



**IMPROVING SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE DESIGN USING
GEOSTATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE HYDRAULIC
CONDUCTIVITY IN THE NILE DELTA**

By

Mohamed Fawzy Mohamed Mahmoud

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

**IMPROVING SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE DESIGN USING
GEOSTATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE HYDRAULIC
CONDUCTIVITY IN THE NILE DELTA**

By

Mohamed Fawzy Mohamed Mahmoud

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Abdallah S. Bazaraa

Prof. Dr. Hesham Bekhit

.....

.....

Professor
Department of Irrigation and Hydraulics
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Professor
Department of Irrigation and Hydraulics
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Hussein G. Karaman

.....

Associate Professor
Drainage Research Institute (DRI)
National Water Research Center (NWRC)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

**IMPROVING SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE DESIGN USING
GEOSTATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE HYDRAULIC
CONDUCTIVITY IN THE NILE DELTA**

By

Mohamed Fawzy Mohamed Mahmoud

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Abdallah Sadik Bazaraa

(Thesis main advisor)

Prof. Dr. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed

(Member)

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Wahab Mohamed Amer

(Internal examiner)

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Safwat Abdel-Dayem
National Water Research Center

(External examiner)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Engineer's Name: Mohamed Fawzy Mohamed Mahmoud
Date of Birth: 16/9/1992
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: m.fawzy1609@gmail.com
Phone: 01117767183
Address: 2 Yehia Hamouda st., AlWaraq, Giza.
Registration Date: 1/10/2014
Awarding Date:/....../.....
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Abdallah S. Bazaraa
Prof. Hesham Bekhit
Dr. Hussain G. Karaman (Associate Professor at DRI-NWRC)

Examiners:

Prof. Abdallah Sadik Bazaraa (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed (Advisor)
Prof. Abdel-Wahab Mohamed Amer (Internal examiner)
Prof. Mohamed Safwat Abdel-Dayem (External examiner at NWRC)

Title of Thesis:

IMPROVING SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE DESIGN USING GEOSTATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY IN THE NILE DELTA

Key Words:

Hydraulic conductivity; subsurface drainage; Kriging; geostatistical analysis

Summary:

The agricultural subsurface drainage system is used all over the Nile Delta of Egypt to drain excess water from the soil to enhance crop production and to ensure irrigated agriculture sustainability. The design spacing between lateral drains is playing an important role in determining the efficiency of the subsurface drainage. The main input for the design is the value of the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil. Currently, the design practice involves using a grid of 500mX500m in field investigations to measure the hydraulic conductivity at each point of the grid using the auger-hole method. The main objective of this study is to determine the optimal sampling density to be used in the design. Using geostatistical analysis (Kriging) and a data base of over 6000 hydraulic conductivity tests from different projects in the Nile Delta of Egypt, the optimal sampling density was obtained and correlated with the soil clay content using empirical equations. Also, a new design procedure based on Kriging was developed to enhance the future design of the subsurface drainage system. Using the available data base, a contour map of the hydraulic conductivity in the Nile Delta was developed to help the decision makers in taking critical decisions such as preliminary cost estimate for the subsurface drainage project even before conducting a detailed field investigation.

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Mohamed Fawzy Mohamed Mahmoud

Date:

Signature:

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my supervisors, Prof. Abdallah S. Bazaraa, Prof. Hesham Bekhit, and Dr. Hussain G. Karaman, for their extensive care and interest leading my work and guiding me dedicatedly to this point.

I would also want to thank the drainage research institute (DRI) directors and staff, Specially Dr. Ashraf El Saied, for his endless help and support, like helping me gathering the required data and providing me with many required information.

I would like also to thank the Egyptian Public Authority for Drainage Projects (EPADP) for providing the data used in this work.

Above all, thanks are extended to my family, especially my father, my mother, and my beloved wife for their continuous motivation and encouragement, that played the most supportive and helpful role during my research, allowing me always to work harder and be more efficient, I wouldn't have reached what I have reached without them.

Last but not least, I would also like to thank all of my friends and colleagues inside and outside the DRI for their extensive support and encouragement.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	II
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	V
NOMENCLATURE.....	IX
ABSTRACT	X
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. GENERAL	1
1.2. PROBLEM STATEMENT	6
1.3. OBJECTIVE AND APPROACH	8
1.4. THESIS STRUCTURE	8
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	9
CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	15
3.1. OVERVIEW	15
3.2. DATA COLLECTION	16
3.3. PRIMARY DATA ANALYSIS:.....	16
3.4. MODEL SETUP AND VALIDATION	16
3.5. GRID SIZE ANALYSIS	16
3.6. ANALYZING RESULTS.....	16
3.7. PROPOSING A NEW DESIGN APPROACH	17
3.8. DEVELOPING A CONTOUR MAP FOR THE HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY	17
CHAPTER 4 : GEOSTATISTICAL ANALYSIS METHOD “KRIGING”	18
4.1. INTRODUCTION.....	18
4.2. KRIGING.....	18
4.3. STATIONARITY	19
4.4. THE VARIOGRAM	19
CHAPTER 5 : DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS, AND SELECTION.....	23
5.1. DATA COLLECTION	23
5.2. DATA ANALYSIS	24
5.3. DATA SELECTION	26
CHAPTER 6 : MATHEMATICAL MODELING.....	31
6.1. INTRODUCTION.....	31
6.2. ORDINARY KRIGING	31
6.3. SEMIVARIOGRAM MODELING.....	33
6.4. NEIGHBORHOOD SEARCH	42
6.5. SEMIVARIOGRAM TYPE SELECTION	44
6.6. CROSS VALIDATION RESULTS	47
6.7. CREATING GRIDS.....	49

CHAPTER 7 : RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	56
7.1. INTRODUCTION.....	56
7.2. ANOVA TEST.....	56
7.3. OPTIMAL SAMPLING DENSITY	57
7.4. DENSE GRID SPACING EFFECT	66
7.5. COST ANALYSIS	67
7.6. MISSING DATA ANALYSIS.....	70
7.7. PROPOSED DESIGN PROCEDURES.....	74
7.8. DEVELOPING AN INDICATIVE CONTOUR MAP FOR THE HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY OVER THE NILE DELTA	80
CHAPTER 8 : SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS ..	84
8.1. SUMMARY	84
8.2. CONCLUSION	85
8.3. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	86
REFERENCES.....	87
APPENDIX (A)	90
APPENDIX (B)	96

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Sample table for calculated lateral spacing for each collector (EPADP)	7
Table 5.1 Summery of Projects Data	24
Table 5.2 Results of Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for sample (a) Brolos	25
Table 5.3 Results of Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for sample (b) Nemra 3 & Bahr El-Baqar	26
Table 5.4 Properties of the selected projects	28
Table 6.1 Root mean square error for each semivariogram type in project 02-Brolos..	45
Table 6.2 Selected semivariogram model type for each project.....	45
Table 6.3 Results of cross validation for selected projects	48
Table 6.4 Grid spacing (m) vs. No. of points in each grid for project 02-Brolos.....	52
Table 7.1 ANOVA test results for Project 01- Hafeer Shehab El-Deen.....	57
Table 7.2 ANOVA test results for Project 02- Brolos	58
Table 7.3 ANOVA test results for Project 03- Kom El-Tebn	58
Table 7.4 ANOVA test results for Project 04- Nemra 3 & Bahr El Baqar	58
Table 7.5 ANOVA test results for Project 05- Al Etwa Al-Tanya	59
Table 7.6 Optimal sampling density for each project	61
Table 7.7 Results of the optimal sampling density using different dense grid.....	66
Table 7.8 Average reduction in cost and time for different grid sizes.....	68
Table 7.9 Cost and time reduction for every project	69
Table 7.10 Results for maximum missing data percentage for reduced grid	70
Table 7.11 Sample table for the calculated lateral spacing for each collector (Neshel drainage project)	75
Table 7.12 Calculated lateral spacing for Phase 2 in project 02-Brolos in two methods	79
Table 7.13 Validation of the developed contour map for hydraulic conductivity.....	80

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Propagation of Subsurface Drained Areas of the Nile Delta	1
Figure 1.2 Sample Map from EPADP and a GPS Device Used in Field Investigation...	2
Figure 1.3 Augering and bailing the hole for auger-hole test.....	3
Figure 1.4 Measuring the hydraulic conductivity by filling the time and depth datasheet	3
Figure 1.5 Fieldwork of augering the hole and collecting soil samples.....	4
Figure 1.6 Fieldwork of bailing the water and measuring the hydraulic conductivity	4
Figure 1.7 Calculating the Lateral Spacing for a Given Collector	5
Figure 1.8 Parameters used in Hooghoudt equation	6
Figure 1.9 Sample map of the collector's boundaries and the grid points (EPADP)	7
Figure 2.1 Block Diagrams of Hydraulic Conductivity (a)Measured Values; (b)Kriging with Coinciding Interpolation Grid; (c)Kriging with Shifted Interpolation Grid (Gailichand et al., 1991).....	10
Figure 2.2 Contour Map of Hydraulic Conductivity Based on (a) Measured Values and (b) Kriged Estimates (Gailichand et al., 1991).....	11
Figure 2.3 Drainage Efficiency as Affected by Division of a Drawing Area into Two Blocks (Gailichand et al., 1991)	11
Figure 2.4 Sixteen samples of size 1 x 1 to be used to estimate mining blocks of size 2x2 (Armstrong, 1998).....	12
Figure 2.5 (a)Polygonal estimates of block grades; (b)Kriged estimates of block grades (Armstrong, 1998).....	13
Figure 2.6 (a) True grades of the 2x2 blocks; (b) True grades of the 1x1 blocks (Armstrong, 1998).....	14
Figure 3.1 Methodology Flow Diagram.....	15
Figure 4.1 Example of an empirical semivariogram.....	20
Figure 4.2 Pairing of one location	20
Figure 4.3 Semivariogram cloud	21
Figure 4.4 Typical semivariogram.....	22
Figure 4.5 Semivariogram model example	22
Figure 5.1 Projects Locations in the Nile Delta Where the Data is Collected	23
Figure 5.2 Number and Percentage of projects to fit a lognormal distribution.....	24
Figure 5.3 Probability density function of original projects data.....	25
Figure 5.4 Probability density function log-transformed data.....	25
Figure 5.5 Projects samples showing the missed hydraulic conductivity data.....	27
Figure 5.6 Coded map for the selected projects in the study.....	27
Figure 5.7 Histogram of the percentage of the missing data for selected projects	29
Figure 5.8 Histogram for the drainage area for selected projects.....	29
Figure 5.9 Histogram for the log transformed geometric mean of K for selected projects	30
Figure 5.10 Histogram for the geometric mean of K for selected projects	30
Figure 5.11 Contour map of the hydraulic conductivity in project 01 Hafeer Shehab El-Deen	30

Figure 5.12 Contour map of the hydraulic conductivity in project 02 Brolos	30
Figure 6.1 Ordinary Kriging model assumption.....	31
Figure 6.2 Semivariogram example	34
Figure 6.3 Different variogram models.....	37
Figure 6.4 Nugget effect semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos	38
Figure 6.5 Circular semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos	38
Figure 6.6 Spherical semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos.....	39
Figure 6.7 Tetraspherical semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos	39
Figure 6.8 Pentaspherical semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos.....	39
Figure 6.9 Exponential semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos	40
Figure 6.10 Gaussian semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos	40
Figure 6.11 Rational Quadratic semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos.....	40
Figure 6.12 Hole Effect semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos	41
Figure 6.13 K-Bessel semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos	41
Figure 6.14 J-Bessel semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos	41
Figure 6.15 Stable semivariogram model type for project 02-Brolos	42
Figure 6.16 Available sector types for neighborhood search in ArcGIS. (a)One sector, (b) Four sectors, (c) Four sectors with 45°, and (d) Eight sectors.....	43
Figure 6.17 Number of neighbors used vs the predicted value	44
Figure 6.18 The frequency of using each semivariogram model type in the thirty projects	46
Figure 6.19 Selected semivariogram model for Area 01-Hafeer Shehab El-Deen.....	47
Figure 6.20 Histogram for the error in the geometric mean of hydraulic conductivity in kriged values for every project	49
Figure 6.21 Generated grid with spacing of 125X125m for project 02-Brolos	50
Figure 6.22 Histogram of the actual hydraulic conductivity data for project 02-Brolos	51
Figure 6.23 Histogram of the kriged hydraulic conductivity data for the generated grid for project 02-Brolos.....	51
Figure 6.24 Generated grid with spacing of 125X125m for Project 02-Brolos	53
Figure 6.25 Sampling locations of the actual grid spacing of 500X500m for Project 02-Brolos	53
Figure 6.26 Generated grid with spacing of 750X750m for Project 02-Brolos	54
Figure 6.27 Generated grid with spacing of 1000X1000m for Project 02-Brolos	54
Figure 6.28 Generated grid with spacing of 1250X1250m for Project 02-Brolos	55
Figure 6.29 Generated grid with spacing of 1500X1500m for Project 02-Brolos	55
Figure 7.1 Excel calculations for ANOVA test.....	57
Figure 7.2 Error percentage for each grid in five projects	59
Figure 7.3 projects locations in the Nile Delta with their optimal grid spacing.....	62
Figure 7.4 Histograms for the results of the optimal sampling density based on the Nile Delta regions.....	62
Figure 7.5 Histogram for the optimal grid spacing over the Nile Delta	63
Figure 7.6 Clay content distribution in the Nile Delta (%)	64
Figure 7.7 Optimal sampling density distribution in the Nile Delta (meters).....	64
Figure 7.8 Optimum sampling density vs. clay content between (5% - 25%).....	65
Figure 7.9 Optimum sampling density vs. clay content between (25% - 65%).....	65
Figure 7.10 Selected projects for testing the effect of changing the dense grid spacing.....	66
Figure 7.11 Results of the optimal sampling density using different dense grids.....	67

Figure 7.12 Reduction in cost of field investigation stage by changing the sampling grid size	68
Figure 7.13 Contour map for project 02-Brolos with grid size of 750mX750m.....	71
Figure 7.14 Contour map for project 03- Kom El-Tebn with grid size of 750mX750m	71
Figure 7.15 Contour map for project 06- Belad Al-Aied Al-Tanya with grid size of 750mX750m	72
Figure 7.16 Contour map for project 08- Al Barnogy with grid size of 750mX750m ..	72
Figure 7.17 Contour map for project 23-SandalaAl-Aula with grid size of 750mX750m	73
Figure 7.18 Contour map for project 26- Al Gazlan with grid size of 750mX750m	73
Figure 7.19 Sample map of the collectors boundaries and the grid points	74
Figure 7.20 Layout of project 02-Brolos.....	77
Figure 7.21 Layout for the collectors of phase two project 02-Brolos and the sampling locations.....	77
Figure 7.22 Layout for the collectors of phase two in project 02-Brolos and the kriged data.....	78
Figure 7.23 Distribution of lateral spacing acquired from the current practice and the proposed approach	78
Figure 7.24 Used data for developing a contour map for the hydraulic conductivity in the Nile Delta of Egypt	81
Figure 7.25 Generated grid points for the entire delta contour map	81
Figure 7.26 Contour map for the hydraulic conductivity (K) in the Nile Delta of Egypt (m/day)	82
Figure 7.27 Validation of the hydraulic conductivity contour map over the Nile Delta of Egypt (m/day)	83
Figure A.1 K-contour map for Area 01 Hafeer Shehab El-Deen	90
Figure A.2 K-contour map for Area 02 Brolos	90
Figure A.3 K-contour map for Area 03 Kom El-Tebn	90
Figure A.4 K-contour map for Area 04 Nemra 3 & Bahr El Baqar.....	90
Figure A.5 K-contour map for Area 05 Al Etwa Al-Tanya	90
Figure A.6 K-contour map for Area 06 Belad Al-Aied Al-Tanya.....	91
Figure A.7 K-contour map for Area 07 Al Sayah Al-Talta.....	91
Figure A.8 K-contour map for Area 08 Al Barnogy.....	91
Figure A.9 K-contour map for Area 09 Toson Al-Aula.....	91
Figure A.10 K-contour map for Area 10 Pharaoh Al-Tanya	91
Figure A.11 K-contour map for Area 11 Al Nawya qebly.....	91
Figure A.12 K-contour map for Area 12 Kafr Bany Salem Al-Aula.....	92
Figure A.13 K-contour map for Area 13 Kafr Bany Salem Al-Tanya	92
Figure A.14 K-contour map for Area 14 Ganabyet Kafr Al Batekh	92
Figure A.15 K-contour map for Area 15 Shalaby	92
Figure A.16 K-contour map for Area 16 Sandanhour Al-Tanya.....	92
Figure A.17 K-contour map for Area 17 Damanhour Al-Tanya.....	92
Figure A.18 K-contour map for Area 18 Itay Al Baroud Al-Talta.....	93
Figure A.19 K-contour map for Area 19 Harara	93
Figure A.20 K-contour map for Area 20 Al Shreshra Al-Aula	93
Figure A.21 K-contour map for Area 21 Al Shreshra Al-Talta.....	93
Figure A.22 K-contour map for Area 22 Kafr Al Hamayda	93
Figure A.23 K-contour map for Area 23 Sandala Al-Aula	94

Figure A.24 K-contour map for Area 24 Zefta Al-Talta.....	94
Figure A.25 K-contour map for Area 25 Nemra 7 Al Aala Al-Tanya.....	94
Figure A.26 K-contour map for Area 26 Al Gazlan	95
Figure A.27 K-contour map for Area 27 Al Daramaly	95
Figure A.28 K-contour map for Area 28 Sers Al lyan.....	95
Figure A.29 K-contour map for Area 29 Aybar Al-Aula.....	95
Figure A.30 K-contour map for Area 30 Nashrat Al Aala Al-Rabea	95
Figure B.1 Selected semivariogram model for Area 01-Hafeer Shehab El-Deen.....	96
Figure B.2 Selected semivariogram model for Area 02- Brolos	96
Figure B.3 Selected semivariogram model for Area 03- Kom El-Tebn	97
Figure B.4 Selected semivariogram model for Area 04- Nemra 3 & Bahr El Baqar	97
Figure B.5 Selected semivariogram model for Area 05- Al Etwa Al-Tanya	97
Figure B.6 Selected semivariogram model for Area 06- Belad Al-Aied Al-Tanya	98
Figure B.7 Selected semivariogram model for Area 07- Al Sayah Al-Talta	98
Figure B.8 Selected semivariogram model for Area 08- Al Barnogy	98
Figure B.9 Selected semivariogram model for Area 09- Toson Al-Aula	99
Figure B.10 Selected semivariogram model for Area 10- Pharaoh Al-Tanya	99
Figure B.11 Selected semivariogram model for Area 11- Al Nawya qebly	99
Figure B.12 Selected semivariogram model for Area 12- Kafr Bany Salem Al-Aula	100
Figure B.13 Selected semivariogram model for Area 13- Kafr Bany Salem Al-Tanya	100
Figure B.14 Selected semivariogram model for Area 14- Ganabyet Kafr Al Batekh .	100
Figure B.15 Selected semivariogram model for Area 15- Shalaby	101
Figure B.16 Selected semivariogram model for Area 16- Sandanhour Al-Tanya	101
Figure B.17 Selected semivariogram model for Area 17- Damanhour Al-Tanya.....	101
Figure B.18 Selected semivariogram model for Area 18- Itay Al Baroud Al-Talta ...	102
Figure B.19 Selected semivariogram model for Area 19- Harara.....	102
Figure B.20 Selected semivariogram model for Area 20- Al Shreshra Al-Aula.....	102
Figure B.21 Selected semivariogram model for Area 21- Al Shreshra Al-Talta	103
Figure B.22 Selected semivariogram model for Area 22- Kafr Al Hamayda.....	103
Figure B.23 Selected semivariogram model for Area 23- Sandala Al-Aula.....	103
Figure B.24 Selected semivariogram model for Area 24- Zefta Al-Talta	104
Figure B.25 Selected semivariogram model for Area 25- Nemra 7 Al Aala Al-Tanya	104
Figure B.26 Selected semivariogram model for Area 26- Al Gazlan.....	104
Figure B.27 Selected semivariogram model for Area 27- Al Daramaly	105
Figure B.28 Selected semivariogram model for Area 28- Sers Al lyan	105
Figure B.29 Selected semivariogram model for Area 29- Aybar Al-Aula	105
Figure B.30 Selected semivariogram model for Area 30- Nashrat Al Aala Al-Rabea	106

Nomenclature

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ArcGIS	Geographic information system for working with maps and geographic information.
cm	Centimeter
DRI	Drainage Research Institute
EPADP	Egyptian Public Authority for Drainage Projects
Feddan	Feddan = 4200 m ²
Geomean	Geometric Mean
ha	Hectare = 10000 m ²
ISAWIP	Integrated Soil and Water Improvement Project
K	Hydraulic conductivity
m	Meter
m/d	Meter per day
NWRC	National Water Research Center
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error

Abstract

The agricultural subsurface drainage system is a system which almost all the Nile Delta of Egypt uses to drain excess water from the soil to enhance crop production and to ensure irrigated agriculture sustainability. The design spacing between lateral drains is playing an important role in determining the efficiency of the subsurface drainage system and its cost. The main input for design is the value of the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil. The current practice in Egypt for economical and practical considerations requires dividing the drainage area into blocks and using a single spacing in this block. The selection of the value of the hydraulic conductivity that can be used in the design for this block is challenging due to the high spatial variability of the hydraulic conductivity. Currently, the design practice involves dividing the drainage block into a grid of 500 m X 500 m and the hydraulic conductivity is measured at each point of the grid using the auger-hole method. After conducting the field measurements, an average value of the measured hydraulic conductivities is obtained and used in the design. These practices have been applied for years and are applied for every new subsurface drainage project.

However, several questions may arise here and spark the needs for further investigations. A previous research stated that grid spacing 500 m X 500 m is sufficient to capture the spatial variability. However, it was site specific and it doesn't state if it is the optimum from the economic point of view, its relation to soil type, and if Kriging can provide a better way for estimating the hydraulic conductivity to be used in the design. Also, if we can get benefit of the available database in the Egyptian Public Authority for Drainage Projects (EPADP) to enhance the future designs and reduce the cost of new projects.

The main objective of this study is to determine the most suitable and feasible grid spacing to be used in the design. To fulfill this objective a geostatistical analysis (Kriging) and a data base of over 6000 hydraulic conductivity tests from different projects in the Nile Delta of Egypt has been used. The main software used for Kriging, generating data, and spatial analysis is the Geostatistical Analyst extension of ArcGIS, a geographic information system software for working with maps and geographic information. The data was digitized and analyzed from different aspects such as if Kriging conditions are applicable on the data. After that the ordinary Kriging was applied to create a fine grid spacing of 125mX125m using the available data of each project. New reduced grids were generated by excluding some points of the fine grid. Kriging estimates from the reduced grids were compared to the fine grid estimated from the actual data. The generated grids have been tested using ANOVA test to select the optimal sampling density of the hydraulic conductivity. After obtaining the optimal sampling density for every project it was correlated with the soil type. Two empirical equations function of soil type and two design charts were developed to obtain the optimal sampling density.

Based on the results, a new design procedure was developed to enhance the future design of the subsurface drainage system. Using the available data base, a contour map of the hydraulic conductivity in the Nile Delta was developed to help the decision makers in taking critical decisions such as preliminary cost estimate for the subsurface drainage project even before conducting a detailed field investigation.