

# **Comparative Study on the Effect of Propolis and Sodium Fluoride on Exposed Dentinal Tubules**

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,  
Ain shams University  
in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for  
Master Degree in Oral Biology

By

**Nayera Ahmed Mohamed El Megharbel**

Teaching Assistant of Oral Biology

B.D.S. Faculty of Dentistry

MSA University

Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University

**2019**

## **SUPERVISORS**

**Prof. Dr. Souzi Mohammed Farid Shinaishin**

Professor of Oral Biology

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

**Dr. Nuha Abdul-Fattah Baraka**

Lecturer of Oral Biology

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

May I start by expressing my deepest thanks and most sincere gratitude to **GOD Almighty** without whose help and guidance, I would have never been able to complete this work.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to ***Prof. Dr. Souzi Mohammed Farid Shinaishin***, Professor of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her constant advice, encouragement and supervision. Her willingness to give her time so generously has been very much appreciated.

My sincere thanks, appreciation and gratitude extend to ***Dr. Nuha Abdul-Fattah Baraka***, Lecturer of Oral Biology, faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her valuable help. It is difficult to find the appropriate words that would express my gratitude because I cannot by any means repay her for all that she has done.

I would like to express my deep thanks and appreciation to all staff members of Oral Biology Department, Faculty of dentistry, MSA university and Ain shams university for their help during the entire course of this work.

# Table of contents

• List of abbreviations.....	i
• List of figures.....	iii
• List of tables.....	vi
• Abstract.....	vii
• Introduction and review of literature.....	1
○ Histology of dentin pulp complex.....	2
○ Mechanism of dentin sensitivity.....	4
○ Etiology of dentin hypersensitivity.....	6
○ Management of dentin hypersensitivity	
▪ Preventive Measures.....	9
▪ Desensitizing agents.....	11
▪ At home desensitizing agents.....	12
▪ In office desensitizing agents	
• Sodium fluoride.....	12
▪ Homeopathic medication	
• Propolis .....	16
• Aim of the study.....	30
• Materials and Methods.....	31
• Results.....	37
• Discussion.....	50
• Summary .....	57
• Conclusions & Recommendations.....	60
• References.....	61
• Arabic summary	

## **List of Abbreviations**

<b>ACC</b>	Arginine-Calcium Carbonate
<b>ANOVA</b>	Analysis of Variance
<b>CO<sub>2</sub></b>	Carbon Dioxide
<b>CP</b>	Carbamide Peroxide
<b>CPP-ACPF</b>	Casein Phosphopeptide- Amorphous Calcium Phosphate Fluoride
<b>CPP-ACP</b>	Casein Phosphopeptide-Amorphous Calcium Phosphate
<b>DH</b>	Dentin Hypersensitivity
<b>DPSCs</b>	Dental Pulp Stem Cells
<b>EEP</b>	Ethanollic Extract of Propolis
<b>Er:YAG</b>	Erbium: Yttrium Aluminum Garnet
<b>FEG</b>	Field Emission Gun
<b>GaAIAs</b>	Gallium–Aluminum–Arsenide Laser
<b>GTFs</b>	Glucosyltransferases
<b>HBSS</b>	Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution
<b>HNSCC</b>	Human Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma

## List of Abbreviations

<b>IBM</b>	International Business Machine
<b>MTA</b>	Mineral Trioxide Aggregate
<b>NaF</b>	Sodium Fluoride
<b>Nd-YAG</b>	Neodymium: Yttrium Aluminum Garnet
<b>(p)</b>	Probability
<b>PDL</b>	Periodontal Ligament
<b>PG</b>	Placebo Gel
<b>RPE</b>	Red Propolis Extract
<b>S.D.</b>	Standard Deviation
<b>SEM</b>	Scanning Electron Microscope
<b>SPSS</b>	Statistical Package for Scientific Studies
<b>t-test</b>	Two Sample Location Test

## **List of Figures**

- Figure 1:** **A:** IsoMet® 4000 Percision Saw; Buehler device. **B:** Tooth placed in the T-Slot opposing to the cutting blade to create dentin discs.....**35**
- Figure 2:** Bifluorid 10® varnish.....**36**
- Figure 3:** SEM image (on the left) showing opened dentinal tubules. The image (on the right) showing the count of opened dentinal tubules using ImageJ software.....**36**
- Figure 4:** Scanning electron micrograph of cross section of control group dentinal tubules showing opened tubules orifices. (2500x) .....**38**
- Figure 5:** A higher magnification of the previous micrograph showing the exposed dentinal tubules orifices after acid etching. (4000x) .....**38**
- Figure 6:** Scanning electron micrograph of longitudinal section of control group dentinal tubules showing the normal pattern of the tubules. (2500x) .....**39**
- Figure 7:** A higher magnification of the previous micrograph showing the opened orifices of the tubules (white arrows). (4000x) .....**39**
- Figure 8:** Scanning electron micrograph of fluoride group dentinal tubules, showing fully occluded tubules (white arrows),

tubules with reduced diameter (red arrows) and crystals deposition. (2500x) .....	41
<b>Figure 9:</b> A higher magnification of the previous micrograph showing crystal like deposits on the surface (black arrows). (4000x) .....	41
<b>Figure 10:</b> Scanning electron micrograph of a longitudinal section of fluoride group dentinal tubules, showing a layer of crystals covering the tubules (white arrows). (2500x) .....	42
<b>Figure 11:</b> A higher magnification of the previous micrograph showing no obvious crystal-like deposits of fluoride into lumen of the tubules. (4000x) .....	42
<b>Figure 12:</b> Scanning electron micrograph of a cross section of propolis group dentinal tubules showing decreased diameter of some tubules (red arrows) and complete occlusion of others (white arrows). (2500x) .....	44
<b>Figure 13:</b> A higher magnification of the previous micrograph showing completely occluded (red arrows) and partially occluded (white arrows) dentinal tubule by homogenous layer of resinous material. (4000x) .....	44
<b>Figure 14:</b> Scanning electron micrograph of longitudinal section of propolis group dentinal tubules showing a smooth, regular and homogenous layer of propolis resin covering the dentin surface. (2500x) .....	45



<b>Figure 15:</b> A higher magnification of the previous micrograph showing a noticeable deposition of the material in the opening of the tubule (white arrows). (4000x) .....	<b>45</b>
<b>Figure 16:</b> Bar chart illustrating average count of open dentinal tubules among all groups.....	<b>46</b>
<b>Figure 17:</b> Bar chart illustrating mean area percent of open dentinal tubules among all groups.....	<b>47</b>
<b>Figure 18:</b> Bar chart illustrating mean area percentage differences between all experimental groups.....	<b>49</b>

## **List of Tables**

<b>Table 1:</b> Illustrates average count of open dentinal tubules in all groups.....	<b>46</b>
<b>Table 2:</b> Mean area percent of open dentinal tubules in all groups....	<b>47</b>
<b>Table 3:</b> Showing mean area percentage differences and P values between different groups.....	<b>48</b>

## Abstract

Dentin hypersensitivity (DH) occurs on exposed dentin and is dependent on the patency of dentinal tubules. Occlusion of tubules openings may decrease DH according to the hydrodynamic theory. **Aim of the study:** Evaluate and compare the effect of Propolis and NaF containing agent in occluding exposed dentinal tubules viewed by scanning electron microscope. **Materials and Methods:** 21 recently extracted caries-free human premolars were used. Teeth were sectioned with a diamond saw, perpendicular to the long axis of the tooth, to create dentin discs with a thickness of  $1.0 \pm 0.1$  mm from the mid coronal dentin. All the specimens were etched with acid etch for 30 sec. to remove the smear layer, in order to expose the tubules. Dentin discs were divided into 3 groups, 7 dentin discs each, according to the treatment solution used as follows: Group I: pretreated with acid etch with no additional treatment. Group II: treated with NaF containing agent. Group III: treated with ethanolic extract of propolis (EEP). All samples were examined with SEM to evaluate the effect of the tested agents on occlusion of dentinal tubules and analyzed using ImageJ Digital Analyzer. The statistical analysis was performed using the arithmetic mean, S.D., unpaired t test and ANOVA. **Results:** Discs treated with NaF demonstrated tubular occlusion with crystal like deposits on the surface. Discs treated with propolis formed homogenous resinous layer and showed interlocking within the tubules openings. Statistical results showed no significant difference between group II and group III, regarding the number of dentinal tubules and the area percentage of open tubules occupied in the total area. **Conclusion:** Propolis was as effective as NaF in occluding dentinal tubules.

## **Introduction and Review of Literature**

Dentin hypersensitivity (DH) is a significant global clinical oral health problem in the adult population. It is one of the most commonly encountered clinical conditions in the day to day dental practice. DH is defined as “short, sharp pain arising from exposed dentin in response to stimuli typically thermal, evaporative, tactile, osmotic or chemical, which cannot be ascribed to any other dental defect or pathology”. It is a symptom that arises from exposed dentin which causes pain that may disturb a person during eating, drinking, tooth brushing, and sometimes even breathing. It changes the quality of life of people, because of the pain experienced which causes severe and frequent discomfort ( *Addy, 1990; Miglani et al., 2010 and Naidu et al., 2014*).

Generally, a slightly higher prevalence of DH has been reported in women than in men and all ages can be affected. However, epidemiological data suggest that DH is more often diagnosed in individuals from 20 to 50 years of age, with the peak occurrence found at the end of the third decade. This observation may be attributable to the natural processes of aging. It was also reported that maxillary teeth seem to be more affected than the mandibular teeth (*Splieth & Tachou, 2013*).

In the literature, it is reported that DH prevalence ranges from 4 to 57%, depending on the population samples studied, the difference in the selection criteria used for each study and the diagnostic approaches. In patients affected by periodontitis, DH prevalence was even higher ranging between 60 to 98%. However, DH prevalence is likely to increase in next years since more adults keep their teeth into later life (*West et al., 2013b and Haneet & Vandana, 2016*).

### **Histology of dentin pulp complex**

Dentin is the most voluminous structural component of human tooth. It protects pulp tissue from microbial and other noxious stimuli. It also provides essential support to enamel and enables highly mineralized and fragile enamel to withstand occlusal and masticatory forces without fracturing. Furthermore, it is the first vital tissue to meet external irritation, and instead of being merely a passive mechanical barrier, dentin may in many ways participate in dentin–pulp complex defensive reactions (*Tjäderhane et al., 2012*).

Dentin tissue is formed of the odontoblasts and their odontoblastic processes which secrete dentin matrix building the dentinal tubules, the peritubular and the intertubular dentin. The functional cellular unit of the dentin complex is the odontoblast. This cell is responsible for the main dentinal functions: dentin formation; including protein secretion and mineral deposition, and with the dentinal fluid, it helps in sensation to painful stimuli. And more importantly,

dentin is capable of repair as odontoblasts can be stimulated to deposit more dentin as the occasion demands (*Garcés-Ortíz et al., 2013*).

Odontoblastic processes run in canaliculi that traverse the dentin layer, which are known as “Dentinal Tubules”. They are actually the extensions of odontoblasts. The presence of the tubules in dentin makes the tissue permeable, especially when the outer protective layer of enamel or cementum is removed. The odontoblastic processes are surrounded by dentinal fluid inside the tubules. Dentinal fluid forms around 22% of total volume of dentin (*Orchardson & Cadden, 2001*).

Dentinal tubules are tapered structures measuring approximately 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter near the pulp, 1.2  $\mu\text{m}$  in the mid portion of the dentin and 900 nm near the dentino-enamel junction. The number of dentinal tubules per  $\text{mm}^2$  varies markedly depending on the location within the dentin. The normal range in tubule density per  $\text{mm}^2$  is from about 5,000 to 90,000. However, the number of tubules in the coronal part of the dentin layer which is close to the pulp is 45,000-65,000/ $\text{mm}^2$ ; this number is higher if compared to the outer dentin areas (15,000–20,000/ $\text{mm}^2$ ) (*Harran Ponce et al., 2001 and Nanci & Cate, 2008*).

Due to the difference in the surface area of the dentin at the amelo- and cemento-dentinal junction and that at the predentin border in the fully formed and functional teeth, the tubules will be more densely packed on the pulpal aspect of the dentin than peripherally. The highest density of tubules is found at the pulpal aspect subjacent to occlusal fissures, incisal edges and cusp tips. The lowest density of tubules is

found peripherally and it does not vary much in the different parts of the tooth ( *Mjör & Nordahl, 1996*).

Pulp is integrally connected to dentin, i.e., physiologic and/or pathologic reactions in dentin will also affect the pulp. Innervation of the pulp and dentin is linked by the fluid and by its movement between the dentinal tubules and peripheral receptors, and thus to the sensory nerves of the pulp proper (*Pashley et al., 2002*).

### **Mechanism of dentin sensitivity**

Three theories have been proposed to explain the mechanism of action of dentin sensitivity: the transducer theory, the neural theory and the hydrodynamic theory. Of these theories, the hydrodynamic theory is currently believed to be the most responsible for the transmission of dentinal sensation (*Yadav et al., 2015*).

#### **1. Transducer theory**

This theory was suggested and termed as the “odontoblast transducer” mechanism. Based on that odontoblasts are embryologically of neural crest derived mesenchymal cells and can act as receptor cells mediating changes via synaptic junctions with nerves. This theory of dentinal sensation takes into consideration the “synaptic like” relationship between the odontoblastic processes. This could result in the sensation of pain from the nerve endings located in the pulpodentinal border (*West et al., 2013a*).