

NEW TREATMENT FOR INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER OF BATTERIES INDUSTRY

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering Ain Shames University for the Fulfillment of the Requirement of M.Sc. Degree In Civil Engineering

Prepared by

ENG. MAHMOUD SHAABAN IBRAHIM FARAG ALLAH

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, july 2014
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University, Cairo, EGYPT

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. MOHAMED EL HOSSEINY EL NADI,

Professor of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, EGYPT

Prof. Dr. GHADA MOHAMED BASSIONI,

Professor of Chemistry
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, EGYPT

Dr. MOHAMED SOBHY ABDEL RAHMAN.

Assistant professor of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, EGYPT



NEW TREATMENT FOR INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER OF BATTERIES INDUSTRY

A Thesis For

The M.Sc. Degree in Civil Engineering (SANITARY & ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING)

by

ENG. MAHMOUD SHAABAN IBRAHIM FARAG ALLAH

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, july 2014 Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams Univeristy – Cairo, EGYPT

THESIS APPROVAL

Prof. Dr. Hesham Sayed Abd ElHalim Professor of Sanitary Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Mohammed Abd ElAzim Professor of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University Prof. Dr. Mohamed El Hosseiny El Nadi Professor of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Date: - ---/2019

Dedication

This thesis is lovingly dedicated to all the close, special and beautiful people in my life.

A special dedication to

THE MEMORY OF MY LATE FATHER

and to

MY SUPPORTIVE MOTHER

and to
My wonderful
Family

and finally special dedication to

MY LOVELY FLANCÉE

For encouraging me to complete this work and for always being there for me.

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering for the degree of M.Sc. in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the department of Public Works, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, from August 2016 to October 2018.

No part of the thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

The candidate confirms that the work submitted is his own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

Date: - ---/-- /201

Signature: - -----

Name: - MAHMOUD SHAABAN IBRAHIM FARAG ALLAH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First, thanks are all direct to Allah, for blessing this work until it has reached its end, as a part of generous help throughout my life.

It is with immense gratitude that I acknowledge the support and help of **Professor Dr. Mohamed El Hossieny El Nadi**, Professor of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, this thesis wouldn't have been possible unless his great efforts, meticulous revision, scientific guidance and tremendous support.

I am profoundly grateful to **Professor Dr. GHADA MOHAMED BASSIONI**, Professor of Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for her close and kind supervision, constructive criticism, true encouragement and keen interest in the progress and accomplishment of this work. I am thankful for all the time and effort she gave me.

I would like to thank **Dr. MOHAMED SOBHY ABDEL RAHMAN**, Assistant Professor of Sanitary
Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University,
for his sincere help and guidance, true encouragement and
keen interest in the progress and accomplishment of this work.
I am thankful for all the time and effort he gave me

sincere thanks to the staff and personnel of Sanitary Engineering Section, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University for facilities, encouragement and cooperation during the preparation of this study

Last but not least, sincere thanks to the staff and personnel of Chemistry Section, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, specially Teacher Assistant / Ahmed Abd Elhamid Maamoun, for facilities encouragement and cooperation during the preparation of this study

ABSTRACT

Name: MAHMOUD SHAABAN IBRAHIM FARAG ALLAH Title: "NEW TREATMENT FOR INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER OF BATTERIES INDUSTRY"

Faculty: Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

Specialty: Civil Eng., Public Works, Sanitary & Environmental Eng. **Abstract:**-

The environmental pollutions which produced from the rapid industrialization become a serious issue, and therefore efficient methods are needed for the different industries. The Heavy metals have stated as urgent pollutants, because of their movement into water ecosystems and because of their toxicity. Many ways managed to remove the heavy metals but with a high cost and therefore there is a need to use a low cost materials and methods for the removal process.

This thesis has been carried out to study the adsorption method using a low cost materials (clay, output trimming tree (ficus)) to remove the heavy metal (lead) from the industrial wastewater and comparing them to a high cost material (activated Carbon).

The study shows that the removal ratio for each material were 94.66 % with activated carbon, 93.45 % with ficuss trees trimming output and 92.25 % with clay.

The study shows that the using of low cost material as clay and agricultural waste as ficus are possible as adsorbent filtration media and they achieve a removal ratio near to the a high cost material as activated carbon which save a lot of cost for industrial wastewater treatment and encourage the factories to use them

The study shows that the removal ratio increases as the media depth increases and as the rate of filtration decreases with lead removal ratio up more to 93 % & 92 % for ficus and clay respectively using the materials as Adsorbent filtration media which their cost not high and don't need a pretreatment before using for the heavy metal removal from the industrial wastewater.

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Mohamed El Hosseiny El Nadi,

Prof. Dr. Ghada Mohamed Bassioni,

Dr. Mohamed Sobhy Abdel Rahman.

KEY WORDS

Wastewater Treatment, Heavy Metals Removal, Absorption, Application of Agricultural wastes, Clay, lead (II).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER		Page
APPROVAL COMMITTEE		ii
DEDICATION		iii
STATEMENT		iv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		v
ABSTRACT		vi
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST O	F FIGURES	X
LIST O	F TABLES	xii
CHAP	TER I : INTRODUCTION	
1.1.	BACKGROUND & PROBLEM DEFINITION	1
1.2.	STUDY OBJECTIVES	2
1.3.	SCOPE OF WORK	2
1.3.1.	THEORETICAL PART	2
1.3.2.	EXPERIMINTAL PART	2
1.4.	THESIS ORGANIZATION	3
CHAP 1	TER II : LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1.	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	5
2.1.1.	ANTHROPOGENIC SOURCES OF HEAVY METAL	7
THE E	NVIRONMENT	
2.2.	BATTERIES INDUSTRY	7
2.2.1.	COMPONENTS OF CELLS AND BATTERIES	8
2.2.2.	CLASSIFICATION OF CELLS & BATTERIES	9
2.2.2.1.	Primary Cells Or Batteries	9
2.2.2.2.	Secondary Or Rechargeable Cells OR Batteries	10
2.2.3.	CHARACTERISTICS OF BATTERIES INDUSTRIAL	12
WASTI	EWATER	
	WASTEWATER TREATMENT METHODS	12
2.3.1.	PHYSICAL TREATMENT METHODS	12
2.3.1.1	Racks And Screens	12
2.3.1.2	Plain Sedimentation	13
2.3.1.3.	Flotation	14
	Adsorption	15
	Filtration Using Granular Media	15
	Membrane Filtration	16
2.3.2.	CHEMICAL TREATMENT METHODS	16
2.3.2.1.	Chemical Precipitation	17
	Ion Exchange	17
2.3.2.3.	Coagulation And Flocculation	18

	Chemical Oxidation	18
	BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT METHODS	19
	Aerobic Technologies	20
	Anaerobic Technologies	26
2.4.	FACTORS AFFECTING TREATMENT DEGREE	28
2.5.	EGYPTIAN APPLICATION	29
	ER III: MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1.	STUDY LOCATION	30
3.2.	APPLIED MATERIALS	30
3.3.		32
3.4.		32
3.4.1	PILOT DESIGN	32
3.4.2	OPERATION PROGRAM	34
3.4.2.1	First Run (Effective Depth)	36
3.4.2.2	Second Run (Optimum Hydraulic Load)	36
3.4.2.3.	Comparison	36
3.5.	MEASUREMENTS	36
3.5.1	HEAVY METALS	36
3.5.2	PH VALUE	37
3.6.	SAMPLING PROCEDURE	37
	ER IV: RESULTS	
4.1.		39
4.2.	, ,	39
4.2.1.	,	39
4.2.2.	RESULTS OF RUN II (OPTIMUM FILTRATION	41
RATE)		71
4.3.	STAGE II RESULTS (FICUS TREES TRIMMING	42
OUTPU	T)	42
4.3.1.	RESULTS OF RUN I (MEDIA EFFECTIVE DEPTH)	42
4.3.2.	RESULTS OF RUN II (OPTIMUM FILTRATION	44
RATE)		44
4.4.	STAGE III RESULTS (ACTIVATED CARBON)	45
4.4.1.	RESULTS OF RUN I (MEDIA EFFECTIVE DEPTH)	45
4.4.2.	RESULTS OF RUN II (OPTIMUM FILTRATION	47
RATE)		47
_	ER V: DISCUSSION	
5.1.	STUDY OVERVIEW	49
5.2.	STAGE I RESULTS DISCUSSIONS (CALY)	49
5.2.1.	DISCUSSION OF STAGE I RUN I RESULTS	49

(MEDIA EFFECTIVE DEPTH OF CLAY)	
5.2.2. DISCUSSION OF STAGE I RUN II RESULTS	51
(OPTIMUM FILTRATION RATE OF CLAY)	31
5.3. STAGE II RESULTS DISCUSSIONS (FICUS TREES	52
TRIMMING OUTPUT)	32
5.3.1. DISCUSSION OF STAGE II RUN I RESULTS	
(MEDIA EFFECTIVE DEPTH OF FICUS TREES TRIMMING	53
OUTPUT)	
5.3.2. DISCUSSION OF STAGE II RUN II RESULTS	
(OPTIMUM FILTRATION RATE OF FICUS TREES	54
TRIMMING OUTPUT)	
5.4. STAGE III RESULTS DISCUSSIONS (ACTIVATED	56
CARBON)	50
5.4.1. DISCUSSION OF STAGE III RUN I RESULTS	56
(MEDIA EFFECTIVE DEPTH OF ACTIVATED CARBON)	30
5.4.2. DISCUSSION OF STAGE III RUN II RESULTS	57
(OPTIMUM FILTRATION RATE OF ACTIVATED CARBON)	31
5.5. COMPARING THE USED MATERIALS RESULTS	59
DISCUSSIONS	5)
CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSION	
6.1. OVERVIEW	61
6.2. CONCLUSION	62
6.3. RECOMMENDATIONS	62
6.4. FURTHER WORK	63
	64
REFERENCESES	٠.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
CHAPTER II:	: LITERATURE REVIEW	
Figure (2/1):	Water intensive industries most critical for water recycling and reuse	5
Figure (2/2):	Bar rack with automatic moving mechanical cleaning system.	13
Figure (2/3):	Multimedia deep bed granular	16
Figure (2/4):	Biological methods of wastewater treatment	20
Figure (2/5):	Basic components of an activated sludge system	22
Figure (2/6):	Characteristics of attached growth slime layer	26
CHAPTER III:	MATERIALS AND METHODS	
Figure (3/1):	Aswan Clay	30
Figure (3/2):	Ficus	31
Figure (3/3):	activated carbon	31
Figure (3/4):	schematic of pilot used in the study	33
Figure (3/5):	Pilot used in the research	34
Figure (3/6):	Description of the runs on each stage	35
Figure (3/7):	C109 – Spectrophotometer instrument	36
Figure (3/8):	pH meter	37
CHAPTER VI:	RESULTS	
Figure (4/1):	Effluent lead concentration versus Time in Run I of stage I	40
Figure (4/2):	Effluent lead concentration versus Time in Run II of stage I	42
Figure (4/3):	Effluent lead concentration versus Time in Run I of stage II	43
Figure (4/4):	Effluent lead concentration versus Time in Run II of stage II	45
Figure (4/5):	Effluent lead concentration versus Time in Run I of stage III	46
Figure (4/6):	Effluent lead concentration versus Time in Run II of stage III	48
CHAPTER V:	DISCUSSION	
Figure (5/1):	removal efficiency with different depths of Clay at run I	50
Figure (5/2):	removal efficiency with different filtration rate for Clay at	52

	run II	
Figure (5/3):	removal efficiency with different depths of ficus trees trimming output at run I	54
Figure (5/4):	removal efficiency with different filtration rate for ficus trees trimming output at run II.	55
Figure (5/5):	removal efficiency with different depths of activated carbon at run I	57
Figure (5/6):	removal efficiency with different filtration rate for activated carbon at run II	58
Figure (5/7):	comparing removal efficiency of the used materials.	60

<u>LIST OF TABLES</u>

Table		Page
CHAPTER II	: LITERATURE REVIEW	
Table (2/1):	Major Polluting Industries and Nature of Pollutants	6
Table(2/2):	Main components of the cell	8
Table (2/3):	Major Characteristics and Applications of Primary Batteries	9
Table (2/4):	Major Characteristics and Applications of Secondary Batteries	10
Table (2/5):	Main parts and process description of the activated sludge system	14
Table (2/6):	Granular filters process description, different types and operation characteristics	15
Table (2/7):	Chemical treatment methods advantages & types	16
Table (2/8):	Main parts and process description of the activated sludge system	21
Table (2/9):	Different process of activated sludge	23
Table (2/10):	characteristics and types of the aerated lagoons	24
Table (2/11):	Different types of the aerated lagoons	24
Table (2/12):	Different Anaerobic Suspended growth wastewater treatment processes	27
Table (2/13):	Different types of activated sludge process	28
CHAPTER II	I: MATERIALS AND METHODS	
Table (3/1):	Samples Taken from synthetic water contains lead only	38
CHAPTER IV	7: RESULTS	
Table (4/1):	Results of Run I in stage I (Media Effective Depth)	40
Table (4/2):	Results of Run II in stage I (Optimum Filtration Rate)	41
Table (4/3):	Results of Run I in stage II (Media Effective Depth)	43
Table (4/4):	Results of Run II in stage II (Optimum Filtration Rate)	44
Table (4/5):	Results of Run I in stage III (Media Effective Depth)	46
Table (4/6):	Results of Run II in stage III (Optimum Filtration Rate)	47
CHAPTER V	: DISCUSSION	
Table (5/1):	Removal efficiency for different depths of Clay Run I	50
Table (5/2):	Removal efficiency of different rate of flow for clay	51
Table (5/3):	Removal efficiency for different depths of ficus trees trimming output Run I	53
Table (5/4):	Removal efficiency of different rate of flow for ficus trees	55

	trimming output	
Table (5/5):	Removal efficiency for different depths of activated	56
1 able (3/3).	carbon Run I	30
Table (5/6):	Removal efficiency of different rate of flow for activated	58
1 able (3/0).	carbon	30
Table (5/7).	comparing the Removal efficiency of the different used	59
Table (5/7):	materials	39

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND & PROBLEM DEFINITION

The environmental pollutions which produced from the rapid industrialization become a serious issue, and therefore efficient methods are needed for the different industries. The Heavy metals have stated as urgent pollutants, because of their movement into water ecosystems and because of their toxicity.

The presented heavy metals in the industrial effluent wastewater is a major environmental pollution concern. Generally the counted heavy-metals which their density go over five (grams / cm³)[1]. The majority of constituents which are in that classification able to dissolve in water, recognized as toxic as well as carcinogenic constituents. The next constituents are counted heavy-metals: Silver, Copper, Cadmium, Zinc, Gold, Lead, Mercury, Chromium, Tin, Iron, Selenium, Nickel, Arsenic, Manganese, Molybdenum, Cobalt, and Aluminum[1].

Heavy metals are not biodegradable and their existence at streams directs to bioaccumulation in living organisms producing health difficulties in animals, plants, and humans. They could be absorbed as well as stored into the person body causing severe well-being consequences such as cancer, damaged nervous system, organ damage, in addition to acute situations, loss of life. It additionally decreases development in addition to growth[1].

Since even heavy metals with little quantity considered extremely toxic, the elimination of these constituents from waste water come to be the considerable interest matter as a result due to firm regulations. Wastewater rules were founded for lessening human as well as environmental contact to the risky chemicals. These involve boundaries upon the heavy metals kinds as well as concentrations which may be existing in the discharged wastewater[1]. The mix between the toxic wastewater streams and other wastewater streams should never be allowed or then the whole mixture is treated as toxic, that then the burden on the treatment effluent would be increased extremely[2].

1.2 STUDY OBJECTIVE

Heavy metals present with high concentration in the industrial effluent wastewater and these metals have sever effects on the environment and to the human health if they disposed directly to the environment. The heavy metals removal cost from the wastewater is high and therefore this study main purpose is to check the best treatment for removing lead from the wastewater by adsorption with filtration using low cost materials which are easily available.

1.3 SCOPE OF WORK

The work consisted from two main parts, the theoretical work and the experimental work. Each part contains the following:

1.3.1 THEORETICAL PART

The theoretical part included the following:

- 1- The literature review that covers the following:
 - a. Literature review about batteries industry.
 - b. Batteries industry wastewater characteristics.
 - c. Different stages & methods of wastewater treatment.
 - d. Literature review about heavy metals.
 - e. Different methods in removing heavy metals.
- 2- The design of the pilot & experimental program
- 3- The results analysis & discussions.
- 4- The thesis writing.

1.3.2 EXPERIMINTAL PART

The experimental part included the pilot description, its program of operation and the laboratory sampling and analysis during each run.