



Contrast Enhanced Mammography: The added value in the assessment of Suspicious Breast Lesions

Thesis

**Submitted for partial fulfillment of MD degree in Radiodiagnosis
By**

Dr Mira Adly Mahmoud Ayoub

M.B.B.CH., M. Sc. Radio-diagnosis
Faculty of Medicine - Ain-Shams University

Supervised By

Prof Dr Randa Abdallah

Professor of Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine
Ain-Shams University

Prof Dr Lobna Abdel Monem

Professor of Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine
Ain-Shams University

Dr Nermeen Nasry

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine
Ain-Shams University

**FACULTY OF MEDICINE
AIN-SHAMS UNIVERSITY
2015**



Acknowledgement

*First and foremost, thanks are due to **Allah**, the most Beneficent and Merciful.*

*Thanks to **Prof. Dr. Randa Abdullah**, Professor of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University, for giving me the honor to work under her supervision, judicious guidance and kind support at this study.*

*I wish to express my great thanks to **Prof. Dr. Lobna Habib**, Professor of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of medicine-Ain Shams University, for her kind assistance and guidance.*

*My deep appreciation **Dr. Nermeen Nasry**, Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of medicine-Ain Shams University, for her sincere guidance and effort during this study.*

I am indebted to my parents, my family, my friends and my colleagues for their endless and continuous help and support.



Mira Ayoub

LIST OF CONTENTS

List of Abbreviations.....	II
List of Figures	IV
List of Tables	VII
Introduction and Aim of work	1
Review of literature.....	5
Anatomy of the Breast	5
Pathology of the Breast	15
Technique of Contrast Enhanced Mammography.....	32
Patients and Methods.....	47
Results	55
Illustrative cases.....	70
Discussion	85
Summary and Conclusion	96
References	99
Arabic Summary	1

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACR	American College of Radiology
AGD	Average Glandular Dose
BC	Breast Cancer
BIRADS	Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System
BRCA 1	Breast Cancer Gene 1
BRCA 2	Breast Cancer Gene 2
CBS	Conservative Breast Surgery
CC	Craniocaudal
CEDM	Contrast Enhanced Digital Mammography
CESM	Contrast Enhanced Spectral Mammography
DCIS	Ductal Carcinoma In situ
DE-CEDM	Dual Energy CEDM
ER-Positive	Estrogen Receptor Positive
ETD	Extralobular Terminal Duct
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FN	False Negative
FP	False Positive
HRT	Hormone Replacement Therapy
ICC	Invasive Cribriform Carcinoma
IDC	Invasive Ductal Carcinoma
ILC	Invasive Lobular Carcinoma
ITD	Intralobular Terminal Duct
LCIS	Lobular Carcinoma In situ
LT	Left
ML	Mediolateral
MLO	Mediolateral Oblique
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
NPV	Negative Predictive Value
NST	No special type
PPV	Positive Predictive Value
RT	Right
SM	Sonomammography

TC	Tubular Carcinoma
TDLU	Terminal Duct Lobular Unit
TN	True Negative
TP	True Positive
UOQ	Upper Outer Quadrant
US	Ultrasound

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. no.	Title	Page no.
1	The suspensory ligaments	6
2	The intra- and extralobular ducts	7
3	The ductal system of the breast.....	7
4	The boundaries of the axilla.....	9
5	The blood supply and venous drainage of the breast	10
6	Diagram of the principal pathways of lymphatic drainage of the breast	11
7	The lymph nodes of the axilla.....	12
8	Table Containing risk factors of breast cancer....	22
9	Table containing WHO Classification of Malignant breast Lesions	24
10	CESM imaging: low-energy image (a), high- energy image (b), and subtracted image (c)...	33
11	Scheme of Technique of DE-CEDM	34
12	(a) Conventional Mammography, (b) CEDM, (c) Ultrasound.....	38
13	(a) and (c) Conventional Mammography CC and ML view, (b) and (d) CEDM CC and ML views....	39
14	Conventional Mammography (a) and CEDM (b) CC views showing background enhancement	40
15	(a) The CC view of conventional mammography showed a cluster of amorphous microcalcifications (b) CESM revealed enhanced	41

Fig. no.	Title	Page no.
	irregular lesion associating the microcalcifications	
16	CESM images in three different cases showing ring-pattern of contrast uptake (a, b and c)	42
17	Chart of Classification of breast density according to the ACR	56
18	(A and B) CC & ML views in conventional mammography, (C) US showing cyst,	70
	(D and E) CC & ML views in CEDM	71
19	(A and B) CC & ML views in CM showing left linear opacity, (C and D) US on left breast	72
19	(E) US on right breast, (F and G) CEDM CC and ML views showing multicentric disease	73
20	(A and B) CC and ML views in Conventional mammography showed bilateral well defined opacities (C) US on RT breast	75
20	(D and E) CEDM CC and ML Views	76
21	(A) Lt Breast Conventional Mammography ML view (B) US left breast.....	77
21	(C) CEDM ML view left breast	78
22	(A and B) Conventional mammography CC and ML views showed dense breast and a right mass lesion (C) US showed RT breast mass.....	79
22	(D and E) CEDM CC and ML views.....	80
23	(A and B) Conventional mammography CC and ML views revealed RT Breast density, (C)	81

Fig. no.	Title	Page no.
	magnified image on RT breast density and microcalcifications, (D) US on RT breast	
23	(E and F) CEDM CC and ML views showed malignant right breast lesion.....	82
24	(A and B) Conventional Mammography CC and ML views showed left breast density (C) US showed left breast mass.....	83
24	(D and E) CEDM CC and ML views showed malignant left breast mass	84

LIST OF TABLES

Table no.	Title	Page no.
1	Clinical Presentation	55
2	BIRADS and Histopathology Crosstabulation	57
3	Sonomammography Finding and Histopathology crosstabulation	58
4	Malignant Lesions in Sonomammography and Histopathology crosstabulation.....	59
5 a	Pattern of enhancement in CEDM	60
5 b	Pattern of enhancement in CEDM and Histopathology crosstabulation.....	60
6 a	Margin Definition in CEDM.....	61
6 b	Margin Definition in CEDM and Histopathology crosstabulation.....	61
7	CEDM and Histopathology Crosstabulation	62
8	Single & Multiple lesions in CEDM and Histopathology Crosstabulation	63
9	CEDM and different Histopathology Crosstabulation.....	64
10	Data Analysis in CEDM.....	65
11	Conventional Mammography Vs CEDM Crosstabulation.....	66
12	Analysis of multifocal Lesions in CEDM and SM	68

Introduction

Breast cancer in women is a major public health problem throughout the world. It is the most common cancer among women both in developed and developing countries, accounting for 22.9% of all new female cancers. In Egypt 2008, breast cancer accounting for 37.7% of the total new cancer cases and it is the leading cause of cancer related mortality accounting for 29.1% of the cancer related deaths (*Zeeneldin et al, 2013*).

Mammography is the basic breast imaging modality for early detection and diagnosis of breast cancer (*van den Biggelaar et al, 2009*). Despite advances in mammography, at least one in four malignant tumors remains undetected using screening mammography alone; in dense breast tissue, more than half go undetected (*Diekmann et al, 2011*). Unfortunately it also has a high false-negative rate. False-negatives can be attributed to the existing technological limitations of mammography, human error, and quality assurance failures (*Chang et al, 2008*).

Ultrasound is a complementary technique to mammography, especially for dense breasts and is a part of the standard of care

in diagnostic procedures. Manual US examinations are time-consuming operator dependent and findings have to be characterized during the procedure with limited possibility of a second independent evaluation of already captured images (*Dromain et al, 2011*)

Taking advantage of the inherent capabilities of image processing in digital mammography, advanced applications may bring additional clinical and cost benefits to the current standard of care. Among these applications, contrast-enhanced digital mammography (CEDM) may specifically open the door to detection of angiogenesis in the mammography suite. (*Dromain et al, 2011*)

In diagnostic imaging neo-angiogenesis is recognized as a classic sign of malignant tumors. Breast cancer is usually diagnosed by mammography showing a suspicious lesion or microcalcifications, but this imaging method does not visualize neo-angiogenesis. The addition of Doppler US makes visualization of blood flow possible. However, it is known that slow blood flow may go undetected by this method. Contrast-enhanced mammography is a relatively new imaging technique capable of detecting neoangiogenesis and has the potential to

play an important role in assessing multifocality or multicentricity in breast cancer (*Daniaux et al, 2015*)

The potential clinical applications are the clarification of mammographically equivocal lesions, the detection of occult lesions on standard mammography, particularly in dense breast, the determination of the extent of disease, the assessment of recurrent disease and the monitoring of the response to chemotherapy (*Dromain et al, 2009*).

The preliminary clinical trial suggests that dual-energy CEDM has a higher diagnostic accuracy for the detection of breast carcinoma compared with mammography alone and with mammography interpreted in association with ultrasound (*Dromain et al, 2011*).