

**Bioremediation of Oily Based Drilling
Waste Resulting From Oil & Gas Wells At
Western Desert**

BY

Metwalli Mohamed El Noubi

B.Sc. Biochemistry
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, 2003

A Thesis

**Submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements of
M.Sc. Degree in Environmental Sciences**

Department of Environmental Basic Sciences
Institute of Environmental Studies and Research
Ain Shams University

APPROVAL SHEET

**Bioremediation of Oily Based Drilling Waste
Resulting From Oil & Gas Wells At Western
Desert**

Submitted By

Metwalli Mohamed El Noubi

B.Sc. Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, 2003

A Thesis Submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements

Of

M.Sc. Degree in Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Basic Sciences

This Thesis towards a Master Degree in Environmental Sciences has been approved
by:

1- Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ismail Hashem

Professor of Organic Chemistry
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

2- Prof. Dr. Mohamed Gharib El Malky

Professor of Environmental Geophysics
Institute of Environmental Studies & Research
Ain Shams University

3- Prof. Dr. Amal Said Farag

Professor, Refining Department
Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute

4- Prof. Dr. Soad Ahmed Abdallah

Prof. of Bacteriology-Botany Department,
College of Women for Arts, Science and Education
Ain Shams University

2018

Bioremediation of Oily Based Drilling Waste Resulting From Oil & Gas Wells At Western Desert

By

Metwalli Mohamed El Noubi

B.Sc. Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, 2003

A Thesis Submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements

Of

M.Sc. Degree in Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Basic Sciences

Under the Supervision of:

1- Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ismail Hashem

Professor of Organic Chemistry
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

2- Prof. Dr. Mohamed Gharib El Malky

Professor of Environmental Geophysics
Institute of Environmental Studies & Research
Ain Shams University

3- Dr. Mohamed Azab Rashed El-Liethy

Researcher, Water Microbiology Lab,
Water Pollution Research Department,
National Research Centre (NRC)

2018

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

*I am thankful to **ALLAH** the most merciful for giving me the power and enabled me to complete this study successfully.*

*I would like to express my deepest thanks & gratitude to my supervision committee in the Institute of Environmental Studies & Research represented in **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hashem**, (prof., of the Organic Chemistry-Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University) and **Prof. Dr. Mohamed El Malki**, (prof., of Environmental Geophysics- Institute of Environmental Study & Research, Ain Shams University) for their usual kindness, great guidance, and continuous support.*

*Very special thanks to my supervision committee in the National Research Center "NCR" represented in **Prof. Dr. Gamila. E. El-Taweel**, (Professor of Water and Wastewater Microbiology, Water Pollution Research Department) for offering me the chance to use water microbiology lab and supporting me with all necessary facilities that I used in my study and for her continuous guidance. Also deep thanks to **Dr. Mohamed Azab** (Researcher in the water microbiology lab, National Research Center) for his assistance, supervision, continuous guidance, and for his patience. I really learned a lot from him and I appreciate his support to complete this work.*

*I will not forget the help of my company **Arabian Drilling Company (ADC)** for supporting me with all resources and time that I needed to complete this study, and for its believe in the importance of research and development to reduce the negative impacts on the environment.*

*Also deep thanks go to **UNICO-Egypt** (United Oil Service Company) for its significant guidance and for supporting me and my research with all the required samples in this study.*

Metwalli M. El Noubi

Abstract

Bioremediation of Oily Based Drilling Waste Resulting From Oil & Gas Wells At Western Desert

This work examines the ability and efficiency of the bioremediation technique as an alternative, environmental friendly treatment process and a well-proven environmentally acceptable technology that uses microorganisms to biologically degrade the oily base mud (OBM) waste resulting from group of selected oil and gas drilling and exploration wells in Western Desert in Egypt.

Eleven samples from the waste of oily base mud were collected from different drilling locations at Western Desert, from (Abu Sennan, El Alamein, Qattara Depression, Burg El Arab, Marina, and El Hamra). The samples were analyzed for bacteriological examination to enumerate the total bacterial counts and to enumerate the Oil Degrading Bacteria (ODB), then isolation and purification of Oil Degrading Bacterial (ODB) isolates for further screening of ODB.

Phenotypic and genotypic identification of the most three potent oil degrading bacterial (ODB) isolates was performed after six screening steps. The three most potent oil degrading bacteria that were able to degrade the highest oil concentrations were utilized for the biodegradation of oil using these strains separately one by one. The degradation level was measured by using the three most potent isolates together at a fixed condition of temperature 30°C and pH= (7.0).

The results obtained showed a very promising ability and efficiency of the bioremediation process with the most three potent isolates. It was found that the biodegradation by using isolate number (H43- *Bacillus Subtilius*) is more efficient than the other isolates alone or even together.

Keywords and phrases: bioremediation, oil and gas, drilling and exploration, oily base mud (OBM), drilling waste, Oil Degrading Bacteria (ODB), biodegradation, western desert, Egypt.

SUMMARY

This thesis includes a study on the use of bioremediation technology and its effectiveness on selected samples of oil-based drilling mud residues from drilling oil and gas wells in some areas of the Egyptian Western Desert.

The purpose of this work is to isolate, identify, and determine the strongest oil-degrading bacterial isolates that isolated from the oil-based drilling mud waste samples and then identification of their metabolic fingerprints and determination of their ability to degrade the oil-based drilling mud residues.

In order to achieve these objectives, (11) samples of oil-based drilling mud samples were collected from various oil and gas drilling sites in Western desert in Egypt. Total bacterial counts in the collected oil base mud samples were enumerated at two different incubation temperatures (22°C and 30°C) for giving a full picture about the natural bacterial existence, by using pour plate method. A suitable dilution was plated on to plate count agar medium and after the incubation period, all plates were counted with the use of digital colony counter. The results showed that the average number of total bacterial counts at 22°C and 30 °C were (1.4×10^5) and (1.6×10^4) CFU/g, respectively.

The oil-degrading bacteria were then counted and determined by using surface plate method on oil agar (OA) media in the selected oil base mud samples. The number of oil-degrading bacteria ranged between (1.0×10^2) to (6.1×10^3) CFU/g in all collected samples.

After that isolation and purification of Oil Degrading Bacterial (ODB) Isolates on oil agar (OA) surface medium was performed where the isolates were picked and purified by re-streaking twice on the same culture agar medium (OA). Forty five (45) oil degrading bacterial isolates were purified and screened six times at different oil concentrations (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, and 0.6 (V/V).

- First screening step at oil concentration of 0.1% (V/V), **34** isolates out of total 45 (75.5%) been isolated.
- At concentration 0.2% (V/V), **30** isolates out of total 45 (66.6%) been isolated.
- At concentration 0.3% (V/V), **19** isolates out of total 45 (42.2%) been isolated.
- At concentration 0.4% (V/V), **11** isolates out of total 45 (24.4%) been isolated.
- At concentration 0.5% (V/V), **09** isolates out of total 45 (20%) been isolated.
- At concentration 0.6% (V/V), **03** isolates out of total 45 (6.6%) been isolated, and these are considered the most strong isolates to degrade and utilize the oil and identified as (H17, H32, and H43).

By using the application of the BIOLOG GEN III system, the three isolates were defined as below:

- (H17) as *Enterobacter hormaechei*
- (H32) as *Enterobacter cloacae*.
- (H43) as *Bacillus subtilis*

The metabolic fingerprints were then measured for the three isolates. It was observed that there was a difference in the metabolic fingerprints between the isolate (H17) and the isolate (H32), where (H17 "*Enterobacter hormaechei*") are able to break and utilize the D-serine compound while the isolate (H32 "*Enterobacter cloacae*") can't utilize the same compound.

Finally, the efficiency of the oil bioremediation technique was determined and measured by using the most potent three isolates separately one by one and then the degradation level was measured by using the three most potent isolates together. The experiment gave very promising results for the ability and efficiency of the bioremediation process with the most three potent isolates, and specially It was found that the biodegradation by using isolate number (H43- *Bacillus Subtilis*) is more efficient than the other isolates alone or even together.

CONTENTS

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page</u>
List of Contents	I
List of Tables.....	III
List of Figures and Map.....	IV
List of Abbreviations.....	VII
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES.....	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 The environmental problem.....	3
1.3 The objectives of the study.....	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 Drilling fluids/drilling muds.....	6
2.2 The environmental problems of using drilling fluids.....	13
2.3 The drilling waste treatment.....	16
2.4 The bioremediation technology	17
2.5 Biodegradation of contaminated soils with hydrocarbons.....	21
2.6 Bioremediation of drilling waste in Egypt.....	24
CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	25
3.1 Sampling sites and collection.....	25
3.2 Bacteriological examination.....	25

3.3 Phenotypic and genotypic identification of the three most potent oil degrading bacterial (ODB) isolates.....	28
3.4 Biodegradation of oil using the most three potent bacterial strains at fixed condition of temperature and pH.....	31

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....34

4.1 Enumeration of Total Bacterial Counts (TBC) from oil base mud samples.....	34
4.2 Enumeration of Oil Degrading Bacterial counts (ODB) from oil base mud samples.....	36
4.3 Isolation and screening of oil degrading bacteria (ODB) from oil base mud samples.....	40
4.4 Phenotypic and genotypic identification of the three most potent oil degrading bacterial (ODB) isolates.....	44
4.5 Determination of oil biodegradation using the three Potent Bacterial strains separately and their consortium at 30°C temperatures.....	58

Summary 69

REFERENCES.....72

APPENDIX-1 Media and Reagents.....100

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Total bacterial count (CFU/g) at 22 and 30°C and oil degrading bacteria (CFU/g) at 30°C in oil based mud samples.....	38
2. Number and percentage of positive oil degrading bacterial isolated after six screening steps at different oil concentrations.....	41
3. Six screening steps of oil degrading bacteria on oil agar media.....	42
4. Identification of the three most potent oil degrading isolates using BIOLOG and 16 S rRNA PCR.....	45
5. Metabolic fingerprints of the three potent oil degrading bacterial strains using BIOLOG.....	47
6. Carbon number distribution of the residual oil of the control and degraded oil using the three bacterial separately and their consortium after incubation at 30 °C for 30 days.....	62
7. Total bacterial counts of the most three potent bacterial strains and their consortium in comparing with their controls during the biodegradation experiment.....	66

LIST OF FIGURES AND MAP

Maps	Page
1. Location map of the sampling area.....	26

Figures	Page
1. Spot plot of total bacterial counts at both 22 and 30oC and oil degrading bacteria in oil base mud samples.....	39
2. Number of positive oil degrading bacterial isolated after six screening steps at different oil concentrations.....	41
3. Layout of assays in MicoPlate used in BIOLOG.....	49
4. Metabolic fingerprints of Enterobacter hormaechei (H17 strain).....	50
5. Metabolic fingerprints of Enterobacter cloacae (H32 strain)...	50
6. Metabolic fingerprints of Bacillus subtilius (H43 strain).....	51
7. 16 S rRNA PCR gel for the identification of the three most potent oil degrading bacteria.....	54
8. Sequence analyses of 16 S rRNA fragment of Enterobacter hormaechei isolated from oil based mud sample using 27F and 1492R primers.....	55

9. Sequence analyses of 16 S rRNA fragment of *Enterobacter* sp. isolated from oil based mud sample using 27F and 1492R primers.....55
10. Sequence analyses of 16 S rRNA fragment of *Bacillus subtilis* isolated from oil based mud sample using 27F and 1492R primers.....56
11. Phylogenetic tree of the *Enterobacter hormaechei* strain based on the 16S rRNA sequences results.....56
12. Phylogenetic tree of the *Bacillus subtilis* strain (ICI Query_73169) based on the 16S rRNA sequences results.....57
13. Carbon number distribution of the residual oil of the control and degraded oil using *Enterobacter hormaechei* after incubation at 30 °C for 30 days.....63
14. Carbon number distribution of the residual oil of the control and degraded oil using *Enterobacter* sp. after incubation at 30 °C for 30 days.....63
15. Carbon number distribution of the residual oil of the control and degraded oil using *Bacillus subtilis* (H43) strain after incubation at 30 °C for 30 days.....64
16. Carbon number distribution of the residual oil of the control and degraded oil using the three bacterial consortiums after incubation at 30 °C for 30 days.....64
17. **(log)¹⁰** bacterial counts of *E. hormaechei* in comparing with control (without oil addition) during the biodegradation experiment.....67

18. **(log)¹⁰** bacterial counts of *Eenterobacter* sp. in comparing with control (without oil addition) during the biodegradation experiment.....67
19. **(log)¹⁰** bacterial counts of *Bacillus subtilius* in comparing with control (without oil addition) during the biodegradation experiment.....68
20. **(log)¹⁰** bacterial counts of consortium in comparing with control (without oil addition) during the biodegradation experiment.....68