. Floor Number Criterion	74
6.3.3. View Criterion	75
6.3.4. Travel Distance Criterion.....	76
6.4. Activities and Simulation Assumptions	77
6.5. Sample of Simulation Results	78

6.6.	Pareto Optimality Testing	85
6.7.	Multi-Objective Optimization Implementation Procedures	86
6.7.1.	Selected Multi-Objective Optimization Scenarios.....	86
6.7.2.	Normalization Factors for the Metrics	86
6.7.3.	Algorithm for Proximity Adjustment (Constrained Optimization)	87
6.8.	Multi-Objective Optimization Implementation Results	89
6.8.1.	Results of Energy-Based Scenario	89
6.8.2.	Results of Flow-Based Scenario	89
6.8.3.	Results of Nonsatisfaction-based Scenario	90
6.8.4.	Results of Equally Compromised Scenario	91
6.9.	Sensitivity Analysis of Multi-Objective Weights	95
6.10.	Framework Verification	96
6.10.1.	Inflow and Outflow Occupancy Distributions for the Whole Building.....	96
6.10.2.	Normality Test	97
6.11.	Alternative Optimization Approaches	100
6.11.1.	Genetic Algorithm	100
6.11.2.	Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm	104
6.11.3.	Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm	107
6.11.4.	Concluding Remarks.....	110
6.12.	Summary.....	110
Chapter 7 Conclusions and Recommendations.....		111
7.1	Conclusion.....	111
7.2.	Research Contribution.....	111
7.3.	Research Limitations.....	112
7.4.	Research Recommendations and Future Work	112
References.....		113
Appendix A: Case Study Data		119
A.1	Area Division Basis.....	119
A.1.1	Average Areas for Commercial Building Spaces	119
A.1.2	Office Spaces and Banks	119
A.1.3	Medical Facilities and HealthCare.....	121
A.1.4	ATM Machine Spaces.....	122

A.2	Module Area Division.....	123
A.3	Interviews	129
A.4	Simulation Details.....	132
Appendix B: Assignment Model Description and Results		137
B.1	Hungarian Method Algorithm.....	137
B.2	Excel Input/Output Data and MATLAB Results	138

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Schematic Diagram of Framework Components	3
Figure 2.1: Key Drivers of Energy-related Occupant Behavior [53].....	6
Figure 2.2: Adaptive and Non-Adaptive Behavior of Occupants [56]	7
Figure 2.3: ASHRAE Occupancy Schedules versus Actual Occupancy Schedules [5]	8
Figure 2.4: Example of Relationship Diagram for Space Design.....	12
Figure 2.5: Diagram for Computational Performance-Driven Design Optimization [117].....	13
Figure 2.6: Schematic Floor Plan Designs with Identical Circulation Area [34]	16
Figure 2.7: Bubble-like Room Agents and Links [47]	17
Figure 2.8: Assembled Room-Agents Forming the Building [47]	17
Figure 2.9: Building Envelope and Floor Plan Matrices [110].....	18
Figure 2.10: Computational Model Concept [120].....	19
Figure 2.11: Structure of ABM [79]	21
Figure 3.1: Data Flow in the Proposed Framework Components.....	30
Figure 3.2: Schematic Diagram of the Proposed Assignment Methodology.....	31
Figure 3.3: Example of Service Module with n Service Channels	33
Figure 3.4: Example of Office Module with n Channels.....	34
Figure 3.5: Example of Mixed Module with n Service Channels.....	35
Figure 3.6: Hierarchy of Building Divisions	36
Figure 3.7: Inflow of Occupants in the Building (Bottom-Up)	38
Figure 3.8: Outflow of Occupants from the Building (Top-Down).....	39
Figure 4.1: Example of Discrete-Event Process in AnyLogic	43
Figure 4.2: AnyLogic User Interface	43
Figure 4.3: Queueing Process Model in AnyLogic [4].....	46
Figure 4.4: Process Model of M_1 Activities	48
Figure 4.5: Screenshot Showing the Components of M_1 Activities.....	48
Figure 4.6: Process Model of M_2 Activities	49
Figure 4.7: Process Model of M_3 Activities	50
Figure 4.8: Process Model of M_4 Activities	51
Figure 4.9: Process Model of M_5 Activity with Single Queue.....	52
Figure 4.10: Process Model of M_5 Activity with Multiple Queues	53
Figure 4.11: Data Output of Agents Log in AnyLogic	54

Figure 4.12: Data Output of Flowchart Process States in AnyLogic.....	54
Figure 4.13: Example of Agent Times Data in a Studied Module.....	55
Figure 5.1: Matrix of Assigning Modules to Activities.....	65
Figure 5.2: Structure of Minimization Assignment Problem Matrices for Objectives.....	69
Figure 6.1: Capital Business Park Project Overview.....	71
Figure 6.2: Capital Business Park Project Location	72
Figure 6.3: Project Site Layout	72
Figure 6.4: Floor Plans of Building B4.....	73
Figure 6.5: Average Daily Occupancy for each Module	79
Figure 6.6: Output for Energy Metric of Module M_{12}	80
Figure 6.7: Output for Flow Metric of Module M_{12}	80
Figure 6.8: Output for the Probability Density of Occupancy Distributions of Module M_{12} ..	81
Figure 6.9: Output for the Non-Satisfaction Metric of Module M_{12}	82
Figure 6.10: Probability Density of Occupancy Distributions for the Building Modules	83
Figure 6.11: Pairwise Plots of Pareto Optimality Curves	85
Figure 6.12: Algorithm for Proximity Adjustment of Modules.....	88
Figure 6.13: Results of Activities Distribution for the Floors in Case Study Building before Proximity Adjustment.....	93
Figure 6.14: Results of Activities Distribution for the Floors in Case Study Building after Proximity Adjustment.....	94
Figure 6.15: Occupancy Arrival Distribution for the Building.....	96
Figure 6.16: Occupancy Departure Distribution for the Building	97
Figure 6.17: Equivalent Normal Distribution versus the Actual Arrival Distribution	98
Figure 6.18: Equivalent Normal Distribution versus the Actual Departure Distribution	98
Figure 6.19: Normal Q-Q Plot for the Occupancy Arrival Data.....	99
Figure 6.20: Normal Q-Q Plot for the Occupancy Departure Data	99
Figure 6.21: Output of Genetic Algorithm Assignment Solution.....	102
Figure 6.22: Results of Activities Distribution for the Floors in Case Study Building using Genetic Algorithm Optimization	103
Figure 6.23: Output of Particle Swarm Assignment Solution	105
Figure 6.24: Results of Activities Distribution for the Floors in Case Study Building using Particle Swarm Optimization.....	106
Figure 6.25: Output of Ant Colony Assignment Solution	108

Figure 6.26: Results of Activities Distribution for the Floors in Case Study Building using Ant Colony Optimization.....	109
Figure A.1: ATM Machine Dimension Classifications [a.5].....	122
Figure B.1: Screenshot for the Energy Metric Excel Input Data	138
Figure B.2: Screenshot for the Flow Metric Excel Input Data	138
Figure B.3: Screenshot for the Non-Satisfaction Metric Excel Input Data	139
Figure B.4: Screenshot for the Global Mult-Objectives Matrix Input Data in Excel	139
Figure B.5: Screenshot for the Energy Metric MATLAB Output Data	140
Figure B.6: Screenshot for the Energy Metric Excel Output Data	140
Figure B.7: Screenshot for the Global Multi-Objective Problem in MATLAB	140
Figure B.8: Screenshot for the Global Multi-Objective Matrix Excel Output Data.....	141

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Examples of Queuing Networks of Different System Configurations [106]	24
Table 2.2: Queuing systems model parameters [106].....	26
Table 4.1: General Categories of Activities for Multi-Purpose Buildings	41
Table 4.2: Selected Block from the AnyLogic Process Modeling Library [4].....	45
Table 5.1: Orientation Factor for Cairo [49].....	60
Table 5.2: General Form of the Assignment Matrix for Spaces and Modules	63
Table 5.3: Assignment Table for the Optimization Problem	64
Table 6.1: Building B4 Floor Space Codes	72
Table 6.2: Case Study Spaces Orientation Index.....	74
Table 6.3: Pairwise Comparison Matrix of Case Study Floors	75
Table 6.4: Space Floor Factor for Case Study	75
Table 6.5: Pairwise Comparison Matrix of Case Study Views	75
Table 6.6: Space View Factor for Case Study	76
Table 6.7: Travel Distance to Case Study Spaces.....	76
Table 6.8: Space Analysis Summary for Case Study	77
Table 6.9: Activities and Modules for Case Study	78
Table 6.10: Module M_{12} Simulation and Operation Details	78
Table 6.11: Application of the Three Normalization Approaches on the Problem Metrics	87
Table 6.12: Distribution of Modules for the Energy-Based Scenario	89
Table 6.13: Distribution of Modules for the Flow-Based Scenario.....	90
Table 6.14: Distribution of Modules for the Satisfaction-Based Scenario	91
Table 6.15: Distribution of Modules for the Satisfaction-Based Scenario	92
Table 6.16: Optimization Results for Different Objectives' Weights	95
Table 6.17: Sensitivity Analysis Results for Objectives' Weight Changes.....	96
Table 6.18: Selected Random Coordinates for the Position Structure in Optimization	101
Table 6.19: Objective Function Results of Genetic Algorithm	102
Table 6.20: Layout Results of Genetic Algorithm.....	102
Table 6.21: Objective Function Results of Particle Swarm Optimization.....	105
Table 6.22: Layout Results of Particle Swarm Optimization	105
Table 6.23: Objective Function Results of Ant Colony Optimization.....	108
Table 6.24: Layout Results of Ant Colony Optimization	108

Table 6.25: Summary of Objective Function Results of Alternative Optimization Approaches	110
Table A.1: Average Area per Person for Various Building Activities [a.2].....	119
Table A.2: Maximum Occupancy per Area for Various Building Activities [a.2].....	119
Table A.3: Examples for Layout and Area Designs for Office Spaces [a.1].....	120
Table A.4: Example for ATM Machine Dimensions [a.5]	122
Table A.5: Area Distribution for Module M_{11}	123
Table A.6: Area Distribution for Module M_{12}	123
Table A.7: Area Distribution for Module M_{13}	123
Table A.8: Area Distribution for Module M_{31}	124
Table A.9: Area Distribution for Module M_{14}	124
Table A.10: Area Distribution for Module M_{32}	124
Table A.11: Area Distribution for Module M_{21}	125
Table A.12: Area Distribution for Module M_{33}	125
Table A.13: Area Distribution for Module M_{34}	125
Table A.14: Area Distribution for Module M_{35}	126
Table A.15: Area Distribution for Module M_{36}	126
Table A.16: Area Distribution for Module M_{41}	126
Table A.17: Area Distribution for Module M_{42}	126
Table A.18: Area Distribution for Module M_{43}	127
Table A.19: Area Distribution for Module M_{44}	127
Table A.20: Area Distribution for Module M_{45}	127
Table A.21: Area Distribution for Module M_{37}	128
Table A.22: Area Distribution for Module M_{51}	128
Table A.23: Area Distribution for Module M_{38}	128
Table A.24: Area Distribution for Module M_{52}	129
Table A.25: Area Distribution for Module M_{53}	129
Table A.26: Patient Intensities in Clinics	130
Table A.27: Examples of Clinics' Specializations and Operating Times.....	130
Table A.28: Devices Scanning Times and Serving Number	131
Table A.29: Average Operation Intensities	131
Table A.30: Building B4 Simulation and Operation Details	132