



Advanced studies on *Enterococcus* species recovered from poultry

A Thesis Presented
By

Aalaa Samir Ahmed Saad

(B.V.Sc., Cairo University, 2009)

(M.v.sc., Cairo University, 2014)

For the Degree of
**Doctor of philosophy in Veterinary Medical Science
Microbiology**

Under The Supervision Of

**Prof. Dr. Kamelia Mahmoud Osman
Ahmed**

Professor of Microbiology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Jihan Mostafa Badr

Chief Researcher of Microbiology
Department of Poultry Diseases
Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki

2019

Cairo University

Faculty of Veterinary medicine
Department of Microbiology

A letter of introduction from **Aalaa Samir Ahmed Saad**

Specialization: Microbiology

Title: Advanced studies on *Enterococcus* species recovered from poultry

Under supervision of:

1-Professor Dr. kamelia Mahmoud Osman Ahmed

Professor of microbiology, Department of Microbiology

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

2- Professor Dr. Jihan Mostafa Badr

Chief Researcher of microbiology, Department Poultry Diseases,
Animal Health Research Institute.

Abstract

Out of 150 samples 38 chicken samples (7 brains, 23 livers and 8 hearts), 41 pigeon samples (6 livers and 35 swabs), 41 duck samples (15 brains, 20 livers and 6 hearts) and 30 human samples (30 Urine samples). The prevalence of *Enterococcus* isolates were 79 %, 100%, 85.4% and 66.7% in the chickens, pigeons, ducks and human samples respectively. *Enterococcus* spp. showed gelatin hydrolysis activity positive in 50% of *Enterococcus* spp. recovered from chicken, While was positive in a percentage of 34.1% , 25% and 11.4% for *Enterococcus* spp. recovered from pigeons, human and ducks respectively. *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from pigeon had the highest casein hydrolysis activity 61% followed by *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from chicken 53.3% then *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from ducks 42.9% and the lowest casein hydrolysis activity was *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from human source 10%. The *Enterococcus* spp. results for affinity percentage from Chicken were 70% and 30%, Pigeon 61% and 39%, Ducks 68.6% and 31.4% and Human 95% and 5% as moderate and strong biofilm forming capability respectively. All *Enterococcus* isolates supernatant fluid showed cytopathic effect on the Vero cell line (100%).The prevalence of the total γ -hemolysis activity of *Enterococcus* spp. reached 66.7% as well as, the β -hemolysis activity and α - hemolysis reached 29.4% and 4% respectively. The percentage of 63.5% for *Enterococcus* isolates were positive for Haemagglutination (HA) of sheep blood while the percentage of 28.6 % was positive for HA of chicken blood. The results showed that *Enterococcus* spp. of chickens origin high resistant to Clindamycin, Oxytetracycline, Doxycycline, Gentamycin(LLA), Ciprofloxacin and Vancomycin. *Enterococcus* spp. of pigeons origin high resistant to Clindamycin, Oxytetracycline, Doxycycline, Gentamycin(LLA) and Vancomycin . *Enterococcus* spp. of ducks origin high resistant to Clindamycin, Erythromycin, Gentamycin(LLA) and Vancomycin. While *Enterococcus* spp. of human origin high resistant to Ampicillin, Clindamycin, Oxytetracycline, Gentamycin(LLA) and Vancomycin. The results revealed that a positive amplification of the *vanA*, *vanB* and *vanC* genes in the 126 isolates of *Enterococcus* spp. were detected in a percentage of 19.84%, 16.67 and 8.73% respectively. While the a positive amplification of the *catA*, *catB*, *fexA*, *fexB* and *cfr* genes in the 126 isolates of *Enterococcus* spp. were 27%, 18.25 %, 11.90% and zero% respectively.

Key words: *Enterococcus* species, antimicrobial resistance, virulence, poultry.

Dedication to

My mother

My father

My sister
& my brother

Acknowledgement

All praise and Glory to **ALLAH** the Almighty who alone made this small Objective to be accomplished. I feel honored and privileged to glorify his name in the sincerest way through this small accomplishment and ask him to accept my efforts.

I would like to express my gratitude for the encouragement and patience of my major advisor, **Prof. Dr. Kamelia Mahmoud Osman**, Professor of Microbiology, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University. Her microbiological expertise, steadfast guidance, constant accessibility, unfailing interest, stimulating supervision and constructive criticism are greatly appreciated and are the guidelines which made the completion of this work possible.

My deepest appreciation and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Jihan Mostafa Badr**, Chief Researcher, Department of Poultry Diseases, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki who spared no effort in giving me her full support.

My sincere thanks to all my friends in **department of Poultry diseases** and **Mycoplasma department**, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki for their continuous support and help.

Finally, I would like to extend special thanks to my close friends, who provided both who provided both friendship and assistance during the course of this study.

List of Contents

Number		Page
1	Introduction	1
2	Review of Literature	13
3	Materials & Methods	65
4	Results	84
5	Discussion	159
6	Conclusion	183
7	Summary	185
8	References	192
	Arabic Summary	300

List of Tables

Number		Page
1	Species part of the <i>Enterococcus</i> genus	18
2	Phenotypic characteristics of recently identified <i>Enterococcus</i> species	28
3	Sources of antimicrobial resistance (bacteria and bacterial genes) in animal production settings	45
4	Number, source and type of the examined samples	65
5	Antimicrobial sensitivity discs	70
6	Antimicrobial resistance genes and primers including nucleotide sequences and references	71
7	Key tests for identification of <i>Enterococcus</i> groups	75
8	Identification of group I <i>Enterococcus</i> species.	75
9	Identification of group II <i>Enterococcus</i> species	75
10	Identification of group III <i>Enterococcus</i> species	75
11	Biochemical identification of suspected <i>Salmonella</i> , <i>E. coli</i> , <i>Enterobacter</i> spp. and <i>pseudomonas aurogenosa</i> isolates	77
12	Zone diameter interpretation standards for <i>Enterococci</i>	80
13	The results of biochemical identification of the suspected 126 <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from chickens, pigeons, ducks and human urine samples	84
14	The results of biochemical differentiation of 126 <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from chickens, pigeons, ducks and human urine samples	85
15	Results of the biochemical characterization of 208 non- <i>Enterococcus</i>	86

	isolates	
16	The prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. recovered from 150 different types of samples	87
17	Prevalence of non-<i>Enterococcus</i> species recovered from different type of samples	88
18	The Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chickens	89
19	The Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from pigeons	89
20	The Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from Ducks	90
21	The Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from Human	90
22	Results of Gelatin hydrolysis activity of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken and pigeon ducks and human urine samples	92
23	Results of Casein hydrolysis activity of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken, pigeon ducks and human urine samples	94
24	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by Congo red agar method of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken and pigeon ducks and human urine samples	96
25	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by TCP of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken samples	97
26	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by TCP of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from pigeon samples	98
27	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by TCP of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from duck samples	99
28	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by Tissue culture plate method (TCP) of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from human	100

	samples	
29	Collective table about the types of biofilm formed by isolates recovered from different types of samples	101
30	The cytopathic Effect on the Vero cell line of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	102
31	Results of the hemolytic activity of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken, pigeon ducks and human urine samples	105
32	Haemagglutination pattern (number and percentage) of against erythrocytes of different species (Sheep, Chicken)	107
33	Number and Percentage of sensitive <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different types of samples	111
34	Number and Percentage of intermediate resistance <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolates to antibiotics	115
35	Number and Percentage of Resistant <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different types of samples	118
36	Prevalence of XDR, MDR and PDR among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	119
37	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antibiotics	121
38	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from Pigeon exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antibiotics	123
39	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from duck exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antibiotics	125
40	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from human exhibiting resistance to various numbers	126

	of antimicrobial agents	
41	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates collected from different sources exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antibiotics	128
42	Multiple antibiotic resistance index (MAR_{index}) of <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates collected from different sources exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antibiotics	131
43	Prevalence of Vancomycin resistance <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different types of samples using antibiotic disc diffusion test	134
44	Antimicrobial resistance profile of Vancomycin Resistant <i>Enterococcus</i> (VRE) recovered from different types of samples	137
45	Antimicrobial resistance profile of Vancomycin Sensitive <i>Enterococcus</i> (VSE) recovered from different types of samples	139
46	Association of VRE with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	141
47	Association of VSE with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolates recovered from different origin	143
48	Association of CRE (Chloramphenicol resistant <i>Enterococcus</i>) with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	144
49	Association of CSE (Chloramphenicol sensitive <i>Enterococcus</i>) with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	145
50	Association of FRE (Florfenicol resistant <i>Enterococcus</i>) with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	146

51	Association of FSE (Florfenicol sensitive <i>Enterococcus</i>) with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	147
52	Results of PCR for amplification of <i>vanA</i>, <i>vanB</i> and <i>vanC</i> genes performed with its specific primer	150
53	Results of PCR for amplification of <i>catA</i>, <i>catB</i>, <i>fexA</i>, <i>fexB</i> and <i>cfr</i> genes performed with its specific primer	156
54	Summary of risk assessment of some veterinary antimicrobial drugs on human public health associated with antimicrobial resistance and their molecular basis	161