

EFFICACY OF HYALURONIC ACID IN DECREASING THE INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR OSTEITIS FOLLOWING SURGICAL EXTRACTION OF MANDIBULAR MOLARS

Thesis Submitted For Partial Fulfilment Of The Requirements For Master
Degree In Oral And Maxillofacial Surgery

By

Ramy Hamdy Seif El-Nasr El-Shater

B.D.S 2009

Faculty of Dentistry

Misr International University (MIU)

Supervisors

Marwa Abdelwahab El-kassaby

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University

Karim Mohamed Mahmoud Abdel-Mohsen

Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry – Ain Shams University

2018

Disclosure

This thesis was a part of a project conducted on the different etiologies, risk factors and prevention of Alveolar Osteitis.

There was no conflict of interest nor profit from the companies of any of the materials used in this study.

This project included the thesis of dear colleagues:

Ayman Omar Mohamed Tawfik titled: Assessment of Atraumatic Extraction Technique on the Incidence of Alveolar Osteitis.

Hany Salah El-Din Mohamed titled: The effect of chlorohexidine on the prevention of alveolar osteitis after surgical extraction of lower molars.

Fayrouz Abdel Hamid titled: The effect of clindamycin on the incidence of alveolar osteitis in cases of acute infection.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisors:

Dr. Marwa Abdel-Wahab El-Kassaby, professor of oral and maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her continuous encouragement, guidance and efforts throughout writing this thesis.

Dr. Karim Mohamed Abdel-Mohsen, lecturer of oral and maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his valuable and helpful advice.

Special thanks to Prof. Salah Abdel-Fattah Metwally head of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery department for his support.

My sincere thanks to the staff members of the Oral Surgery department, my colleagues at Misr International University for their constant support and co-operation.

My forever gratefulness and gratitude for my beloved family who support and contain me through every step of my life with unmatched warmth and devotion.

Dedication

To my beloved family, those who'd passed, and those I'm blessed to have around me. I'm forever indebted and grateful to your constant support and love.

List of contents

<u>Title:</u>	<u>Page:</u>
List of abbreviations	i
List of figures	ii
List of tables	v
Introduction.....	1
Review of literature.....	3
- Normal socket healing.....	4
- Development of dry socket.....	5
- Alveolar osteitis clinical presentation.....	7
- Etiopathogenesis and risk factors.....	8
- Management and prevention of AO.....	16
- Hyaluronic acid, history and structure.....	25
- Normal synthesis and degradation.....	26
- Normal distribution and physiologic role of HA.....	27
- Medical and dental therapeutic applications.....	30
Aim of the study.....	33
Patients and methods.....	34
- Patients selection.....	35
- Materials used.....	37
- Pre-surgical assessment	39
- Surgical technique.....	39
- Post operative care/ instructions.....	49
- Case Examples.....	52
Results.....	59
- Base line characteristics	60
- Pain (VAS) scores.....	66
- Prevalence of AO.....	85
Discussion.....	93

Summary.....	103
Conclusion.....	106
Recommendations.....	106
References.....	107
Appendix.	
Arabic summary.	

List of Abbreviations:

AO: Alveolar Osteitis

NSAIDs: Non-Steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

CHX: Chlorohexidine

PRGF: Plasma rich in growth factors

PHBA: Para-hydroxy benzoic acid

PLA: Poly-lactic acid.

LLLT: Low level laser therapy.

HA: Hyaluronic acid

VAS: Visual analogue scale

CD44: Cluster of differentiation 44

RHAMM: Receptor for hyaluronic acid-mediated motility

HABP: Hyaluronic acid binding protein

OPG: Orthopantogram

List of Figures:

Page:

Figure 1: Cutanplast ^R , plain gelatin sponge used in this study.....	37
Figure 2: Gengigel ^R ; high molecular weight hyaluronic acid used in the study.....	38
Figure 3: Carpule of local anesthetic used for the study.....	40
Figure 4: Procedural steps for sectioning/ extraction of erupted badly broken down molars.....	41
Figure 5: Study group I subgroup A; hyaluronic acid-loaded gelatin sponge in extraction socket.....	42
Figure 6: Positive control group II subgroup A; plain gel. sponge placement in empty socket.....	43
Figure 7: Socket approximation by figure-8 sutures in control group I subgroup A	44
Figure 8: Study group I subgroup B; distoangular impacted mandibular left third molar	46
Figure 9: Positive control group II subgroup B; distoangular impacted mandibular left third molar.....	47
Figure 10: Control group III subgroup B; horizontally impacted mandibular left third molar.....	48
Figure 11: Badly broken down endodontically treated mandibular left first molar, Study group I subgroup A	52
Figure 12: Partially impacted mesioangular mandibular right third molar, Study group I subgroup B	53

Figure 13: Badly broken down mandibular right first molar with periapical granuloma, Positive control group II subgroup A	55
Figure 14: Distoangular impacted mandibular left third molar, Positive control group II subgroup B.....	56
Figure 15: Vertically fractured mandibular left first molar. Control group I, subgroup A	57
Figure 16: Horizontally impacted mandibular right third molar, Control group I, subgroup B	58
Figure 17: Pie chart of males and females' distribution in the study	61
Figure 18: Pie chart distribution of the mean age values among males and female patients	61
Figure 19: Bar chart showing site and number of erupted molars in the study.....	62
Figure 20: Bar chart showing positions of impacted molars in each group..	63
Figure 21: Bar chart representation of the mean values of pain in the three groups of the study using VAS.....	67
Figure 22: Box plot representing median and range values for pain (VAS) scores in the three groups [Subgroup A].....	70
Figure 23: Box plot representing median and range values for pain (VAS) scores in the three groups [Subgroup B].....	72
Figure 24: Box plot representing median and range values for pain (VAS) scores in the two subgroups [Study Group I]	75

Figure 25: Box plot representing median and range values for pain (VAS) scores in the two subgroups [Positive Control Group II]	77
Figure 26: Box plot representing median and range values for pain (VAS) scores in the two subgroups [Control Group III]	79
Figure 27: Line chart representing change by time in median pain scores within each group [Subgroup A]	82
Figure 28: Line chart representing change by time in median pain scores within each group [Subgroup B].....	85
Figure 29: Developed AO in left mandibular first molar with exposed bony walls.....	88
Figure 30: Variability in healing of extraction sockets of left first and third molars.....	89
Figure 31: Variability in healing between HA treated and positive control sockets at day seven	90
Figure 32: Healing of HA treated right second molar socket at day seven...	90
Figure 33: Healing of HA treated left first molar socket at days zero and follow up days three and seven	91
Figure 34: Healing of HA treated partially impacted right third molar at day zero and day seven	92

List of Tables:**Page:**

Table 1: Subgroup A of the study (erupted mandibular molars)	36
Table 2: Subgroup B of the study (impacted mandibular third molars)	36
Table 3: Gender distribution in the study.....	60
Table 4: Age distribution of participants in the study	61
Table 5: Site and number distribution of cases in subgroup A	62
Table 6: Position of impacted cases in subgroup B.....	63
Table 7: Mean, standard deviation (SD), frequencies (n) and percentages of molars and impacted molar types in the three groups.....	65
Table 8: The mean values of pain recorded in all groups through the study.....	67
Table 9: Descriptive comparison between pain (VAS) scores in the three groups [Subgroup A (Erupted Molars)].....	69
Table 10: Descriptive comparison between pain (VAS) scores in the three groups [Subgroup B (Impacted third molars)].....	71
Table 11: Descriptive comparison between pain (VAS) scores in the two subgroups [Study group I].....	74
Table 12: Descriptive comparison between pain (VAS) scores in the two subgroups [Positive Control Group II]	76
Table 13: Descriptive comparison between pain (VAS) scores in the two subgroups [Control Group III]	78