



**Efficacy of Brimonidine Tartarate 0.2%
Ophthalmic Solution on Reducing Night
Vision Difficulty and Improving Contrast
Sensitivity After Laser in Situ Keratomileusis**

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master
Degree in Ophthalmology*

By

Ayat Mohamed Yousri Alashry

M.B.B.,ch

Supervised by

Prof. Abdel Rahman Gaber Salman

Professor of Ophthalmology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Samah Mahmoud Fawzy

Lecturer of Ophthalmology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Tarek Mohammad Abdel Aziz

Lecturer of Ophthalmology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2018

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العليم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**,
the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound
gratitude to **Prof. Abdel Rahman Gaber Salman**,
Professor of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams
University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable
advice and continuous encouragement.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and
thanks to **Dr. Samah Mahmoud Fawzy**, Lecturer of
Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University,
for her kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions,
constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Tarek Mohammad
Abdel Aziz**, Lecturer of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine –
Ain Shams University, for his great help and active
participation.*

*I am also thankful to **Mour Al-Hayah eye center** staff
for their cooperation and great help to accomplish this work.*

Ayat Mohamed Yousry

Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	1
List of Figures	2
List of Abbreviations	4
Introduction	1
Aim of the Study	8
Review of Literature	
▪ LASIK Complications	9
▪ Post LASIK Night Vision Difficulties	17
▪ Brimonidine Tartrate in Treatment of Post Lasik Night Vision Difficulties	30
▪ Assessment of Post LASIK Patient Visual Satisfaction..	35
Materials and Methods	40
Results	47
Discussion	61
Conclusion	68
Recommendations	69
Summary	70
References	72
Appendix	90
Arabic Summary	—

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Changes in means of scotopic pupil diameter.....	48
Table (2):	Changes in means of photopic pupil diameter.....	50
Table (3):	Changes in means of log contrast sensitivity.....	52
Table (4):	Changes in means of spherical aberrations - Repeated measure ANOVA test.	54
Table (5):	Changes in means of RMS of total aberrations, Repeated measure ANOVA test.....	56
Table (6):	Visual satisfaction assessment questionnaire (Two tailed paired t-test).	60

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Diffuse distribution of moderate DLK.....	12
Figure (2):	Flap striae emanating from the flap hinge area	13
Figure (3):	Droplet like epithelial ingrowth.....	15
Figure (4):	Difference between double image, glare, halos, starbursts post-LASIK complaints.....	17
Figure (5):	Zernike polynomials.....	21
Figure (6):	Corneal topography of a horizontally decentered ablation.....	23
Figure (7):	Ablation zone diameter is larger than the dilated pupil of the patient.....	27
Figure (8):	Chemical structure of Brimonidine tartrate.....	31
Figure (9):	Ray tracing wave-front aberrometer.....	38
Figure (10):	Pelli-Robson contrast sensitivity chart.....	43
Figure (11):	Zywave Aberrometer used in the study.	44
Figure (12):	Night vision complaints as described to the patient in the questionnaire, a) double image, b) glare, c) halos and d) starbursts.	45
Figure (13):	Changes in means of scotopic pupil diameter readings(in mm).....	48
Figure (14):	Changes in means of photopic pupil diameter readings (in mm).....	50
Figure (15):	Changes in means of Log contrast sensitivity.....	52
Figure (16):	Contrast sensitivity, a) before instillation, b) after 30 minutes, c) after 4 hours and, d) after 2 months.....	53
Figure (17):	Changes in means of spherical aberration (in μm).	55

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (18):	Changes in means of RMS of total aberrations (in μm).....	57
Figure (19):	Aberration profiles, a) before instillation, b) 30 minutes after instillation, c) after 4 hours and d) after 2 months.	58
Figure (20):	Changes in means of questionnaire scores with standard deviation.....	60

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
CS.....	Contrast Sensitivity
DLK	Diffuse lamellar keratitis
FDA.....	Food And Drug Administration
HOA	Higher Order Aberrations
LASIK.....	Laser Insitu Keratome
MMC	Mitomycin C
NVD	Night Vision Difficulties
PRK.....	Photo-Refractive Keratectomy
RMS	Root Mean Square
SA.....	Spherical Aberrations
SD	Standard Deviation
SMILE	Small Incision Lenticule Extraction
VA	Visual Acuity

Introduction

LASIK (laser in situ keratomileusis) is a surgical procedure designed to correct refractive errors. LASIK involves creating a corneal flap using a microkeratome, reshaping the cornea using excimer laser to remove tissue from the underlying stromal bed and then replacing the flap. LASIK is indicated for the correction of low, moderate, and high myopia and hypermetropia with and without astigmatism. The correction of high myopia may present a greater risk of post-LASIK complications. The surgeon should decide whether LASIK is indicated based on a full preoperative evaluation and consideration of goals and alternatives (*Feldman and Huang, 2015*).

Candidates for LASIK procedures should have a stable refraction for at least 12 months. The main contraindications for LASIK include patients with keratoconus, autoimmune disease, active corneal or ocular disease (*Doane et al., 1996*).

As LASIK is a rapidly evolving ophthalmic surgical procedure, several anatomic and refractive complications have been identified. Anatomic complications include corneal flap abnormalities, epithelial ingrowth, and corneal ectasia. Refractive complications include unexpected refractive outcomes, irregular astigmatism, decentration, visual aberrations, and loss of vision. Infectious keratitis, dry eyes, and diffuse lamellar keratitis may also occur following LASIK.

By examining the etiology, management, and prevention of these complications, the refractive surgeon may be able to improve visual outcomes and prevent vision-threatening problems. Reporting outcomes and mishaps of LASIK surgery will help to refine our approach to the management of emerging complications (*Melki and Azar, 2001*).

A percentage of patients complains of “glare” at night after undergoing a refractive surgical procedure. When patients speak of glare they are, technically, describing a decrease in quality of vision secondary to glare disability, decreased contrast sensitivity, and image degradations, or more succinctly, “night vision disturbances. ”. In most cases of corneal refractive surgery, there is a significant increase in visual disturbances immediately following the procedure (*Fan-Paul et al., 2002*), and a considerable decrease from 25.6% at 1 month to 4.7% at 12 months postoperatively (*Pop and Payette, 2004*).

This complication can lead to problems with driving or riding a bicycle during scotopic conditions due to reduced night vision, although their visual acuity (VA) measured on the Snellen screen is excellent during photopic conditions. Higher order aberrations are known to cause some of these problems (*Haw and Manche, 2001; Marcos, 2001; Marcos et al., 2001; Moreno-Barrusio et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2002*).

The relation between pupil size and the optical clear zone is the most important in minimizing these disturbances. In LASIK, pupil size and the ablation diameter size and location are the major factors involved (*Fan-Paul et al., 2002*).

Brimonidine tartrate is a highly selective α -2 agonist that effectively reduces mean intraocular pressure (IOP) through its effect on α -2 receptors in ciliary epithelium (*Derick et al., 1997*). It was found that it has a significant miotic effect especially under scotopic conditions, most likely from its α -2 adrenergic effect. Brimonidine with its miotic action is thought to improve contrast sensitivity and decrease night vision difficulties after refractive procedures (*Kesler et al., 2004*).

Aim of the Study

Subjective and objective evaluation of the effect of Brimonidine tartrate 0.2% ophthalmic solution on post-LASIK night vision complaints.

Chapter 1**LASIK Complications**

Laser In situ Keratomileusis (LASIK) has proved to be a safe and effective procedure for the treatment of myopia, hypermetropia and astigmatism. The procedure of keratomileusis is designed to preserve Bowmann's Layer and the overlying epithelium by creating a lamellar flap of the cornea using microkeratome and then the stroma is ablated by excimer laser to correct the refractive error. Corneal flap can be repositioned accurately again on the ablated stromal bed (*Tabbara et al., 2003*).

LASIK requires the usage of several devices intra-operatively which may result in many complications postoperatively. These complications may cause drop of vision or other annoying symptoms in otherwise healthy eyes. Knowledge about how to prevent and how to manage these complications must be advanced as long as the refractive surgeries are performed (*Schallhorn et al., 2006*).

A) Intraoperative Complications:***1- Flap Complications***

It was found that there are many intra-operative and post-operative LASIK complications related to flap creation using mechanical keratomes (*Andreoli and Azar, 2015*). Detection of a flap complication intra-operatively may lead us to abort the

ablation step. The surgeon may face a dilemma in managing these cases as the repeated attempts in refractive surgeries carry higher risks of complications (*Moshirfar et al., 2010*).

Intra-operative flap complications including irregular, thin, incomplete flap, buttonhole and free cap are found in a significant higher rate with flaps created by microkeratome (0.095%) than flaps created by femtosecond (0.019%). Other flap complications may occur such as flap edema, shrinkage, stretching and wrinkling (*Stonecipher et al., 2015*).

2- Decentered laser ablation

A significant amount of coma aberrations may be induced from treatment decentration in refractive surgery, which interprets that a cornea with treatment decentration is an off-axis refractive system. There will be an obvious reduction in coma aberrations when surgeon is considering the oblique incidence as the ablation zone centre will be deviated from the eye's optical axis (*Lihua et al., 2010*).

Clinicians should consider many factors in order to achieve better visual results from refractive surgeries. They should be extensively experienced to limit treatment decentration intra-operatively. Also good alignment with the visual axis can be achieved by advancing devices of eye tracking technology (*Wu et al., 2009*).

B) Postoperative complications:

Good intra-operative management can minimize many post-operative complications. Clinicians should pay a focused attention to infectious keratitis as it can threaten the vision severely if not managed adequately and they also should differentiate between infectious keratitis and diffuse lamellar non-infectious keratitis (*Linke et al., 2016*).

1- Diffuse lamellar keratitis:

Diffuse lamellar keratitis (DLK) is a non-specific immunological reaction in which there is white blood cell infiltration in the interface between stromal bed and the created flap after surgical intervention (*Randleman and Shah, 2012*), **Figure (1)**. Diffuse lamellar keratitis is a multifactorial rare condition which may be caused by many endogenous factors as Meibomian gland secretions or exogenous factors as powder of hand gloves, bacterial toxins on surfaces of surgical instruments and sterilization detergents (*Gritz, 2011*).

In DLK management we aim to limit the inflammatory process and the resulting scarring, save the vision and eliminate symptoms of dryness. Medically, topical and systemic steroids can be used. Surgically, irrigation after elevating the flap is effective. Keratoplasty is also a surgical management option for DLK complicated by scarring (*Hallak and Azar, 2015*).