



# **Psychiatric Morbidity and its Impact on the Quality of Life in Children with learning disability**

*A thesis proposal Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of PhD in Childhood Studies*

*Department of Medical Studies for Children (Care of Children with Special Needs)*

**By**

**HebaHamdy Youssef**  
*M.B.B.Ch. Master of Pediatrics*

**Supervised by**

**Dr. Omar El Sayed El Shourbagy**  
*Professor of preventive medicine and epidemiology, medical studies department for  
Children, Institute of Postgraduate Childhood Studies, Ain Shams University*

**Dr. MagdyKaramEldein Ali**  
*Professor of preventive medicine and epidemiology, medical studies department for  
Children, Institute of Postgraduate Childhood Studies, Ain Shams University*

**Dr. EhabEid**  
*Professor of preventive medicine and epidemiology, medical studies department for  
Children, Institute of Postgraduate Childhood Studies, Ain Shams University*

**Ain Shams University  
2018**

# Acknowledgment

*I would like to express sincere thanks, deep gratitude and extreme appreciation to **Dr. Omar Ell Sayed El Shourbagy** Professor of Preventive Medicine and Epidemiology in Medical Studies Department for Children, Faculty of Postgraduate Childhood Studies ,Ain Shams University for his supervision and valuable guidance throughout the study*

·  
*Thanks for **Dr. Magy Karemeldain Ali**, Professor of Preventive Medicine and Epidemiology in Medical Studies Department for Children, Faculty of Postgraduate Childhood Studies, Ain Shams University for his remarkable help in commenting the results and tables of the study*

·  
*Great thanks for **Dr. Ehab Mohammed Eid**, Professor of Preventive Medicine and Epidemiology, Medical Studies Department for Children, Institute of Postgraduate Childhood Studies, Ain Shams University for his guidance in discussion, conclusion and recommendations in the thesis*

·  
*It is great honor to express my gratitude to **Dr. Salah .Mostafa** for his help in statistics*

# Contents

Subject	Pages
List of Abbreviations	I
List of Tables and Figures	II
Abstract	V
<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>Aim of the Study</b>	5
<b>Review of Literature</b>	-
• Learning Disability	6
• Anxiety	38
• Depression	60
• Quality of Life	78
<b>Methodology</b>	94
<b>Results</b>	102
<b>Discussion</b>	126
<b>Conclusion and Recommendation</b>	132
<b>Summary</b>	134
<b>References</b>	136
<b>Appendices</b>	--
<b>Arabic Summary</b>	--

## List of Abbreviations

<b>Abb.</b>	<b>Full term</b>
<b>ADHA</b>	Attention Dificit Hyper activity Disorder
<b>AT</b>	Assistive Technology
<b>BT</b>	Behavioral Therapy
<b>CBT</b>	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy
<b>CDI</b>	Child Depression Inventory
<b>DSM</b>	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder
<b>ECG</b>	Electro Cardiography
<b>EEG</b>	Electro Encephalography
<b>EQOL</b>	Educational Quality of Life
<b>FDA</b>	Food and Drug Foundation
<b>FQOL</b>	Family Quality of Life
<b>FT</b>	Family Therapy
<b>GAD</b>	Generalized Anxiety Disorder
<b>ICD10</b>	International Classified of Disease
<b>ICT</b>	Informative and Communication Technology
<b>IDEA</b>	Individuals with Disabilities Educational Asserative Technololgy
<b>IPT</b>	Inter Personal Therapy
<b>LD</b>	Learning disability
<b>MAOIs</b>	Mono A Mino Oxidase Inhibitors
<b>MDD</b>	Mood Depressive Disorder
<b>MEG</b>	Magneto Encephalography
<b>MRI</b>	Magnetic Resonance Image
<b>MRS</b>	Magnetic Resonance Spectroscope
<b>NJCLD</b>	National Joint Committee of Learning Disability
<b>OCD</b>	Obsessive Compulsive Disorder
<b>OCR</b>	Optical Character Recognition
<b>QOL</b>	Quality of Life
<b>RD</b>	Reading Disorder
<b>SLD</b>	Specific learning disability
<b>SM</b>	Selective Mutism
<b>SNRI</b>	Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors
<b>SSRI</b>	Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor
<b>TCAs</b>	Tricyclic Anti Depressant
<b>VSC</b>	Variable Speech Control
<b>WHO</b>	World Health Organization

## List of Tables and Figures

No.	Title	Page
1	Relation between QoL and education	88
2	Possible and indication of EQOL	91
1	Number of all studied children (cases & controls)	102
2	Gender Distribution among studied children	102
3	Age Distribution among studied children	103
4	Birth Order Distribution among studied children	104
5	Chest examinationdistribution among studied children	104
6	Neurological examination distribution among studied children	105
7	Consanguinitydistribution among studied children	105
8	Pre natal history distribution among studied children	105
9	Pri natal history distribution among studied children	106
10	Post natal history distribution among studied children	106
11	Family history distribution among studied children	106
12	Drug history distribution among studied children	107
13	History of delayed language among studied children	107
14	Nutritional history among studied children	107
15	Neurodevelopmental history among studied children	108
16	School refusal among studied children	108
17	Physical insult among studied children	108
18	Physical follow up among studied children	109
19	Socioeconomic status among studied children	109
20	Effective sharing activity among studied children	109

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
21	Routine activity among studied children	110
22	Feeling of self acceptance among studied children	110
23	Feeling of acceptance from others among studied children	111
24	Feeling of satisfaction among studied children	111
25	Anxiety feeling among studied children	111
26	Sleeping disorder among studied children	112
27	Physical abuse among studied children	112
28	Emotional abuse among studied children	113
29	Sexual abuse among studied children	113
30	Distribution of dyslexia in LDs children	114
31	Distribution of dysgraphia in LDs children	114
32	Distribution of dyscalculia in LDs children	115
1	Distribution of disability in English in LDs children	115
2	Gender in study and control group	116
3	Birth order in study and control group	116
4	Drug intervention in study and control group	117
5	Intervention by session in study and control group	117
6	Consanguinity in study and control group	118
7	Pri natal history in study and control group	118
8	Post natal history in study and control group	119
9	Family history in study and control group	119
10	History of delayed language in study and control group	120
11	Neurodevelopmental history in study and control group	120
12	School refusal in study and control group	121
13	Physical insult in study and control group	121
14	Anxiety feeling in study and control group	122
15	Sleeping disorder in study and control group	122

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
16	Comparison between cases and controls as regards to age and drug intervention	123
17	Comparison between cases and controls as regards children depression inventory and manifest anxiety scale	123
18	Comparison between cases and controls as regards all types of abuse	123
19	Comparison between cases and controls as regards CBCL (DSM-oriented scale) which involves affective, anxiety, somatic, ADHD, ODD and conduct problem.	124
20	Comparison between cases and controls as regards CBCL (Syndrome scale) which involves internalizing problem, externalizing problem and total evaluation to behavior	125

# **Psychiatric Morbidity and its Impact on the Quality of Life in Children with learning disability**

Heba Hamdy ( M.B.B.Ch. Master of pediatrics ), Omar El Shourbagy, Magdy Karam Eldien & Ehab M Eid Medical Studies Department, Faculty of Postgraduate Childhood Studies, Ain Shams University.

## **Abstract:**

**Background:** Several psychiatric morbidity may affect learning disability children more than normal children, through which affect their quality of life

**Objective:** To assess quality of life and psychiatric morbidity (depression and anxiety) in children with learning disability

**Methodology:** A total number of fifty children with learning disability and 50 healthy children (cross matched with patients group as regard to age and sex) will be used as a control group through 2 days per week to fulfill criteria. All participants were subjected to full clinical examination, clinical psychiatric interview, psychological assessment including behavior evaluation by Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), anxiety by Manifest Anxiety Scale (MAS), depression by Children Depression Inventory (CDI) and quality of life by QOLS for learning disability (LD) children.

**Results:** There was statistically significant difference in sleeping disorder on comparing LD (37.3%) children and control group 22% with statistical significant ( $P = 0.01$ ). Also there were high significant in history of neuro-developmental delay between control group 61% and study group 45.1% with statistical significant ( $p < 0.000$ ) and statistically significant in history of delayed language ( $p = 0.012$ ) on comparing LD children (43.1%) with control group (20%). That is mean that LD children may have developmental coordination disorder causing motor learning difficulty (involving fine motor), motor planning difficulty and apraxia of speech.



**Conclusion:** On analysis results statistically, there were high level of depression, sleeping disorder in LDs children more than normal children

Reccommendation: Examination of parenting style of mother and father and future research in different socioeconomic levels were recommended.

---

**Keywords:** LD (Learning Disability), Anxiety, Depression and QOL (Quality of Life) and CDI (Children Depression Inventory).

# الاعتلال النفسى وتأثيره على جودة الحياة للأطفال الذين يعانون من صعوبات فى التعلم

رسالة

توطئة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراة  
فى طب الأطفال

مقدمة من

الطبيبة/ هبه حمدي يوسف  
بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة  
ماجستير طب الاطفال وحديثى الولادة

تحت اشراف

أ.د/ عمر السيد الشوربجى  
أستاذ الطب الوقائى والصحة لعامة بالقسم الطبى  
معهد الدراسات العليا للطفولة - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د/ مجدى كرم  
أستاذ الطب الوقائى والصحة لعامة بالقسم الطبى  
معهد الدراسات العليا للطفولة - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د/ ايهاب عيد  
أستاذ الطب الوقائى والصحة لعامة بالقسم الطبى  
معهد الدراسات العليا للطفولة - جامعة عين شمس

كلية الدراسات العليا للطفولة  
جامعة عين شمس  
٢٠١٨

## Introduction

In 2013, the American Psychiatric Association released the Fifth Edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM5). In this latest edition, specific learning disorder (SLD) is the umbrella term for mathematics, reading, and written expression disorders. It is now a single, overall diagnosis, incorporating deficits that impact academic achievement. Rather than limiting learning disorders to diagnoses particular to reading, mathematics and written expression, the criteria describe shortcomings in general academic skills and provide detailed specifiers for the areas of reading, mathematics, and written expression. The diagnosis requires persistent difficulties in reading, writing, arithmetic, or mathematical reasoning skills during formal years of schooling (**American Psychiatric Association, 2013**).

The prevalence of specific learning disorder across the academic domains of reading, writing, and mathematics is 5%-15% among school-age children across different languages and cultures. Children with delays can be diagnosed with attention deficit disorders, brain dysfunction, sensory integration issues, dyslexia, dyspraxia, and dyscalculia. On occasion, a child may have more than one condition present (**Shaywitz, 2003**).

Data from epidemiological studies indicate as many as 40% of all early elementary school students in the United States have some initial difficulty learning to read; however, many of these children ultimately develop normal reading proficiency. Still, 15-20% of elementary students have significant problems and demonstrate difficulty with reading fluency, comprehension, and spelling. Mental retardation, emotional disturbance, inadequate education, and sensory disabilities can also lead to academic failures and delays and should be investigated (**Sharma et al., 2009**).

Boys are 1.5 times more likely to be referred for reading problems. Although epidemiological studies in the past demonstrated roughly equal reading scores for girls and boys, more recent studies have demonstrated a wider variance in reading performance among male students (**Fletcher, 2009**).

Recent developments in the field of mental health have led to an increasing interest in the early identification of anxiety and depression symptoms in school-aged population. Longitudinal and epidemiological studies are at the heart of this interest; the first ones documented how depressive symptoms increase in a linear way by creating a continuity from the early depressive episodes to the major depression in adults (**Ahlen et al., 2012**).

Similarly, in the long term, anxious children and adolescents showed an increased risk for enlarged rates of unemployment, welfare assistance, lost productivity, use of medical services, all resulting in extremely high economic health costs (**Alesi et al., 2010**).

Second, epidemiological studies reveal rates of anxiety disorders in pre-school age children and adolescents ranging between 2.1% and 25% depending on methodological differences in the assessment plans. About the 75% of all anxiety disorders starts at a median age between 11 and 21 years (**Bernaras et al., 2011**).

It is worth noting the elevated co-occurrence between the above-mentioned mental complaints. So far, anxiety disorders are frequently associated to depressive symptoms with rates ranging from 16 to 50% increasing in chronicity and severity. A common core of high negative affects is the leading cause this comorbidity. The consequence is the interference with daily functioning for a sizeable population of children (**Broeren et al., 2013**).

In spite of this occurrence, childhood mental disorders such as depression and anxiety are undertreated and often misinterpreted. Most studies have only been carried out on adult samples and only recently the focus has been moved to children and adolescents (**Burden, 2008**).

The most typical symptoms, therefore, include: sleep and eating complaints, lack of energy and interest in activities previously enjoying, problems in concentrating, pessimism, hopeless, sadness, irritability, low self-esteem, suicidal thinking. Moreover, preschool depression is characterized by motor problems including poor gross motor and coordinative skills (**Bystriksky et al., 2013**).

The nature of above-mentioned symptoms confirms the multidimensional etiology of children depression. It's widely accepted how complex interactions between genetic and environmental factors affect the onset and the maintenance of depressive symptoms (**Collins et al., 2013**).

Nevertheless, this symptomatology would differently manifest depending on the gender and the age. Specifically, girls appear to be more likely to manifest depressive symptoms than boys; in turn, gender differences increase by age thorough adolescence when the occurrence of depressive symptoms is rated to be twice that of males. These gender differences are influenced by factors of psychosocial risk such as lower levels of school self-esteem and self-efficacy (**Corr and Fajkowska, 2011**).

Anxiety appears to be a multidimensional construct composed by physiological, cognitive, affective and behavioral components. There is high agreement among scholars and practitioners that typical fears clearly characterize developmental patterns. In other words, it's a typical reaction to stress, an unpleasant emotional response evocated by imagined or real

threat and danger. Only when the level of anxiety becomes excessive and creates interferences with daily functioning, it evolves into anxiety disorder both in adults and in children (**Humensky et al., 2010**).

Childhood and adolescence are identified as the main risk developmental phases for the beginning of anxiety disorders. Consequently, there is an increasing emphasis on the analysis of sub-threshold anxiety characterized by subclinical symptoms of anxiety in children and adolescents (**Muris et al., 2010**).

Fears concerning separation anxiety decrease during first childhood whereas fears about school and school anxiety increase during medium childhood because of the central role of learning activities during school age (**Muris, 2006**).

School anxiety describes a discomfort reaction associated with unpleasant emotions and a state of distress occurring in response to situations involving school learning tasks that are perceived as threatening to self-worth (**Shahar et al., 2006**).

## **Aim of the Study**

To assess quality of life and psychiatric morbidity (depression and anxiety) in children with learning disability.

### **Relation of the study to goals of the department:**

Increase the awareness of the community to the importance of early detection and early intervention in behavioral change to avoid psychiatric comorbidities and improve the development and the potential outcome of those children.

Development of the multidisciplinary work concept between pediatric clinic, child psychiatry clinic, and clinical psychology.