



Faculty of Science

Chemistry Department

# **Comparative Studies on the Characteristic Produced Powder, Liquid Laundry Detergents and Liquid Dishwashing Detergents Friendly to Environment**

Thesis submitted for Ph.D Degree of science chemistry

**By**

Ahmed Mohamed Gad Elmawla Abdelalim

M.Sc. of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University, 2001

**To**

**Department of Chemistry**

**Faculty of Science**

**Ain Shams University**

**EGYPT**

**2018**





Faculty of Science

Chemistry Department

# **Comparative Studies on the Characteristic Produced Powder, Liquid Laundry Detergents and Liquid Dishwashing Detergents Friendly to Environment**

Thesis Advisors

Thesis Approval

**Prof. Dr. Hoda Abdelhay Abdelhamide.**

Chemistry dept., Faculty of science, Ain Shams  
University

-----

**Prof. Dr. Youssef Aly El-Shattory.**

Fat and Oil dept., National research Centre of Egypt

-----

**Head of Chemistry Department**

**Prof. Dr. Ibrahim H.A. Badr**

**2018**





## APPROVAL SHEET

### Title of the thesis:

**Comparative Studies on the Characteristic Produced Powder,  
Liquid Laundry Detergents and Liquid Dishwashing Detergents  
Friendly to Environment**

### **Submitted by:**

Ahmed Mohamed Gad Elmawla Abdelalim.

M.Sc. of Chemistry, Faculty of Science. Suez Canal University 2001

### **Evaluation comminute.**

Name	Position	Signature
Prof. Dr. Hoda Abdelhay Abdelhamide	Chemistry dept., Faculty of science, Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. Youssef Aly El-Shattory	Fat and Oil dept., National research Centre of Egypt	
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Helmi Mahmoud Ibrahium Elwahy	Chemistry dept., Faculty of science, Cairo University	
Prof. Dr.Emtesal Elesawy	Chemistry dept., College of Women, Ain Shams University	

الدراسات العليا :

اجيزت الرسالة بتاريخ :

موافقة مجلس الجامعة

ختم الإجازة :

موافقة مجلس الكلية





**Faculty of Science**

**Chemistry Department**

### **Qualifications**

**Name: Ahmed Mohamed Gad Elmawla Abdelalim**

**Science Degree: PhD.**

**Department: Chemistry**

**College: Faculty of Science**

**B.Sc.: 1995**

**M.Sc.: 2001**

**Job: Quality and R&D manager of ARMA group**





# Abstract

---

## Abstract

### **Comparative Studies on the Characteristic Produced Powder, Liquid Laundry Detergents and Liquid Dishwashing Detergents Friendly to Environment**

**Submitted for the degree of Ph.D. of Science in Chemistry**

**By Ahmed Mohamed Gad Elmawla Abdelalim**

Significantly expanding of current Egyptian market of detergent which forced the producers to develop their products to adequately meet a wide new varieties of end-user requests. Developing of powder and liquid laundry detergent depend mainly on understanding the behaviors of main components of powder like surfactant, builder, bleaching agents and enzymes. Evaluated the primary washing performance of surfactants individually or binary with builders under optimum conditions using standard artificially soiled fabrics which represent the common stains in Egyptian customer live. Evaluate incrustation efficiency of some builders and its alternatives in powder and liquid laundry detergent. Study the stability of enzymes in liquid and powder laundry detergent compared to market products. Results indicate fatty alcohol  $C_{12}-C_{18}$ , 7 moles ethylene oxide has the highest average total stains remover index among all types of selected surfactants, and followed by sodium alkylbenzene sulfonate  $C_{11}-C_{13}$  then soap made from 80% palm oil and 20% palm kernel oil. Fatty alcohol  $C_{10}-C_{18}$ , 5 moles ethylene oxide has the lowest total stains remover index. Sodium tripolyphosphate improve washing performance of alkylbenzene sulfonate  $C_{11}-C_{13}$  and fatty alcohol  $C_{12}-C_{18}$ , 7 moles ethylene oxide and soap 80% palm oil/20% palm kernel. Sodium carbonate and sodium silicate kept comparable washing performance with fewer amounts of alkylbenzene sulfonate  $C_{11}-C_{13}$  and fatty alcohol  $C_{12}-C_{18}$ , 7 moles ethylene oxide. In case of soap 80% palm oil/20% palm kernel they cannot compensate the reduction of its percentage. Our prototype formulation with less amount of alkylbenzene sulfonate  $C_{11}-C_{13}$  and nonionic 7 EO or soap gave higher SRI value and lower foaming level than multinational products in Egyptian market.

## Abstract

---

Our experiment had showing that 20% sodium tripolyphosphate, 3% homopolycarboxylate (acrylic acid, molecular weight 5000) or 3% copolymers polycarboxylate (acrylic acid or maleic acid, molecular weight 70000) or 3% 1-hydroxyethane (1, 1-diylbisphosphonic acid or 3% acetodiphosphonic acid or 3% diethylenetriamine pentamethylene phosphonic acid to our base formulations reduce ash content to the same level of market products 0.65% , 0.95% ,0.75%, 0.53% , 0.76% , 0.95% respectively. Liquid laundry detergent has some disadvantage compared with powder laundry detergent as lower washing performance than powder laundry detergent formulations because of limitation to use some chemicals in liquid formulation plus instability of enzymes with storage conditions. That why liquid laundry detergent cannot replace powder laundry detergent totally in our local market. Enzyme show instability in liquid laundry detergent formulation at 40 °C for one month.

Developed of manual dishwashing liquid products depend mainly of selection an optimum mixture of surfactants. formulation of manual dishwashing liquid detergent with ionic, nonionic and zwitterionic surfactants mixtures were investigated in respect to viscosity, cloud point, foaming power, foam features, washing performance, irritation test, in addition to its cost, in comparison with that produced by multinational companies in their own factories in Egypt. It has been found that the formulations containing Linear alkyl benzene sulphonic acid are characterized by higher performance of removing stain, without any stain deposition on dishes, in addition to moderate foaming power which is diminished with hard water. Our proposed formulations 10% sodium alkylbenzene sulfonate with 2% sodium laurylether sulphate and 2% cocamidopropylbetain oxide or 2% cocamidopropylamine oxide have resulted in better washing performances, less irritations, higher viscosity, comparable cloud points and lower cost than multinational market products H and P which contain 12% Sodium linear alkylbenzene sulfonate with 6% sodium laurylether sulphate and 9% sodium laurylether sulphate and 6% cocamidopropylamine oxide respectively.

## **Acknowledgment.**

In the first place, I thank God, almighty, for having given me the strength to survive, as well as the audacity to overcome all difficulties.

I would like to express my gratitude to my project supervisors Prof. Dr. Hoda Abdelhay Abdelhamid Chemistry dept. Ain Shams University for her continuous guidance, valuable suggestion, building scientifically and support throughout. I would also like to expend my thanks and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Youssef Aly El Shattory, Fat and Oil dept. National research Centre of Egypt for his help and guidance and support during the production of this project. Without their valuable comments and patience, this project work definitely could not be completed successfully.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, my sisters and friends for their encouragement and uncountable support during my study.

AHMED GAD



# List of Content

	Page.
Acknowledgement.	
List of Content.	
List of Tables.	i
List of Figures.	iv
List of Abbreviations.	vii
<b>I.INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>The Aim of Work.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>II.REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1. Detergent.....</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 Laundry Detergent. ....	5
1.2 Household Detergent. ....	7
1.3 Personal Cleansing Products. ....	9
<b>2. SURFACTANTS USE IN DETERGENT.....</b>	<b>10</b>
2-1 Anionic Surfactants. ....	19
2.1.1 Linear Alkyl Benzene Sulfonate (LABS) ....	19
2-1-2 Fatty Alcohol Sulfates (FAS). ....	21
2-1-3 Fatty Alcohol Ether Sulfates (FAES). ....	22
2-1-4 Alfa-Olfins Sulphonates. (AOS) ....	24
2-1-5 Sulphosuccinate (Sulphosuccinic Acid Ester). ....	25
2-1-6 Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Sulfonates (FAMES). ....	26

## List of Content

---

2-1-7 Isethionates. ....	27
2.2 Non-Ionic Surfactants.....	27
2.2.1 Ethoxylated Surfactants.....	28
2.2.1.A Polyoxyethylene Alkyl Phenols (APEs) .....	28
2.2.1.B Aliphatic Polyoxyethylene Alcohols (AEs) .....	29
2.2.1.C Ethoxylated Fatty Acids, Esters oils, Glycerides and Amines. ....	29
2.2.2 Alkanol Amides.....	30
2.2.3 Amine Oxide. ....	31
2.2.4 Alkylpolyglycoside. ....	32
2.3 Cationic Surfactant. ....	33
2.4. Zwitterionic Surfactants.....	33
2.4.1 Betain and Sulfobetaines.....	33
2.4.2 Imidazoline Derivatives. ....	34
<b>3. BUILDERS USE IN DETERGENT. ....</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1 Inorganic Builders.....	35
3.1.1 Sodium Tripolyphosphate (STPP). ....	35
3.1.2 Zeolites. ....	37
3.1.2.A Zeolite 4A.....	38
3.1.2.B Zeolite MAP. ....	39
3.1.3 Layered Crystalline Silicate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5$ ). ....	39
<b>3.2. Organic Builders. ....</b>	<b>41</b>
3.2.1. Hydroxycarboxylic Acid. ....	41
3.2.2 Aminocarboxylic Acid. ....	41

## List of Content

---

3.2.3 Polymer Builders.....	44
<b>4 BLEACHING AGENTS USE IN DETERGENT. ....</b>	<b>46</b>
4.1 Sodium Perborate.....	47
4.2 Sodium Peroxide Carbonate Peroxyhydrate. ....	47
<b>5 Detergency and Foaming power.....</b>	<b>48</b>
5.1. Laundry Detergent Performance Evaluation. ....	49
5.2 Hand Dishwashing Liquid Performance Evaluation. ....	49
<b>6 Viscosity and Bulk density. ....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>7 Skin Affects. ....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>8 Cloud Point.....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>III.MATERIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>55</b>
First Part. ....	56
8.1 Materials.....	56
8.2 Methods. ....	57
8.2.1 Formulation Preparation. ....	57
8.2.2 Washing Performance Test. ....	58
8.2.3 Antiredeposition Test (Ash content). ....	60
8.2.4 Active Oxygen Content. ....	61
8.2.5 Aging Test. ....	61
Second Part. ....	62
9.1 Materials.....	62
9.2 Methods. ....	63
9.2.1 Formulations Preparation.....	63