



**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
ELECTRICAL POWER AND MACHINES
DEPARTMENT**

Integrated Resource Planning in the Egyptian Electricity System

Submitted by:

Khaled Mohamed Shehata Abdel Gawad

A thesis submitted to the faculty of Engineering at Ain-Shams
University in Partial Fulfilment of the requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science

In

**Electrical Power and Machines Engineering
Under supervision of**

Dr. Walid El-Khattam

Associate Professor
Department of Electrical Power
and Machines
Faculty of Engineering
Ain-Shams University

Prof. Hafez A. El- Salmawy

Professor of Energy Engineering
Department of Mechanical Power
Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Zagazig University

**Cairo, Egypt
April 2018**

Curriculum Vitae

Engineer's Name: Khaled Mohamed Shehata Abdel Gawad

Date of Birth: 25/11/1987

Nationality: Egyptian

Current Job: Advisor

Employer: German Agency for International
Cooperation (GIZ)

Degree: Master of Science (M.Sc.)

Registration Date: June 2012

Address: 5 Hosny Ashmawy St, Heliopolis

E-mail: Shehata_khaled@hotmail.com

Mob: +2 0100 267 6162

Statement

This thesis is submitted to Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Electrical Power and Machines Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author, at the Electrical Power and Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

No Part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other universities.

Signature

Khaled Mohamed Shehata Abdel Gawad

Date: 4/4/2018

Board of Supervisors

The undersigned certify that they have read and recommended to the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University for acceptance a thesis entitled **“Integrated Resource Planning in the Egyptian Electricity System”** submitted by **Khaled Mohamed Shehata Abdel Gawad** in partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Electrical Engineering

Signature

1. Prof. Hafez A. El-Salmawy

Professor of Energy Engineering
Department of Mechanical Power Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Zagazig University

2. Dr. Walid Aly Seif El-Islam El-Khattam

Associate Professor,
Department of Electrical Power and Machines
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Date: 4/4/2018

Examiners Committee

The undersigned certify that they have read and recommended to the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for acceptance a thesis entitled **“Integrated Resource Planning in the Egyptian Electricity System”** submitted by **Khaled Mohamed Shehata Abdel Gawad**, in partial Fulfilment for the Requirements of degree of Master of Science in Electrical Engineering

Signature

1. Prof. Mohab Awad Mokhtar Halouda

Professor of Electrical Power Engineering
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University

2. Prof. Hesham Kamel Abdel Latif Temraz

Professor of Electrical Power Engineering
Electrical Power and Machines Department,
Faculty of Engineering,
Ain Shams University

3. Prof. Hafez A. El Salmawy

Professor of Mechanical Power Engineering
Department of Mechanical Power Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering,
Zagazig University

4. Dr. Walid Aly Seif El-Islam El-Khattam

Associate Professor of Electrical Power Engineering
Electrical Power and Machines Department,
Faculty of Engineering,
Ain Shams University

Date: 4/4/2018

Acknowledgement

The effort in putting together this research work has been shared by many people. Foremost, I am grateful of Allah for blessing me with intellect and knowledge to pursue this research work. I would like to thank Ain Shams University for providing me with the platform for undertaking this research work and providing me with the latest facilities to assist me in my research work.

I sincerely thank my advisors **Prof. Hafez A. El Salmawy** and **Dr. Walid El-Khattam** for giving me the opportunity to pursue my research under their guidance. It has been a great learning experience working with them. I would also like to express my deepest gratitude towards them for offering instructive advice at all times, treating me with endurance, being patient and constantly pushing me to do better every time.

I sincerely would like to thank one of my best colleagues that I had the chance to work with in a governmental project, **Rocco De Miglio**, for helping me with the technical issues in the mathematical model as well as sharing his review which guided me through the thesis.

I sincerely thank my Wife, **Alaa Ibrahim**, for her continuous support, help, patience and understanding. She constantly kept pushing me to do my best throughout of this long journey in the Master's degree level.

Last, but not the least, I thank my family and friends who have been a constant source of encouragement and support for me throughout till I have earned my Master of Science degree.

Abstract

In this thesis, swarm based optimization techniques are utilized to develop an accurate and computationally efficient mathematical model using MatLab programming tool to solve the IRP planning for a power system. These include two swarm intelligence techniques; Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Fire Fly Optimization (FFO). The developed IRP model is verified against published results for the future plans of the Indian electricity system.

The developed IRP optimization mathematical model is used to investigate IRP planning of the Egyptian power system. Since there are committed plans of the power system in Egypt till 2019/2020, the study base year is taken to be 2019/2020. The IRP planning tool is applied to explore the development of the system over the next 20 years up to 2039/2040.

Two base cases regarding the objective functions are considered. These are; the minimum total cost per MWh of electrical energy demand and minimum average heat rate (fossil fuel consumption) of electrical energy demand. Other system constraints are considered. Furthermore, technical, financial and cost databases considering the most reliable data have developed to be utilized as input to the model. Also, a variant for the minimum cost scenario is to consider using FFO as an optimization technique instead of PSO, which has been used as a base technique in this thesis. Parametric study has been carried out for the impact of some important parameters on the model results. Finally, an IRP analysis is carried out for the impact of DSM and CO₂ costing as well as both.

The impact of IRP planning on the existing pool has been analyzed. Furthermore, the structure of the generation pool at different milestone years as well as by the end of the planning horizon in 2039/2040, has been assessed and analyzed. This analysis took into consideration seven indicators. These include; Share of non carbon based energy generation, Share of installed capacities of different renewable energy technologies, Average heat rate, Average specific CO₂ emissions, Levelized energy cost per MWh, Herfindahl-Hirschmann Index for supply diversification and the Total investments needs over the planning period.

Based on the output results it has been found that regardless the scenario, a total capacity of 13.2 GW should be phased-out permanently of the existing power pool, as they will not be needed along the planning period of 20 years. These may be due to their low efficiency or unsuitable capacity. This means that an asset management program is needed to optimize the utilization of these assets. This program should include technical and commercial dimensions.

Also it has been found that, the share of the renewable energy for all scenarios will be around 34%-43% depending on the scenario. The higher limit is for minimum heat rate, while the lower limit is for the minimum cost using FFO scheme. This ratio will increase as other non hydrocarbon sources, such as nuclear sources are considered. This necessitates having higher share of simple cycle gas turbine in the system to provide the necessary capacity credit to this share of intermittent renewable sources. Yet, the system is designed in a way that reliance on these simple cycle gas turbine units for energy generation is minimum. Moreover, all scenarios show minor reliance on coal as source of supply. Using IRP optimization algorithm shows a general tendency of all scenarios towards progressive improvement in HHI, meaning better diversification of the supply sources

Considering different planning scenarios, it has been found that, in case of minimum heat rate objective function, the highest share of renewable energy as well as non carbon based sources can be achieved. Also, it will ensure the highest diversification of energy supply sources, yet it will not achieve the lowest energy cost to consumers. Furthermore, higher GDP rate with minimum cost objective function has the second highest share of renewable energy as well as low average heat rate almost similar to that minimum heat rate scenario, which represent multiple benefits to the economy. However, the latter will lead to higher investments needs. Yet, this should not be looked as a burden, on the contrary this represents an investment opportunity.

It is important to mention that, in case if the system operator diverts from the planned mode targeting minimum reliance on the simple cycle gas turbines as source for energy supply, due to operational circumstances, this will have minor negative impact on the average heat rate, yet it will have positive impact on levelized energy prices and total investments needs, compared with the base scenario.

The lowest energy cost can be achieved when DSM is applied. Also, the lowest investment needs can be achieved when a combined scenario of DSM and CO₂ costing is applied.

This thesis provides an effective decision support tool, which can help decision makers to achieve better results for ensuring; security of supply, optimum utilization of resources, fair cost to consumers and minimum impact on the environment.

Contents

| | | |
|------------------|---|----------------|
| Chapter 1 | Introduction |1 |
| 1.1 | Introduction |1 |
| 1.2 | Thesis Objectives |5 |
| 1.3 | Thesis Structure |9 |
| Chapter 2 | Status and Application of Integrated Resource Planning |10 |
| 2.1 | Introduction |10 |
| 2.2 | Application of IRP |11 |
| 2.3 | Application of DSM |13 |
| 2.4 | Renewables Capacity Credit and IRP |15 |
| 2.5 | Chronological Development of Power Systems Programming |18 |
| 2.6 | Classification of the Optimization Algorithms |21 |
| 2.6.1 | Genetic Algorithms (GA) for Optimization |22 |
| 2.6.2 | Ant-Colony System (ACS) |23 |
| 2.6.3 | Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) |26 |
| 2.6.4 | Firefly Optimization (FFO) |30 |
| 2.7 | Discussion of the Previous work and Scope of the Present Work |33 |
| Chapter 3 | Model Mathematical Formulation |36 |
| 3.1 | Introduction |36 |
| 3.2 | Scope and Justification of the Optimization Model |39 |
| 3.3 | Mathematical Model Equations |47 |
| 3.4 | Optimization Algorithms |52 |
| 3.4.1 | PSO Algorithm Description |53 |
| 3.4.2 | FFO Algorithm Description |54 |
| 3.5 | Verification of the Chosen Optimization Techniques |55 |

| | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------|
| Chapter 4 | Egyptian Power System |58 |
| 4.1 | Introduction |58 |
| 4.2 | Current Status and Recent Development in the Power Sector in Egypt |59 |
| 4.3 | The Egyptian Generation Mix |61 |
| 4.4 | Renewables' Potential in Egypt |66 |
| 4.5 | Capacity Credit of Renewables in Egypt |69 |
| 4.6 | Development in Planning Practices |72 |
| 4.7 | Alternative Scenarios Considered |73 |
| Chapter 5 | Results and Analysis |76 |
| 5.1 | Introduction |76 |
| 5.2 | Asset Management Analysis of the Generation Pool before 2019/2020 |77 |
| 5.3 | Analysis of the Base Case Scenarios |81 |
| 5.4 | Parametric Analysis |94 |
| 5.4.1 | Effect of GDP Growth Rate |94 |
| 5.4.2 | Impact of Higher Fuel Prices |104 |
| 5.4.3 | Low Solar Investments |112 |
| 5.4.4 | Operational Scheme to Balance Renewables |120 |
| 5.5 | Integrated Resource Planning Scenarios |129 |
| 5.5.1 | DSM Scenario |129 |
| 5.5.2 | Environmental Scenario |140 |
| 5.5.3 | DSM & Environmental Scenario |147 |
| 5.6 | Summary |156 |
| Chapter 6 | Summary and Conclusions |158 |
| | References |165 |

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Appendices |170 |
| Appendix A: Annual LEC of Different Technologies |170 |
| Appendix B: Generation Mix Power Plants – 2019/2020 |180 |
| Appendix C: Base Case (a) – PSO Scenario Results |186 |
| Appendix D: Base Case (a) – FFO Scenario Results |187 |
| Appendix E: Base Case (b) Scenario Results |188 |
| Appendix F: Low GDP Scenario Results |189 |
| Appendix G: High GDP Scenario Results |190 |
| Appendix H: High Fuel Prices Scenario Results |191 |
| Appendix I: Low Solar Investments Scenario Results |192 |
| Appendix J: Balancing Renewables Scenario Results |193 |
| Appendix K: DSM Scenario Results |194 |
| Appendix L: Environmental Scenario Results |195 |
| Appendix M: DSM & Environmental Scenario Results |196 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----------|--|----------|
| Table 3.1 | Base Case Mathematical Model Assumptions |42 |
| Table 3.2 | Base Case Annual Fuel Costs |46 |
| Table 3.3 | Capacities Addition and Capital Costs |56 |
| Table 3.4 | Case Study Results vs. PSO & FFO Results |57 |
| Table 4.1 | Conventional Generation Expansion Plan for 2017-2020 |63 |
| Table 4.2 | Planned Projects for Wind Energy till 2025 |68 |
| Table 4.3 | Planned Projects for Solar PV till 2022 |69 |
| Table 4.4 | Base Case Scenarios' Description |73 |
| Table 4.5 | Different Sensitivity Parameters and other Scenarios |75 |
| Table 5.1 | Total Added Capacities (MW) – Year 2039/2040 – Base Case Scenarios |83 |
| Table 5.2 | Total Added Capacities (MW) – Year 2039/2040 – Base Case (a) – PSO and Low Solar Investments Scenarios |113 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|----------|--|---------|
| Fig. 1.1 | IRP General Procedure |4 |
| Fig. 1.2 | Thesis Flowchart |8 |
| Fig. 2.1 | Graphical Representation of the ACS Optimization Technique in the form of a Multi-Levelled Network |25 |
| Fig. 2.2 | Solution Flowchart for PSO Algorithm |28 |
| Fig. 2.3 | Pseudo Code of PSO |29 |
| Fig. 2.4 | Pseudo Code of FFO |31 |
| Fig. 2.5 | Solution Flowchart for FFO Algorithm |33 |
| Fig. 4.1 | General Layout of Electricity Grid |59 |
| Fig. 4.2 | Peak Demand Growth Rate Vs. GDP |64 |
| Fig. 4.3 | Energy Demand Growth Rate Vs. GDP |64 |
| Fig. 4.4 | Development of the Installed Capacities and Peak Demand |65 |
| Fig. 4.5 | Cumulative Probability of the Available Reserve |70 |
| Fig. 5.1 | Asset Management Conclusion – Base Case Scenarios |79 |
| Fig. 5.2 | Annual Installed Capacity vs. Annual Peak Demand (MW) – Base Case Scenarios |82 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|---------|
| Fig. 5.3 | Annual Generated Energy vs. Annual Energy Demand (GWh) – Base Case Scenarios |82 |
| Fig. 5.4 | System Capacity Topology – Year 2039/2040 – Base Case Scenarios |84 |
| Fig. 5.5 | System Energy Generated Topology – Year 2039/2040 – Base Case Scenarios |85 |
| Fig. 5.6 | Primary Sources Consumption – Milestone Years – Base Case Scenarios |87 |
| Fig. 5.7 | Herfindahl-Hirschmann Index (HHI) – Milestone Years – Base Case Scenarios |88 |
| Fig. 5.8 | CO ₂ Emissions in the System (kt) – Base Case Scenarios |89 |
| Fig. 5.9 | Avoided CO ₂ Emissions in the System (kt) – Base Case Scenarios |90 |
| Fig. 5.10 | Current Cost / MWh (USD/MWh) – Base Case Scenarios |91 |
| Fig. 5.11 | Discounted Cost / MWh (USD/MWh) – Base Case Scenarios |91 |
| Fig. 5.12 | Investment Costs of Added Capacities by the Final Year – Base Case Scenarios |92 |
| Fig. 5.13 | Cumulative Conclusion – Year 2039/2040 – Base Case Scenarios |93 |
| Fig. 5.14 | Annual Peak Demand (MW) at Different GDP Values |95 |
| Fig. 5.15 | Annual Energy Demand (MWh) at Different GDP Values |95 |