



Design and Production Engineering Department

Fracture Evaluation of Steel Joints Made by Different Welding Processes

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements of the degree of
PhD in Mechanical Engineering
(Design & Production Engineering Department)

By

Tarek Mohammed Refaat Hamed Gammeaa

B.Sc. Production Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Alexandria
University

M. Sc. in Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering,
Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof. Taher G. Abo El-Yazied

Prof. Aly A. El-Domiaty

Prof. Adel B. El-Shabasy

Cairo-(2018)



Design and Production Engineering Department

Fracture Evaluation of Steel Joints Made by Different Welding Processes

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements of the degree of
PhD in Mechanical Engineering
(Design & Production Engineering Department)

By

Tarek Mohammed Refaat Hamed Gammeaa

Examiners Committee

Name and Affiliation

Signature

Prof. Dr. Ragaie Mohamed Rashad

Mechanical Design and Production Department,
Faculty of Engineering , Cairo University

.....

Prof. Dr. Moustafa Abd El-Moniem Chaaban

Design & Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. Taher G. Abo El-Yazied

Design & Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. Adel B. El-Shabasy

Design & Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

.....

Date: / /



Design and Production Engineering Department

Fracture Evaluation of Steel Joints Made by Different Welding Processes

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements of the degree of
PhD in Mechanical Engineering
(Design & Production Engineering Department)

By

Tarek Mohammed Refaat Hamed Gammeaa

Supervision Committee

Name and Affiliation

Signature

Prof. Dr. Taher G. Abo El-Yazied

Design & Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Aly A. El-Domiaty

Production Department, Faculty of Engineering,
Ismalia, Suez Canal University

.....

Prof. Dr. Adel B. El-Shabasy

Design & Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

.....

Date: / /

Statement

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of Ph.D. Degree in Mechanical Engineering, specialization (Production Engineering), Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, at the laboratories of Central Metallurgical Research & Development Institute (CMRDI) and the laboratories of Kader Factory for Developed Industries (K.F.D.I.), Arab Organization for Industrialization (A.O.I.).

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other scientific entity.

Signature

Tarek M. Refaat H. Gameaa

Date: / /

Researcher Data

Name : Tarek Mohammed Refaat Hamed
Gammeaa
Date of birth : 29/5/1975
Place of birth : GHARBIA Governorate
First academic degree : Bachelor of Science Degree (B.Sc.)
Field of specialization : Mechanical Engineering
University issued the degree: Alexandria University
Date of issued degree : July, 2000
Last academic degree : Master of Science (M.Sc.)
Field of specialization : Mechanical Engineering
University issued the degree: Ain Shams University
Date of issued degree : January, 2011
Current job : Assembly Quality Control
department manager, Quality
Sector, Kader Factory for
Developed Industries (K.F.D.I.),
Arab Organization for
Industrialization (A.O.I.)

Abstract

Steel sheets joining can be done by many welding techniques which have advantages and disadvantages. Selection of one among them to fabricate specific structure is delicate and most of the time depends on theoretical and practical experience.

In this investigation the fracture mechanics science was used to study the behavior during fracture of joints made by different welding techniques.

Fracture resistance of low alloying element low carbon steel sheet welded joints had been evaluated by Essential Work of Fracture (EWF) testing method. Testing was done on DENT specimens which were prepared by EDM using 0.3 mm wire diameter.

More than thirty two notched specimens with different notch lengths had been tested and the Specific Essential Work of Fracture (w_e) was evaluated and interpreted by different models. The results were presented and analyzed to make evaluation and assessment processes. Also features of the fracture surface were evaluated using SEM microscopy for comparison as well as the energy consumption of the different welding processes.

The EWF gave results which are matched with the results of tension test, micro hardness test for as received material and all type of welds, also it gave matched results with the power consumption of the different weldments. The weldment of GTAW gave higher Essential Work for Fracture (higher fracture energy) if it is compared to that of FSW and GMAW.

The SEM micrographs for the fracture surface of the as received material, GTAW and FSW weldments tensile test specimens assure those fractures are mixed brittle ductile types but differ in their tendency for fracture while for GMAW the fracture type is ductile which in turn interpreting the tension test results and micro hardness results for weldments.

FSW has the lowest value of energy consumption to fulfill the welded joint if it is compared to GTAW and GMAW.

Keywords:

Fracture toughness, Essential Work of Fracture (EWF), Double Notch Edge Tensile (DENT), Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM), The Specific Essential Work of Fracture (w_e), Gas Metal Arc Welding (GMAW), Gas Tungsten Arc Welding (GTAW), Friction Stir Welding (FSW), Mode I Fracture Toughness (K_{Ic}), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM).

Thesis Summary

It is usually accepted that the welded metallic structure serves its primary functions but with some defects ranges in size for example hair cracks. There are many standards to accept welded joints according to many approval references. Most of these standards are supplied to traditional welding processes like GTAW, GMAW. Friction stir welding (FSW) in general is relatively new welding technique which produces sound weldments. Considering many applications of friction stir welding, there is a great need to fracture toughness appropriate assessment procedure for welded joints made by this new technology. The most familiar procedures and tests which are used to evaluate fracture toughness are as follow:

- Plain fracture toughness (K_{IC})
- Crack Opening Displacement (COD)
- J Integral
- And other standards tests like ASTM (E399)

To measure K_{IC} this requires higher thicknesses and this is not found in the case of welding small thin sheets of steel. In this work a nonstandard test procedure have been used to evaluate fracture toughness, it depends on concept of the essential work of fracture (EWF). More than thirty two notched specimens were prepared using EDM wire cutting with a wire diameter of 0.3 mm and tensioned using the a universal testing machine.

The obtained results of the specific essential work of fracture (w_e) were interpreted using the estimated values of J_c for Mai model and the estimated values of w_e for Wells method to evaluate the validation of the test. GTAW and GMAW showed a remarkable deviation, on the other hand FSW showed lower deviation if compared to GTAW and GMAW.

However, the weldment of GTAW gave higher essential work for fracture than that of FSW and GMAW. These results matched the properties of the fracture surface that had been investigated using SEM microscope as well as the energy consumption of the different welding processes which proofed that FSW has the

lowest value of energy consumption compared to GTAW and GMAW.

Table of contents	Page
Statement	I
Researcher Data	II
Abstract	III
Thesis summary	V
Nomenclature	X
Acknowledgement	XII
List of figures	XIII
List of tables	XIX
Chapter I Introduction	1
Chapter II Literature review	3
2-1 GMAW	5
2-2 GTAW	6
2-3 FSW	7
2-3-1 FSW Process parameters	9
2-3-1-1 FSW tool geometry	9
2-3-1-2 FSW tool motion and tilting angle	12
2-3-1-3 FSW Joint design	12
2-3-2 FSW material flow modeling	13
2-3-3 FSW microstructure evolution	16
2-3-3-1 FSW nugget zone	16
2-3-3-2 TMAZ of FSW	18
2-3-3-3 FSW heat-affected zone (HAZ)	19
2-3-4 FSW mechanical properties	19
2-3-4-1 FSW hardness	19
2-3-4-2 FSW strength and ductility	21
2-3-4-3 FSW fracture toughness	25
2-3-5 FSW of Steel	31
2-3-6 FSW selected applications	36
2-3-6-1 Aerospace industry	36
2-3-6-2 Shielding and armor	36
2-4 The essential work of fracture (EWF)	37
Chapter III Experimental work	41
3-1 Material	41
3-2 Mechanical testing	41

3-2-1 Tension test	41
3-2-2 Hardness testing	43
3-3 Welding procedure	44
3-3-1 GTAW, GMAW	44
3-3-2 FSW	44
3-4 Fusion welding fixture	47
3-5 Weldments preparation	48
3-6 Welding with different techniques	48
3-7 Non-destructive testing of steel sheet weldments	49
3-7-1 Visual inspection	49
3-7-2 Penetrant test	49
3-7-3 X-ray testing	50
3-8 Destructive Testing of steel sheet weldments	50
3-8-1 Mechanical testing for the steel sheet weldments	50
3-8-2 Micro hardness test of welded joints	51
3-8-3 EWF	52
3-8-3-1 Preparation for double edge notch tensile specimens	52
3-8-3-2 EWF procedure	52
3-9 Macro -Microstructure examination	54
3-10 Fracture surface examination	55
3-10-1 Fractography of weldments tensile specimens	55
3-10-2 Nick break test	55
3-11 Measuring the energy consumption for welding processes	57
3-11-1 Electric energy consumption for GMAW and GTAW	57
3-11-2 Measuring FSW energy	57
Chapter IV Results and discussion	59
4-1 Material chemical analysis	59
4-2 As received material mechanical testing results	59
4-3 Non-destructive test results for weldments	61
4-3-1 Visual test results	61
4-3-2 PT results	61
4-3-3 RT results	61

4-4 Weldments mechanical testing results	62
4-4-1 As received material tensile properties	65
4-4-2 GMAW tensile properties	68
4-4-3 GTAW tensile properties	71
4-4-4 FSW tensile properties	73
4-4-5 Micro hardness test of weldments	76
4-5 Microstructure and fracture surface examination	79
4-6 Fracture surface examination	87
4-7 Essential work of fracture EWF	91
4-7-1 Effect of ligament length on fracture load ($P_{max.}$)	105
4-7-2 The effect of ligament length on maximum displacement	107
4-7-3 Interpretation of results using different models	110
4-8 Electric energy results for welding processes	113
4-8-1 Electric energy for GMAW and GTAW	113
4-8-2 Electric energy used for FSW	113
4-8-3 Energy consumption based on measurements	114
4-8-4 Prediction of energy consumption by energy model for FSW	115
4-8-5 Calculation of effective strain in FSW using volume constancy concept	117
Chapter V- Conclusions and future work	119
References	121
Appendix	131

Nomenclature

AC	Alternating current
ASTM	American Standard for Testing of Material
ASS	Austenitic stainless steel
a	Notch length (it represents crack depth)
BW	Butt weld
BM	Base metal
CE	Carbon equivalent
CTOD	Crack Tip Opening Displacement
COD	Crack Opening Displacement
DC	Direct current
DCEP	Direct current electrode positive
DCEN	Direct current electrode negative
DT	Destructive test
DENT	Double Edge Notched Specimens
EDS	Energy Dispersive Spectroscopic Analysis
EWf	Essential work for fracture method
FSW	Friction Stir Welding
FCAW	Flux Cored Arc Welding
F	Compressive force
GMAW	Gas Metal Arc Welding
GTAW	Gas Tungsten Arc Welding
HAZ	Heat affected zone
h	Height of tool pin (mm)
J_c	The critical J-integral value
K	Strength coefficient
K_{IC}	Fracture toughness mode 1
LHF	Low hydrogen ferritic steel
L	Nominal ligament length
MMA	Manual Metal Arc welding
MAG	Metal Active Gas
MIG	Metal Inert Gas
NDT	Nondestructive test
n	Strain hardening exponent
PA	Horizontal welding position

P_f	Power consumed in friction
P_P	Power consumed in plastic deformation
SMAW	Shielded Metal Arc Welding
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
TMAZ	Thermo-mechanical affected zone
TWI	The Welding Institute
t	Specimen thickness
UV	Ultra violet
U	Total Work of Fracture
v	Tool traverse speed (mm/min.)
v_o	Welding speed (mm/min)
r_o	Radius of tool shoulder (mm)
r_p	Plastic zone size
r_i	Radius of the pin (mm)
WZ	Weld zone
WPS	Welding procedure specifications
W_f, U	Total work for fracture
W_e	Essential work for fracture
W_P	Non-essential work for fracture
w_f	Specific total work for fracture
w_e	Specific essential work for fracture
w_P	Specific non-essential work for fracture
μ	Coefficient of friction
ω	Rotational speed of the tool (rev. /min.)
σ_e	Effective stress (power law stress)
ε_e	Effective strain (power law strain)
β	Shape factor
α, m	Material constants (Ramberg-Osgood parameters)
σ_o	The yield stress of the material
ε_o	The strain corresponding to yield strength
δ_c	Critical crack opening displacement

Acknowledgement

At first I would like to thank Allah for helping me completing the work in such form, after that my deepest appreciation must be expressed to

Prof. Dr. : Taher G. A. El-Yazeid, (Ain Shams Univ.)

Prof. Dr. : Aly A. El-Domiaty, (Suez Canal Univ.)

Prof. Dr. : Adel Mohamed B. El Shabasy, (Ain Shams Univ.)

Prof. Dr. : Hala Abd EL-Hakim Hassan, (Ain Shams Univ.)

For their supervision & enthusiastic guidance throughout this work.

I am also grateful to Arab organization of industrilization (A.O.I.) represented in its chairman, and to my dearest chairman of Kader Factory for Developed Industries (KFDI), and (KFDI) engineers, technicians and welders for the efforts that have been done to facilitate the procedures of work.

Special thanks to staff of (CMRDI) especially

- Prof. Dr. Abdel Monem Mohamed EL Batahgy
(The x-manager of welding technology department)
- Prof. Dr. Morsy Ameen
(The present manager of welding technology department)
- Eng. Ahmed Sayah (metallographic laboratory)

I am deeply indebted to all the working team that mentioned above for their kind help.

At last but not least I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my family (especially my parents and my wife) for their patience and encouragement to finish this work.