

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-Call 6000





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة يعيدا عن الغيار













بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



# The biological behaviour of bioactive glass and calcium phosphate bone graft materials in vivo

Ph.D Thesis submitted

To

Biophysics Department Faculty of Science Cairo University

By
Abeer Mahmoud Yousife El-Kady

### Supervision Committee

Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Awad Ali Gaafar

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdel-Rahman

**Professor of Molecular Biophysics** 

Professor of Glass Science and

**Faculty of Science** 

**Technology Glass Department** 

Cairo University

National Research Center

B

2005

11798

#### APPROVAL SHEET

## Title of the Ph.D Thesis

The biological behaviour of bioactive glass and calcium phosphate bone graft materials in vivo

Name of the Candidate

Abeer Mahmoud Yousife El-Kady

Supervision Committee

Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Awad Ali Gaafar

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdel-Rahman

**Professor of Molecular Biophysics** 

Professor of Glass Science and Technology

**Faculty of Science** 

Glass Department

**Cairo University** 

**National Research Center** 

Head of Biophysics Department

Prof. Dr. Wafaa Mohamed Khalil

Head of Biophysics Deparment

Faculty of Science

Cairo University

W.A. Khalil

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to *Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Awad Gafar*, Professor of Molecular Biophysics Faculty of Science, Cairo University, for his supervision. Assistance and encouragement.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to *Prof. Dr.*Ahmed Abdel-Rahman Ahmed, Professor of Glass Science and Technology Glass Department, National Research Center.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to *Prof. Dr.*Abdel-Raof Abaas, Professor of Glass Science and Technology

Glass Department, National Research Centre

I am indebted to *Dr. Ahmed El-Ghannam*, assistant Prof. of Tissue Engineering and Bioactive Materials, University of Kentucky, Researcher of chemistry and Biomaterials, Department of Glass, National Research Center, for proposing the point of research and his constant and close guidance and fruitful discussion throughout the course of this work.

### Table of contents

Introduction and aim of the work	
Review of literature	
1. Introduction	:
1.1.The past : removal of tissue	
1.2. The present: replacement of tissue	
1.3.The future :regeneration of tissue	I
2. Overview of bone grafting materials and bone grafting substitutes	
2.1.Bone grafting materials	
2.1.a. Autograft	
2.1.b. Allograft	
2.1.c. Xenograft	
2.2.Bone graft substitutes	
2.2.1. Metals	
2.2.2. Polymers	
2.2.3. Bioceramic	
2.2.3.a.Nearly inert bioceramic	
2.2.3.b.Resorbable bioceramic	
2.2.3.c.Bioactive bioceramic	
3. Bioactive glass	
3.1. Structure of bioactive glass	
3.2. Interfacial reaction kinetics	
3.3. Effect of bioactive glass composition on its bioactivity	
3.4. Bioactive sol-gel glasses	
3.5. Theory of bioactivity of bioactive glass	
3.5.1. Control of osteoblast cell cycle and genetic basis of bioactivity	
3.5.1.a. Osteoblast cell cycle	
3.5.1.b. Effect of bioactive glass on cell cycle	
3.5.1.c. Genetic basis of bioactivity	
3.5.2. Effect of external alkalization caused by bioactive glass on energy metabolism, intracellular ion concentrations and cell viability	
3.5.2.a. Cellular response to ionic changes produced by 45S5Bioglass®-	

3.5.3.Role of silicon in bioactivity of bioactive glass	
3.5.3.a.Potential metabolic function served by soluble silicon on bone	
cell function	
3.5.3.b.Role of silicon in calcium phosphate layer formation	
3.5.4.Role of released calcium from glass surface on bone cell function	
3.5.5.Protein adsorption and its effect on bioactive behavior of bioactive glass	
3.5.5.a.Effect of serum fibronectin on osteoblast adhesion to bioactive	
glass	
3.5.5.b.Synergism between the calcium-phosphate surface on bioactive glass and growth factors	
4. Silica-calcium phosphate composite	
Material and methods	
1.Material preparation	
1.1.Bioglass® preparation 1.2.Silica-calcium phosphate composite(SCPC)	
2.Material characterization	
2.1.X-ray diffraction analysis(XRD)	
2.2.Pore size and porosity	
3.Experimental design	
3.1.Surgical procedure	
4. Post operative evaluation	
4.1.Clinical examination	
4.2.Histological procedure	
4.3. Histomorphometrical evaluation	
4.4.Radiological analysis	
4.5. Analysis using scanning electron microscope/energy —dispersive X-ray analysis(SEM/EDXA)	
4.6.Statistical analysis	
Results	
1.Material characterization	
1 1 X-ray diffraction analysis(XRD)	

1.2.Pore size and porosity	48
2.Post operative evaluation	50
2.1.Clinical examination	50
2.2.Histological evaluation	50
2.3.Histomorphometric result	69
2.4. Scanning electron micrograph and EDX analysis	75
2.5.Radiolographic evaluation	117
Discussion	129
1.Structural analysis of composites	132
2.Effect of physicochemical properties of composites on bone formation	133
3.Effect of porosity of composites on bone formation	138
Summary and conclusions	141
References	146
Arabic cummary	160

## List of figures

Figure number	Page
Fig (1): time dependence (log scale) of the sequence of surface reaction stage (stage 1-5) and biological reaction stage (stage6-11) of a class-A bioactive glass (45S5 Bioglass®) in bone generation and repair	18
Fig(2): Schematic illustration of the surface stage reaction (1-5) on bioactive glass.	20
Fig(3): Compositional dependence (in wt%) of bone bonding and soft tissue bonding of bioactive glasses and glass-ceramics.	23
Fig (4): Schematic of cell cycle.	27
Fig 6 (a): Histological section of critical size bone defect created in rabbit femur and grafted with C1S3 particles three weeks post surgery.	55
Fig 6 (b): Magnified image for the area inside the box in Fig 6 (a).	55
Fig 6 (c): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in rabbit femur and grafted with C1S3 particles eight weeks post surgery.	56
Fig 6 (d): Magnified image for the area inside the white box in Fig 6 (c).	56
Fig 6 (e): Magnified image for the area inside the black box in Fig 6 (c).	57
Fig 6 (f): Magnified image for the area inside the blue box in Fig 6 (c).	57
Fig 6 (g): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in rabbit femur and grafted with C1S3 particles sixteen weeks post surgery.	58
Fig 6 (h): Magnified image for the area inside the box in Fig 6(g)	58

Fig7(a): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur and grafted with C1S1 particles three weeks post surgery.	59
Fig 7 (b): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur and grafted with C1S1 particles eight weeks post surgery.	59
Fig 7 (c): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur and grafted with C1S1 particles sixteen weeks post surgery.	60
Fig 7 (d): Magnified image for the area inside the box in Fig7 (c).	60
Fig 8 (a): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur and grafted with C3S1 particles three weeks post surgery.	61
Fig 8 (b): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur and grafted with C3S1particles eight weeks post surgery.	61
Fig 8 (c): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur and grafted with C3S1 sixteen weeks post surgery.	62
Fig 8 (d): Magnified image for the area inside the box in Fig8( c).	62
Fig 9 (a): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur and grafted with Bioglass® particles (BG) three weeks post surgery.	63
Fig 9 (b): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur and grafted with Bioglass® particles (BG) eight weeks post surgery.	63
Fig 9 (c): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur and grafted with Bioglass® particles (BG) sixteen weeks post surgery.	64
Fig 9 (d): Magnified image for the area inside the box in Fig 9 (c).	64
Fig 9 (e): Magnified image for the area inside the box in Fig 9 (d).	65

Fig 10 (a): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur of controlled group three weeks post surgery.	66
Fig 10 (b): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur of controlled group eight weeks post surgery.	66
Fig10 (c): Histological section of a critical size bone defect created in the rabbit femur of controlled group sixteen weeks post surgery.	67
Fig (11): Overview of histological section of a critical bone defect in femurs of rabbits grafted with C1S,1 C3S1, C1S3 and Bioglass® at three, eight and sixteen week post surgery	68
Fig (12): Histomorphometric analysis of the percent bone and graft material within the defects created in the femurs of the rabbits three weeks postsurgery.	71
Fig (13): Histomorphometric analysis of the percent bone and grafting material within the defects created in the femurs of the rabbits eight weeks postsurgery.	72
Fig (14): Histomorphometric analysis of the percent bone and grafting material within the defects created in the femurs of the rabbits sixteen weeks postsurgery.	73
Fig (15): Histomorphometric analysis of the percent bone and grafting material within the defects created in the femurs of the rabbits at all time point.	74
Fig16 (a): Back scattered electron micrograph of Bioglass® particle (BG) surrounded by new bone (nb) eight weeks post surgery.	80
Fig16(b): EDXA spectrum, corresponding to the central white (unreacted glass) observed in Fig16 (a) at no. 1.	81
Fig16 (c):EDXA spectrum, corresponding to the silica rich layer (gray appearance) observed in Fig 16 (a) at no. 2.	82

Fig16(d): EDXA spectrum, corresponding to calcium phosphate rich layer (outer white layer), observed in Fig16 (a) at no. 3.	83
Fig16 (e): EDXA spectrum, corresponding to bone tissue surrounding Bioglass® particle, observed in Fig16 (a) at no. 4	84
Fig16(f); EDXA spectrum of Bioglass® particle before implantation.	85
Fig16 (g):Comparison between EDXA spectrum of:  1) Bioglass® before implantation.  2) Bioglass® after implantation.	86
Fig17 (a): Back scattered electron micrograph of another Bioglass® particle (BG) surrounded by new bone eight weeks post surgery.	87
Fig17 (b): EDXA spectrum, corresponding to the silica rich core observed in Fig17 (a) at no. 1.	88
Fig17 (c):EDXA spectrum, corresponding to the outer white layer observed in Fig 17 (a) at no. 2.	89
Fig17 (d):EDXA spectrum, corresponding to bone tissue surrounding Bioglass® particle, observed in Fig17 (a) at no. 3.	90
Fig 18(a): Back scattered electron micrograph of Bioglass® particle (BG) surrounded by bone sixteen weeks post surgery.	91
Fig 18(b): A magnified image for Fig 18 (a).	91
Fig 18(c):EDXA spectrum, corresponding to bone tissue, which is formed in the core of Bioglass® particle as, observed in Fig18 (b) at no. 1.	92
Fig 18 (d): EDXA spectrum, corresponding to calcium-phosphate rich layer observed in Fig 18 (b) at no. 2.	93