# EFFECT OF USING ENVIRONMENTALLY SAFE YEASTS AS RATION ADDITIVES AND NATURAL PRESERVATIVES ON BROILERS

#### **Submitted By**

#### Mahmoud Farouk Abdel-Aziz Mohamed

B.Sc. of Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 1993

M. Sc. in Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research, Ain Shams University, 2010

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree
In
Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Agricultural Sciences Institute of Environmental Studies and Research Ain Shams University

2018

# APPROVAL SHEET EFFECT OF USING ENVIRONMENTALLY SAFE YEASTS AS RATION ADDITIVES AND NATURAL PRESERVATIVES ON BROILERS

#### Submitted By Mahmoud Farouk Abdel-Aziz Mohamed

B.Sc. of Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 1993

M. Sc. in Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research, Ain Shams University, 2010

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

O1

The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree

Environmental Sciences
Department of Environmental Agricultural Sciences
This thesis Towards a Doctor of Philosophy Degree in
Environmental Science Has been Approved by:
Name Signature

#### 1-Prof. Dr. Nabil Mohamed Hassan

Prof. of Poultry Nutrition Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

#### 2-Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Hamid El-Shafei

Managing Director of Poultry Companies (Dallah Group) Vice President of the General Union of Poultry Producers

#### 3-Prof. Dr. Alaa Aldin Abdel-Salam Hemid

Prof. of Poultry Nutrition Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

#### 4-Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sayed Mosad Masoud

Prof. of Human Nutrition Deputy of Regional Center for Food and Feed for Central Affairs Executive Manager of the Food Security Information Center Agricultural Research Center

# EFFECT OF USING ENVIRONMENTALLY SAFE YEASTS AS RATION ADDITIVES AND NATURAL PRESERVATIVES ON BROILERS

#### **Submitted By**

#### Mahmoud Farouk Abdel-Aziz Mohamed

B.Sc. of Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 1993

M. Sc. in Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,

Ain Shams University, 2010

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree

In

Environmental Sciences
Department of Environmental Agricultural Sciences

Under The Supervision of: 1-Prof. Dr. Alaa Aldin Abdel-Salam Hamid Prof. of Poultry Nutrition

Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

#### 2- Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sayed Mosad Mosoud

Head Researcher of Regional Center for Food and Feed Agricultural Research Center

2018

#### **ACHNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, all the praise and thanks are to ALLAH for his limitless help, guidance. Peace be upon his **Prophet**.

I wish to express my deep thanks, ultimate appreciation, gratefulness and respect to *Prof. Dr.* Alaa Al-Din Abdel Salam Hemid, Professor of Poultry Nutrition, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain shams University for his kind supervision, valuable advice, guidance and continuous help.

I would like to express my deep thanks to *Prof. Dr.* Hisham Ibrahim Al-Kasas, Dean of Institute of Environmental Studies and Research, Ain Shams University. Also, *Prof. Dr.* Farouk Al-Talawy, Professor of Nutrition, Institute of Environmental Studies and Research, Ain Shams University, for their advice and support.

I would also like to express my utmost thanks and appreciation to *Prof. Dr.* **Mohamed Sayed Masoud**, Professor of Human Nutrition, Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center, for his kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous support.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to *Prof. Dr.* Nabil Mohamed Hassan, Professor of Poultry Nutrition, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain shams University. Also, *Dr.* Mohamed Abdel Hamid Al-Shafei, Managing Director of Poultry Companies, (Dallah Group), for their advice and support during the discussion.

I also wish to express my appreciations to *Prof. Dr.* **Tarek Mohamed El-Afifi**, Professor of Poultry Nutrition, Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center, for his kind and continuous help.

Thankfulness and gratitude also go to *Prof. Dr.* Hady Fathy Abbas Professor of Poultry Nutrition, and *Prof. Dr.* Neamat Ibrahim Bassuony, Professor of Microbiology, Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agriculture Research Center, for their valuable advice, continuous support and help throughout the experiment period.

I'd like to also thank my colleagues and partners at work for their continuous support and encouragement.

I can't forget my great appreciation to my family. Especially my father, my mother, my siblings, my wife, my daughters, my sons, my father and mother-in-law and their children for their continuous support, help, patience and encouragement

## Abstract

The present study was conducted to evaluate the potential beneficial impact of dietary supplemented with *live Saccharomyces cerevisiae probiotic (LY)*, *yeast cell wall prebiotic (YCW)* and *their combination* on growth performance, immunological status, carcass traits, intestinal microbiota, and histological changes. Three hundred unsexed one day old Ross 308 broiler chicks were randomly distributed into 9 dietary treatments plus the control group. Each group (30 birds/treatment) was divided into three replicates of 10 chicks each. Chicks were fed 10 dietary treatments; Control diet without addition, (T1) three different levels of LY (1, 2 and 3 kg/ton feed) respectively, (T2) three different levels of YCW (0.5, 0,75 and 1 kg/ton feed) respectively and (T3) three natural combination levels of LY (1kg/ton feed) + YCW (0.5 kg/ton feed), LY (1kg/ton feed) + YCW (0.75 kg/ton feed) and LY (1kg/ton feed) + YCW (1 kg/ton feed) respectively.

Results showed that LBW and BWG, feed intake and feed conversion ratio of the broilers were significantly (P<0.05) increased by the addition of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with or without YCW. The best results were recorded in groups fed dietary supplemented with (3 kg/ton LY only), (0.75 or 1 kg/ton YCW respectively) and combination of (1 kg/ton live *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* + 0.5 kg/ton yeast cell wall). The results suggest that natural symbiotic supplementation of LY with YCW to diets for broilers improved health by increased the absorption area in the gut and balanced microbial population in the gastrointestinal tract which has played an important role in the health and performance of the broiler's and might be enhanced counts of *lactic acid bacteria* (LAB) and yeasts in the gut. In addition, the natural safe symbiotic supplementation to diets have effective reduces on intestinal pathogenic bacteria numbers.

Key words: Saccharomyces cerevisiae, yeast cell wall, symbiotic, growth performance, carcass, intestinal microbiota, broiler.

## Lest of Contents

1.	INTR	RODUCTION:	1
2.	2	REVIEW OF LITERATURE:	4
3.	2.1	Probiotic:	4
4.	2.1.1	Effect of probiotic on live body weigh	ıt and
		y weight gain:	4
5.	2.1.2	Effect of probiotics on feed consumpt	ion and
		conversion ratio:	7
6.	2.1.3	Effect of probiotics on carcass charact	teristics:
		-	9
7.	2.1.4	Effect of probiotic on gut microflora:	10
8.	2.2	Prebiotic:	12
9.	2.2.1	Effect of prebiotic on live body weigh	it and
		y weight gain:	13
10.	2.2.2	Effect of prebiotics on feed consumpt	ion and
		conversion ratio:	15
11.	2.2.3	Effect of prebiotic on carcass characte	eristics:16
12.	2.2.4	Effect of prebiotic on gut microflora:	17
13.	2.3	Effect of supplementations of probioti	c plus
	preb	piotic on productive performance:	21
14.	2.3.1	Probiotics:	23
15.	2.3.1	.1 Mechanism of action of probiotics:	23
16.		Prebiotics:	24
17.	2.3.2	.1 Mechanism of action of prebiotics:	24
18.	3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS:	25
19.	3.1	Experimental Design	25
20.	3.2	Experimental Diets.	26
21.	3.3	Experimental Birds and Management.	. 28
22.	3.3.1	Housing, ventilation and lighting.	28
23.	3.3.2	Feeding and watering.	28
24.	3.3.3	Birds care and vaccination.	28
25.	3.4	Measurements and procedures.	29
26.	3.4.1	Productive performance.	29
27.	3.4.1	.1 Live body weight (LBW).	29

28.	3.4.1.	2 Body weight gain (BWG).	30
29.	3.4.1.	Feed intake (FI).	30
30.	3.4.1.	4 Feed conversion ratio (FCR).	30
31.	3.4.1.	5 Mortality rate (MR).	31
32.	3.4.2	Carcass characteristics.	31
33.	3.5	Chemical and biochemical analyses.	31
34.	3.5.1	Proximate analysis.	31
35.	3.5.2	Bacteriology sections.	32
36.	3.5.2.	1 Enumeration and dilution.	32
37.	3.5.2.	2 Total bacteria count.	33
38.	3.5.2.	Total E. coli count.	33
39.	3.5.2.	4 Total Salmonella count.	35
40.	3.5.2.	5 Total Bacillus cereus count.	39
41.	3.5.2.	6 Total Listeria monocytogenes count.	40
42.	3.5.2.	7 Total Yeast count.	41
43.	3.6	Small intestinal sampling.	43
44.	3.7	Histological sections	43
45.	3.8	production number (PN).	44
46.	3.9	Statistical analysis.	44
47.	4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS:	45
48.	4.1	Effect of dietary supplementation with live	
	yeas	t (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) on productive	
	perfe	ormance:	45
49.	4.1.1	Live body weight (LBW):	45
50.	4.1.2	Body weight gain (BWG):	47
51.	4.1.3	Feed intake (FI):	50
52.	4.1.4	Feed conversion ratio (FCR):	51
53.	4.1.5	Carcass traits:	54
54.	4.1.6	pH values of an ileum intestinal:	58
55.	4.1.7	Effect of dietary live yeast supplementation	on
	gut 1	nicrobial counts of broiler chicks:	60
56.	4.1.8	Effect of dietary live yeast supplementation	on
	ileur	n histology:	66

57.	4.2	Effect of using of dietary yeast cell wall	
	(Oli	gosaccharide) supplementation on product	ive
	perf	ormance:	69
58.	4.2.1	Live body weight (LBW):	69
59.		Body weight gain (BWG):	70
60.		Feed intake (FI):	74
61.	4.2.4	Feed conversion ratio (FCR):	75
62.	4.2.5	Carcass traits:	78
63.	4.2.6	pH values of an ileum intestinal:	81
64.		Effect of dietary yeast cell wall	
	(Oli	gosaccharide) supplementation on gut mic	robial
	cour		82
65.	4.2.8	Effect of dietary yeast cell wall	
	(Oli	gosaccharide) supplementation on Ileum	
	histo	ology:	88
66.	4.3	Effect of using of dietary supplementatio	n with
	com	bination of live yeast aand yeast cell wall:	
67.	4.3.1	Live body weight (LBW):	91
68.	4.3.2	Body weight gain (BWG):	92
69.		Feed intake (FI):	95
70.	4.3.4	Feed conversion ratio (FCR):	96
71.	4.3.5	Carcass traits:	100
72.	4.3.6	pH values of an ileum intestinal:	103
73.		Effect of dietary supplementation with	
	com	bination of live yeast and yeast cell wall o	n gut
	micr	obial counts:	105
74.	4.3.8	Effect of using of dietary supplementatio	n with
	com	bination of live yeast and yeast cell wall o	n
	ileur	n histology:	111
75.	4.4	Effects of dietary supplemented with diff	erent
	leve	ls of live yeast, yeast cell wall and symbio	tic
	com	bination on production number value (PN)	):114
76.	5	SUMMARY & CONCLUSION:	116
77.	6	REFERENCES:	119
7	عد ہے	الملخص ال	

<ul> <li>Experimental diets design.</li> <li>Composition and calculated analysis of the used dies.</li> <li>Vaccinations program.</li> <li>Chemical composition of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> live yeast and yeast cell wall.</li> <li>Effect of dietary supplemented with live yeast at live body weight and body weight gain on broiler chicks during 34 days.</li> </ul>	Pa
<ul> <li>Composition and calculated analysis of the used dies.</li> <li>Vaccinations program.</li> <li>Chemical composition of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> live yeast and yeast cell wall.</li> <li>Effect of dietary supplemented with live yeast at live body weight and body weight gain on broiler chicks during 34 days.</li> </ul>	ge
dies.  Vaccinations program.  Chemical composition of <i>Saccharomyces</i> cerevisiae live yeast and yeast cell wall.  Effect of dietary supplemented with live yeast at live body weight and body weight gain on broiler chicks during 34 days.	25
Vaccinations program. Chemical composition of <i>Saccharomyces</i> cerevisiae live yeast and yeast cell wall.  Effect of dietary supplemented with live yeast at live body weight and body weight gain on broiler chicks during 34 days.	27
<ul> <li>Chemical composition of Saccharomyces         cerevisiae live yeast and yeast cell wall.</li> <li>Effect of dietary supplemented with live yeast at live body weight and body weight gain on broiler chicks during 34 days.</li> </ul>	
cerevisiae live yeast and yeast cell wall.  5 Effect of dietary supplemented with live yeast at live body weight and body weight gain on broiler chicks during 34 days.	29
5 Effect of dietary supplemented with live yeast at live body weight and body weight gain on broiler chicks during 34 days.	32
live body weight and body weight gain on broiler chicks during 34 days.	
chicks during 34 days.	49
J 11	53
feed intake and feed conversion ratio on broiler	
chicks during 34 days.	
7 11	57
carcass traits on broiler chicks.	
J 11	59
levels of live yeast on pH of ileum and intestinal	
measurement of broiler chickens at 34 days of	
age.	~~
J mrr	65
levels of live yeast on Total bacteria count, Total	
yeast count, Total lactic acid bacteria count,	
Total coliform count, E. coli count, Salmonella.	
spp count, Listeria monocytogenes count and	
Bacillus cereus count of broiler chickens at 34	
days of age.  10 Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	73
wall at live body weight and body weight gain on	13
broiler chicks during 34 days.	
	77
wall at feed intake and feed conversion ratio on	, ,
broiler chicks during 34 days.	
•	80

	wall at carcass traits on broiler chicks.	
13	Effect of dietary supplementation with different	82
	levels of yeast cell wall on pH of ileum and	
	intestinal measurement of broiler chickens at 34	
	days of age.	
<b>14</b>	Effect of dietary supplementation with different	87
	levels of yeast cell wall on Total bacteria count,	
	Total yeast count, Total lactic acid bacteria	
	count, Total <i>coliform</i> count, <i>E. coli</i> count,	
	Salmonella. spp count, Listeria monocytogenes	
	count and Bacillus cereus count of broiler	
	chickens at 34 days of age.	
<b>15</b>	Effect of mixed dietary supplemented live yeast	94
	with yeast cell wall at live body weight and body	
	weight gain on broiler chicks during 34 days.	
<b>16</b>	Effect of mixed dietary supplemented live yeast	99
	with yeast cell wall at feed intake and feed	
	conversion ratio on broiler chicks during 34 days.	
<b>17</b>	Effect of mixed dietary supplemented live yeast	10
	with yeast cell wall at carcass traits on broiler	2
	chicks during 34 days.	
18	Effect of dietary supplementation with 1.0 gm	10
	live yeast plus different levels of yeast cell wall	4
	on pH of ileum and intestinal measurement of	
	broiler chickens at 34 days of age.	
19	Effect of dietary supplementation with 1.0 gm	11
	live yeast plus different levels of yeast cell wall	0
	on Total bacteria count, Total yeast count, Total	
	lactic acid bacteria count, Total coliform count,	
	E. coli count, Salmonella. spp count, Listeria	
	monocytogenes count and Bacillus cereus count	
• •	of broiler chickens at 34 days of age.	
20	Effects of dietary supplemented with different	11
	levels of live yeast, yeast cell wall and symbiotic	5

combination on production number value.

Figure	List of Figures	Page
No.		
1	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	46
	yeast at live body weight.	
2	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	48
	yeast at body weight gain.	
3	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	50
	yeast at feed intake.	
4	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	52
	yeast at feed conversion ratio.	
5	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	54
	yeast at edible meat chicks.	
6	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	55
	yeast at inedible meat chicks.	
7	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	59
	yeast at intestine measurements and pH	
	value.	
8	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	60
	yeast at total bacteria populations (Log	
	CFU/g) of broiler chicks.	
8 - 1	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	62
	yeast at total bacteria count populations (Log	
	CFU/g) of broiler chicks.	
8 - 2	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	63
	yeast at total Saccharomyces cerevisiae and	
	Lactic acid bacteria populations (Log	
0 0	CFU/g) of broiler chicks.	<i>c</i> 2
8-3	Effect of dietary supplemented with live	63
	yeast at total <i>coliform</i> and <i>E. Coil</i> populations	
12	(Log CFU/g) of broiler chicks.	70
13	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	70
1.4	wall at live body weight.	70
14	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	72
	wall at body weight gain.	

15	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	74
	wall at feed intake.	
16	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	75
	wall at feed conversion ratio.	
<b>17</b>	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	78
	wall at edible meat chicks.	
18	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	79
	wall at inedible meat chicks.	
19	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	81
	wall at inedible meat chicks.	
20	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	83
	wall at total bacteria populations (Log	
	CFU/g) of broiler chicks.	
20 - 1	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	84
	wall at total bacteria count populations (Log	
	CFU/g) of broiler chicks.	
20 - 2	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	86
	wall at total Saccharomyces cerevisiae and	
	Lactic acid bacteria populations (Log	
	CFU/g) of broiler chicks.	
20 - 3	Effect of dietary supplemented with yeast cell	86
	wall at total <i>coliform</i> and <i>E. Coil</i> populations	
	(Log CFU/g) of broiler chicks.	
24	Effect of mixed dietary supplemented live	92
	yeast with yeast cell wall at live body weight	
	on broiler chicks during 34 days.	
25	Effect of mixed dietary supplemented live	93
	yeast with yeast cell wall at body weight gain	
	on broiler chicks during 34 days.	0.5
26	Effect of mixed dietary supplemented live	96
	yeast with yeast cell wall at feed intake on	
25	broiler chicks during 34 days.	07
27	Effect of mixed dietary supplemented live	97
	yeast with yeast cell wall at feed conversion	

100 101 103 106
101 103
103
103
103
106
106
106
106
100
107
10,
108
67
67
68
68

22	dietary supplemented 0.75 g yeast cell wall.	90
23	dietary supplemented 1.0 g yeast cell wall.	90
33	dietary supplemented 1.0 g live yeast plus 0.5 g yeast cell wall.	112
34	dietary supplemented 1.0 g live yeast plus 0.75	112
	g yeast cell wall.	
35	dietary supplemented 1.0 g live yeast plus 1.0 g	113
	yeast cell wall.	