



Cairo University

EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL MODELLING OF FLOATING ENCASED SAND COLUMNS SUPPORTING RIGID FOOTINGS IN VERY SOFT CLAY

By

Mahmoud Mohamed Ali Ibrahim

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CIVIL ENGINEERING – PUBLIC WORKS

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

**EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL MODELLING OF
FLOATING ENCASED SAND COLUMNS SUPPORTING
RIGID FOOTINGS IN VERY SOFT CLAY**

By

Mahmoud Mohmed Ali Ibrahim

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CIVIL ENGINEERING – PUBLIC WORKS

Prof. Dr. Abdelsalam M. Salem

.....
Professor of Geotechnical Engineering
and Foundations
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Rami M. El Sherbiny

.....
Professor of Geotechnical Engineering
and Foundations
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Mohie Eldin El Mashad

.....
Associate Professor of Soil Mechanics and
Foundations
Construction Research Institute
National Water Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

**EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL MODELLING OF
FLOATING ENCASED SAND COLUMNS SUPPORTING
RIGID FOOTINGS IN VERY SOFT CLAY**

By

Mahmoud Mohamed Ali Ibrahim

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CIVIL ENGINEERING – PUBLIC WORKS

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Abdelsalam M. Salem,

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Amer

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Ashraf Abd Al Hai Al Ashaal

External Examiner

(Professor of Geotechnical Engineering – National Water Research Center)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Engineer's Name: Mahmoud Mohamed Ali Ibrahim
Date of Birth: 1/8/1991
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Eng_mahmoud1991@ymail.com
Phone: 01010057804 – 01142532695
Address: 53th Abd El Hamed El Zamely Street, Matareya, Cairo
Registration Date: 01/03/2014
Awarding Date:/..../2018
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Civil Engineering - Public works



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Abdelsalam M. Salem
Prof. Dr. Rami M. El Sherbiny
Dr. Mohie Eldin El Mashad

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Abdelsalam M. Salem (Thesis Main Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Amer (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Ashraf Abd Al Hai Al Ashaal (External Examiner)
National Water Research Center

Title of Thesis:

Experimental and Numerical Modelling of Floating Encased Sand Columns
Supporting Rigid Footings in Very Soft Clay

Key Words:

Soft Clay; Encased Sand Column; Floating; Bearing; Soil Improvement

Summary:

The aim of this study is to investigate the effectiveness of partially penetrating encased sand columns on the settlement, and bearing capacity. In addition, consolidation process, stress transfer mechanism, and developed hoop strains are investigated through small-scale experimental model tests and numerical modelling. An experimental test for a partially penetrating GEC was modelled using the 3D finite element program (Plaxis 3D) for verification purpose. Using GECs with penetrating length ratios of 1.0, 0.8, and 0.6 resulted in settlement reductions of 82%, 80%, 68%, respectively, at a vertical applied load of 36 kPa. Bearing Capacity increased with increased the GECs penetration ratio. Hoop strains increased significantly at a penetration ratio of 0.6. Good agreement was observed between the results of the experimental and numerical models

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other universities or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledgement all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name:

Date:

Signature:

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to the most valuable and precious people in my life, my Parents. Those who without them I would have never reached anywhere in this life and my lovely wife Aliaa Hassan Elwan.

Acknowledgments

This acknowledgement is to those who gave me support and encouragement to complete this thesis. First of all my advisors, **Professor Abdelsalam M. Salem**, Professor of Geotechnical Engineering and Foundations – Cairo University, **Professor Rami EL Sherbiny**, Professor of Geotechnical Engineering and Foundations – Cairo University, **Dr. Mohie Eldin El Mashad**, Associate Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundations – National Water Research Center, **Professor Eehab Khalel**, Professor and head of Structure department – National Water Research Center , Engineer **Mohamed Kamal**, Teaching assistant of Geotechnical Engineering and Foundation – Cairo University.

Another Acknowledgment is for the administration of Construction Research Institute for facilitating and funding the experimental work of this thesis.

Table of Contents

Disclaimer.....	i
Signature:.....	i
Dedication.....	ii
Acknowledgments	iii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures.....	ix
Nomenclature.....	xiii
Abstract.....	xiv
Chapter 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Problem Statement	1
1.3. Research Objective.....	2
1.4. Research Methodology	2
1.5. Thesis Organization	2
Chapter 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. Introduction	3
2.2. Methods of Construction.....	4
2.2.1. Replacement (Excavation) method	4
2.2.2. Displacement method.....	5
2.2.2.1. Displacement method with casing.....	6
2.2.2.2. Displacement method using deep vibrator	6
2.3. Granular Columns Theory.....	7
2.3.1. Load transfer mechanism.....	8
2.3.1.1. Equal Strain	8
2.3.1.2. Equal stress.....	8
2.3.2. Unit cell concept	9
2.3.3. Stress concentration ratio	10
2.4. Encased Stone Columns with Geosynthetic.....	12
2.5. Design Considerations of Encased Granular Column:.....	14
2.5.1. Bearing capacity.....	14
2.5.2. Settlement of the granular columns	18
2.5.2.1. Stress reduction method (Aboshi et al, 1979)	19

2.5.2.2. Improvement factor method (Priepe, 1955)	20
2.5.2.3. Elastic Plastic method (Pulko and Majes, 2005; Castro and Sagasetta 2009)	
21	
2.5.3. Geosynthetic – encased granular column settlement	21
2.5.4. Consolidation	24
2.5.4.1. Smear zone effect	28
2.6. Numerical Analysis	43
Chapter 3 : EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	46
3.1. Introduction .	46
3.2. Material Properties	46
3.2.1. Sand properties	46
3.2.1.1. Grain size distribution using sieve analysis.....	46
3.2.1.2. Specific gravity.....	47
3.2.1.3. Relative density	47
3.2.1.4. Compaction test using Modified Proctor Compaction Method	
47	
3.2.1.5. Direct shear test	48
3.2.2. Clay properties	49
3.2.2.1. General	49
3.2.2.2. Grain size distribution (Hydrometer):	49
3.2.2.3. Atterberg limits and natural water content	50
3.2.2.4. Bulk unit weight and specific gravity.....	50
3.2.2.5. Chemical analysis test	50
3.2.2.6. Consolidation test	51
3.2.2.7. Triaxial test - consolidated undrained (CU)	52
3.2.3. Geotextile.....	53
3.2.3.1. General	53
3.2.3.2. Woven geotextile.....	53
3.2.3.3. Non - Woven geotextile	55
3.2.3.4. Geotextile strain scaling	57
3.2.3.5. Geotextile seaming	58
3.3. Instruments.....	60
3.3.1. Tank	60

3.3.2. Loading system	61
3.3.3. Load cell transducer	63
3.3.4. Soil pressure transducer	64
3.3.5. Pore pressure transducer	64
3.3.6. Strain gauges	65
3.3.7. Linear variable differential transformer (LVDT)	65
3.3.8. Data acquisition system	65
3.3.9. Vane shear device	67
3.3.10. Footing plate	68
3.4. Preparation of Soft Clay Bed	68
3.5. Strain Gauge Installation.....	68
3.6. Construction of the Geotextile Encasement.....	69
3.7. Installation of Encased Sand Columns.....	70
3.8. Test Procedure.....	71
3.9. Test Program	75
Chapter 4 : RESULT AND ANALYSIS	77
4.1.Introduction.....	77
4.1.1. Loading without treatment (test 1).....	77
4.1.2. Loading with 60% partially penetrating columns (test 2).....	79
4.1.3. Loading with 80% partially penetrating columns (test 3).....	81
4.1.4. Loading with 100% partially penetrating columns (test 4).....	83
4.2. Bearing Capacity.....	85
4.3. Load Transfer	87
4.3.1. GECs with 60% partially penetrating columns (L/H=0.6)	87
4.3.2. GECs with 80% partially penetrating columns (L/H=0.8)	88
4.3.3. GECs with 100% partially penetrating columns (L/H=1.0)	89
4.4. Stress Concentration Ratio.....	91
4.5. Hoop Strain	93
4.5.1. GECs with 60% partially penetrating columns.....	93
4.5.2. GECs with 80% partially penetrating columns.....	96
4.5.3. GECs with 100% partially penetrating columns.....	97
Chapter 5 : NUMERICAL ANALYSIS	100
5.1. Introduction.....	100
5.2. Model Geometry and Soil Properties.....	100

5.2.1. Material modelling.....	102
5.2.1.1. Hardening soil model (HS model).....	102
5.3. Comparison of Plaxis 3D and experimental results	104
5.3.1. Load transfer	104
5.3.2. Hoop tensile force	106
5.3.3. Bearing capacitys	108
5.4. Settlement and Consolidation	110
Chapter 6 : SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	113
6.1. General.....	113
6.2. Summery	113
6.3. Conclusions	113
6.4. Recommendation for Future Study	115
References.....	116

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Parameters of materials in Plaxis 3D model (Tandel et al., 2013).....	45
Table 3.1: Summary of performed tests and sand properties	49
Table 3.2: Atterberg limits for clay	50
Table 3.3: Concentration of water dissolved salts.....	51
Table 3.4: Consolidation parameters.....	51
Table 3.5: Triaxial CU results	52
Table 3.6: Summary of performed tests and clay properties.....	53
Table 3.7: Properties of hate C00.52 geotextile – according to manufacture specification.....	54
Table 3.8: Properties of wide width tensile strength according to ASTM D4595	55
Table 3.9: Properties of non-woven geotextile.....	56
Table 3.10: Properties of wide width tensile strength according to ASTM D4595	58
Table 5.1: Soil material properties used in PLAXIS model.....	103
Table 5.2 Geotextile material properties used in PLAXIS model.....	104

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Encased granular column under embankment schematic (Alexiew et al. 2005).....	4
Figure 2.2: The stages of construction the encased columns using excavation method (Gniel and Bouazza, 2010).....	5
Figure 2.3: The excavation method (Alexiew et al. 2005).....	5
Figure 2.4: Geosynthetic encased granular column installation using displacement method (Alexiew et al. 2005).....	6
Figure 2.5: Displacement method stages for encased granular columns (a) add the encasement inside the pipe, (b) fill the encasement using the granular material, (c) preparation of pull out the pipe, (d) the end of the installation process (Mello et al. 2008).....	7
Figure 2.6: Loading transfer mechanism (a) equal strain (b) equal stress.....	8
Figure 2.7: The mechanism of the load transfer in column under embankments (Simon and Schlosser 2006).....	9
Figure 2.8: Unit cell concept (a) applying uniform loading on the composite foundation soil (b) the columns pattern which assumed to be circular (c) unit cell with fixed end and no lateral deformation (Gniel and Bouazza, 2009).....	9
Figure 2.9: Unit Cell with Lateral Deformation (Han, 2001).....	10
Figure 2.10: Stress concentration ratio (a) stress-strain relation of column and soil (b) stress concentration relation-strain relation (Han, 2015).....	11
Figure 2.11: The model of stress distribution (Han, 2015).....	12
Figure 2.12: Geosynthetic encased granular column (Murugesan & Rajagopal, 2009).....	13
Figure 2.13: Hoop tension of the geotextile and the radial stress of the clay (Lo et al., 2010).....	13
Figure 2.14: Stone columns group failure mode.....	14
Figure 2.15: Single Granular Column Failure Wedge.....	16
Figure 2.16: Variation of stress concentration ratio versus modulus ratio, (Barksdale and Bachus 1983).....	20
Figure 2.17: Variation of improvement factor versus area replacement ratio, (Priebe, 1995).....	21
Figure 2.18: Unit cell idea of geosynthetic encased granular column (Raithel and Kampfert, 2000).....	23
Figure 2.19: Improvement factor of encased granular column (Raithel et al, 2005).....	24
Figure 2.20: Unit cell concept of consolidation model.....	26
Figure 2.21: Rater of consolidation in vertical and radial flow (Han and Yee, 2001).....	27
Figure 2.22: Pore water pressure dissipation, (Han and Yee, 2001).....	28
Figure 2.23: Unit Cell concept take smear effect into consider (Han and Yee, 2002).....	29
Figure 2.24: Unit Cell concept take smear effect into consider (Han and Yee, 2002).....	30
Figure 2.25: penetration length effect on stress concentration ratio.....	30
Figure 2.26: Schematic of strain gage installation along the column and unit cell of single column (a) Strain gauges fixed on the geotextile (b) strain gauges covered by flexible putty (Murugesan and Rajagopal, 2007).....	31
Figure 2.27: Variation of hoop strain along the column with depth (Murugesan and Rajagopal, 2007).....	31
Figure 2.28: Schematic of single and group stone columns models test (Murugesan and Rajagopal, 2010).....	32

Figure 2.29: Stone column diameter effect on applied vertical load (Murugesan and Rajagopal, 2010)	32
Figure 2.30: Relation between the hoop strains developed in the encasement and vertical stresses on 7.5 cm diameter non-woven ESC (Murugesan and Rajagopal, 2010)	33
Figure 2.31: Variation of hoop strain along 7.5 cm diameter ESC (Murugesan and Rajagopal, 2010)	33
Figure 2.32: Schematic view of single stone column foundation (Ali et al. , 2012).....	34
Figure 2.33: Relation between vertical stress and settlement at different column lengths (Ali et al., 2012)	34
Figure 2.34: Effect of encasement length on vertical stress – settlement curve at end bearing state (Ali et al. , 2012)	35
Figure 2.35: Schematic view of single stone column foundation and with group stone column foundations (Ali et al. , 2014).....	36
Figure 2.36: Effect of column encasement on composite soil foundation bearing capacity, (Ali et al. , 2014)	36
Figure 2.37: Undrained shear stress variation along soil profile (Almedia et al, 2014).....	37
Figure 2.38: Section view and instrumentation location (Almedia et al, 2014).....	38
Figure 2.39: Measure settlement readings on the column and the soil bed (Almedia et al, 2014).....	38
Figure 2.40: Horizontal displacement variation along the column measured by inclinometers (Almedia et al, 2014)	39
Figure 2.41: Vertical stresses on the ESC and the soil bed (Almedia et al, 2014).....	39
Figure 2.42: Vertical stresses on the ESC and the soil bed (Almedia et al, 2014).....	40
Figure 2.43: Vertical stresses on the ESC and the soil bed (Almedia et al, 2014).....	40
Figure 2.44: Applied stress versus settlement for different column type (Ghaith, 2017)	42
Figure 2.45: stress concentration ratios for encased and ordinary column and PVD column, (Ghaith and Han , 2017)	42
Figure 2.46: Consolidation rate at different pressure level and type of columns (Ghaith and Han , 2017)	43
Figure 2.47: 3D numerical model of encased stone column support embankment (Tandel et al., 2013)	44
Figure 2.48: Spacing to diameter ratio effect on ESC, (Tandel et al., 2013)	45
Figure 3.1: Grain size distribution of sand sample.....	46
Figure 3.2: Variation of dry unit weight versus water content.....	47
Figure 3.3: Variation of shear stress and shear displacement	48
Figure 3.4: Variation of shear strength and normal stress.....	48
Figure 3.5: Grain size distribution of clay sample	50
Figure 3.6: Variation of void ratio versus applied stress of the clay	51
Figure 3.7: Stress – strain curve for soft clay.....	52
Figure 3.8: Cutting geotextile machine	54
Figure 3.9: Tensile strength test results for intact samples	55
Figure 3.10: Non-woven geotextile tests (fabric weight – specimen cutting - tensile strength - puncture test – thickness test – tensile strength)	56
Figure 3.11: Strain gauge conduct on woven geotextile	57
Figure 3.12: Relation of global strain versus local strain.....	58
Figure 3.13: Seaming woven geotextile wide strength tensile test	59
Figure 3.14: Wide width tensile strength test results for seaming samples.....	59
Figure 3.15: Photo of steel tank.....	60

Figure 3.16: Drainage system component at the bottom of the tank.....	61
Figure 3.17: Schematic view of the physical model configuration	62
Figure 3.18: Hinge support connection	62
Figure 3.19: Laboratory physical model configuration.....	63
Figure 3.20: Load cell transducer device	63
Figure 3.21: Soil pressure transducer device.....	64
Figure 3.22: Pore pressure transducer device.....	64
Figure 3.23: Linear variable differential transformer device	65
Figure 3.24: Data Acquisition system	66
Figure 3.25: Strain meter details	66
Figure 3.26: Vane shear device	67
Figure 3.27: The vane bladed	67
Figure 3.28: Strain gages installation on the outer surface of the encasement.....	69
Figure 3.29: Seaming cylindrical encased geotextile.....	69
Figure 3.30: PVC pipe encased with geotextile	70
Figure 3.31: Encased PVC pipe pushed in the clay	70
Figure 3.32: Sand compaction inside PVC column.....	71
Figure 3.33: Encased sand column installation	72
Figure 3.34: Encased sand column installation	73
Figure 3.35: Schematic view of the encased sand columns	74
Figure 3.36: Schematic view of strain gauge locations along the ESC.....	75
Figure 3.37: Schematic view of pore pressure sensors locations along the ESC.....	75
Figure 4.1: Settlement in clay soil bed without encased sand columns	77
Figure 4.2: Excess pore water pressure dissipation for clay soil bed without ESC	78
Figure 4.3: Rate of consolidation for clay soil bed without ESC.....	78
Figure 4.4: Settlement for soil with partially penetrating ratio of 0.6.....	79
Figure 4.5: Excess pore water pressure dissipation for soil improved with partially penetrating ratio of 0.6	79
Figure 4.6: consolidation rate for soil reinforced with partially penetrating ratio of 0.6	80
Figure 4.7: comparison of consolidation rate for experimental results and theoretical solution at 0.1m depth	81
Figure 4.8: comparison of consolidation rate for experimental results and theoretical solution at 0.3m depth	81
Figure 4.9: settlement of soil reinforced with partially penetrating columns ratio of 0.8	82
Figure 4.10: Excess pore water pressure dissipation for soil improved with partially penetrating columns ratio of 0.8	82
Figure 4.11: Rate of consolidation for soil improved with partially penetrating columns ratio of 0.8	83
Figure 4.12: settlement of soil reinforced with partially penetrating columns ratio 1.0	84
Figure 4.13: Excess pore water pressure dissipation for soil improved with partially penetrating columns ratio 1.0	84
Figure 4.14: Rate of consolidation for soil improved with ESC bearing test (L/H=1.0)	85
Figure 4.15: Load – Settlement curve of clay soil bed tests.....	86
Figure 4.16: Bearing capacity of the soil clay bed tests	87
Figure 4.17: stresses transferred on GECs (L/H=0.6)	88
Figure 4.18: Load transfer mechanism on GECs (L/H=0.6).....	88
Figure 4.19: stresses transferred on GECs (L/H=0.8).....	89
Figure 4.20: Load transfer mechanism on GECs (L/H=0.8).....	89