



APPLICATION OF DYNAMIC UP – FLOW SAND FILTER IN WATER SLUDGE TREATMENT

A Thesis For

Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering Ain Sham University for the
Fulfillment of the Requirement of M.Sc. Degree
In Civil Engineering

Prepared by

ENG. MOHAMED KHAIRY MOHAMED IBRAHIM

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, May 2014
High Institute of Engineering, ElShorouk Academy

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. MAHMOUD MOHAMED ABD ELAZEEM

Professor of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Dr. OLFAT HAMDY IBRAHIM ALI

Associate Professor of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering
High Institute of Engineering, ElShorouk Academy, Cairo, Egypt

Dr. HOSSAM MOSTAFA HUSSAIN

Lecturer of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

2019



APPLICATION OF DYNAMIC UP – FLOW SAND FILTER IN WATER SLUDGE TREATMENT

A Thesis For
The M.Sc. Degree in Civil Engineering
(SANITARY & ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING)

by
ENG. MOHAMED KHAIRY MOHAMED IBRAHIM

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, May 2014
High Institute of Engineering, ElShorouk Academy-Cairo, EGYPT

THESIS APPROVAL

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

SIGNATURE

Prof.Dr. Mohamed ElHosseiny ElNadi

Professor of Sanitary engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof.Dr. Mohamed Elsayd Ali Bassiony

Professor of Sanitary engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Banha University

Prof.Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Abd ELazeem

Professor of Sanitary engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Dr.Olfat Hamdy Ibrahim Ali

Associate Professor of Sanitary engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Elshourok Academy

Date: - ---/--/2019

Dedication

*This thesis is lovingly dedicated to all the close,
special and beautiful people in my life.*

A special dedication to

my Supportive Father

and to

my Mother

and to

**my wonderful
Little Sister & Brother**

and finally

special dedication to

MY COUSIN

*for encouraging me to complete this work and for
always being there for me.*

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering for the degree of M.Sc. in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the department of Public Works, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, from October 2017 to November 2018.

No part of the thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

The candidate confirms that the work submitted is his own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

Date: - ---/-- /2018

Signature: - -----

Name: - *MOHAMED KHAIRY MOHAMED IBRAHIM*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First, thanks are all direct to Allah, for blessing this work until it has reached its end, as a part of generous help throughout my life.

*It is with immense gratitude that I acknowledge the support and help of **Professor Dr. MAHMOUD MOHAMED ABDELAZIM**, Professor of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, this thesis wouldn't have been possible unless his kind efforts, revision and scientific guidance.*

*I am profoundly grateful to **Professor Dr. OLFAT HAMDY IBRAHIM**, Associate Professor of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering, The High Institute of Engineering, EL Shorouk Academy for her great efforts and kind supervision, constructive criticism, true encouragement and keen interest in the progress and accomplishment of this work. I am thankful for all the time and effort she gave me.*

*I would like to thank **Dr. HOSSAM MOSTAFA HUSSAIN**, Assistant Professor of Sanitary Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his sincere help and guidance, true encouragement and keen interest in the progress and accomplishment of this work. I am thankful for all the time and effort he gave me.*

Sincere thanks to the Officers and staff of Sohag Security Directorate and General Administration of Engineering in Ministry of Interior for facilities, encouragement and cooperation during the preparation of this study

*Last but not least, sincere thanks to the staff and personnel of Chemistry Department, in Holding Company of Water and Wastewater HCWW, **specialy Dr. Ahmed Gamal Yehia** , for facilities encouragement and cooperation during thesis experimental preparation of this study.*

ABSTRACT

Name : MOHAMED KHAIRY MOHAMED IBRAHIM

Title: “APPLICATION OF USING DYNAMIC UP-FLOW SAND FILTER IN WATER SLUDGE TREATMENT”

Faculty: Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

Specialty: Civil Eng., Public Works, Sanitary & Environmental Eng.

Abstract:-

In Egypt, Surface water treatment plants produce more than 100 million tons of sludge per year. Disposal of these massive quantities of sludge are discharged into natural water bodies. This study assessed the possibility to use the dynamic up flow sand filtration system (Dyna Sand) as a new technique successfully applied in different countries for water and wastewater from different sources in water sludge treatment. The current study was held to evaluate and examine the efficiency of using dynamic up flow sand filter to treat water works sludge that are produced from the surface water purification in conventional water treatment plants WTPs at different operation conditions.

The study was carried out to examine the performance of the existing conventional system in handling WTS. The samples were collected from the sludge tanks in the plant. Moreover, samples were taken after the Dyna Sand to examine and prove the success of the filter. The parameters measured to assess the filter were Turbidity, BOD, Residual Aluminum, Algae, Total Bacterial Count and Total Suspended Solids. The filter showed high removal efficiency in eliminating these parameters. The treatment plant effluent resulted highly rates of impurities.

The study shows that the removal ratio for each material as follow:

- The removal efficiency of turbidity reached 94 %,
- Total Suspended Solids 90 %.
- Algae the removal efficiency was found to be 97%
- Bio chemical Oxygen Demand it was 84%.

After Filtration by dynamic up flow sand filter most of these impurities were removed, thus the effluent is complying with the ministry of health requirements for raw drinking water.

SUPERVISORS

Prof.Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Abdel-Azim,

Ass.Prof. Olfat Hamdy Ibrahim,

Dr. Hossam Mostafa Hussain.

KEY WORDS

Water Sludge treatment (WTS), Dynamic up flow sand filter, Waterworks Sludge, Wastewater, Bio Chemical Oxygen Demand, Residual Aluminum.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER APPROVAL COMMITTEE	
DEDICATION	
STATEMENT	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	
ABSTRACT	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	

CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

<i>1.1. GENERAL</i>
<i>1.2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION</i>
<i>1.3. OBJECTIVES OF CURRENT RESEARCH</i>
<i>1.4. SCOPE OF WORK</i>
<i>1.4.1 EXPERIMENTAL WORK</i>	
<i>1.4.2 ANALYTICAL WORK</i>	
<i>1.5. THESIS ORGANIZATION</i>

CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW

<i>2.1. INTRODUCTION</i>
<i>2.2. WATER FILTRATION</i>	
<i>2.2.1 DEFINITION</i>	
<i>2.2.2 PURPOSE</i>	
<i>2.2.3 THEORY</i>	
<i>2.2.4 MECHANISM OF FILTRATION</i>	
<i>2.2.4.1 MECHANICAL STRAINING</i>	
<i>2.2.4.2 SEDIMENTATION ACTION</i>	
<i>2.2.4.3 ADSORPTION ACTION</i>	
<i>2.2.4.4 ELECTROLYTIC ACTION</i>	
<i>2.2.4.5 BIOLOGICAL ACTION</i>	
<i>2.2.5 CLASSIFICATION OF FILTRATION</i>	
<i>2.2.6 TYPES OF FILTERS</i>	
<i>2.2.6.1 SAND FILTERS</i>	
<i>a. Slow Sand Filters</i>	
<i>b. Rapid Sand Filter</i>	
<i>c. Pressure filters</i>	
<i>2.2.6.2 STEP FILTRATION</i>	
<i>2.2.6.3 UNDER GRAVEL FILTER</i>	
<i>2.2.6.4 ACTIVATED CARBON FILTER</i>	
<i>2.2.6.5 DIRECT FILTRATION SYSTEM</i>	
<i>2.2.6.7 UPFLOW FILTERS</i>	
<i>2.2.6.8 BIFLOW FILTERS</i>	
<i>2.2.6.9 DEEP BED FILTERS</i>	
<i>2.2.7 FACTORS AFFECT FILTRATION EFFICIENCY</i>	

- 2.2.7.1 DEPTH OF FILTER MEDIA
- 2.2.7.2 THE GRAIN SIZE OF THE SAND
- 2.2.7.3 RATE OF FILTRATION
- 2.2.7.4 DEPTH OF WATER OVER FILTER MEDIA
- 2.2.7.5 THE MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE HEAD LOSS OF THE FILTER
- 2.2.7.6 THE CHARACTERISTICS OF WATER TO BE FILTRATED
- 2.3. SLUDGE TREATMENT
 - 2.3.1 DEFINITION
 - 2.3.2 CHARACTERISTICS OF WATER TREATMENT SLUDGE
 - 2.3.2.1 ORIGIN OF SLUDGE
 - 2.3.2.2 TYPES OF SLUDGE
 - 2.3.2.2.1.A PRIMARY SLUDGE
 - 2.3.2.2.2.B BIOLOGICAL SLUDGE
 - 2.3.2.2.2.C MIXED SLUDGE
 - 2.3.2.2.2.D PHYSICO-CHEMICAL SLUDGE
 - 2.3.2.3 MASS AND VOLUME RELATIONSHIPS
 - 2.3.2.4 VOLUME OF SLUDGE
 - 2.3.2.5 FUNDAMENTAL RELATIONSHIPS IN SLUDGE
 - 2.3.2.6 SLUDGE VOLUME INDEX (SVI)
 - 2.3.2.6.1 SVI TEST PROCEDURES
 - 2.3.3 SOLIDS/WATER SEPARATION PROCESSES
 - 2.3.4 SLUDGE CONDITIONING
 - 2.3.4.1 FACTORS AFFECTING CONDITIONING
 - 2.3.5 OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY IN SLUDGE
 - 2.3.5.1 METHODS
 - 2.3.6 SLUDGE THICKENING
 - 2.3.6.1 THICKENING THEORY
 - 2.3.6.2 THICKENING TECHNOLOGY
 - 2.3.6.3 GRAVITY THICKENING
 - 2.3.6.4 FLOTATION THICKENING
 - 2.3.7 SLUDGE DEWATERING
 - 2.3.7.1 DEWATERING METHODS
 - 2.3.7.1.1 SLUDGE DRYING BEDS
 - 2.3.7.1.2 SLUDGE DRYING LAGOONS
 - 2.3.7.1.3 CENTERFIGUES
 - 2.3.7.1.4 VACUUM FILTER
 - 2.3.7.1.5 FILTER PRESS
 - 2.3.7.1.6 BELT PRESS
 - 2.3.8 APPLICATIONS OF WATER TREATMENT SLUDGE IN EGYPT
 - 2.3.9 DYNASAND FILTRATION
 - A. PROCESS DESCRIPTION
 - B. THE PREVIOUS STUDIES

CHAPTER III: MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 GENERAL

3.2 PILOT DESCRIPTION

3.3 UNIT DESIGN CRITERIA

3.3.1 SURFACE LOADING RATE

3.4 UNIT ERECTION

3.4.1 UNIT DESCRIPTION

3.4.2 STEPS OF UNIT INSTALLATION

3.5 SYSTEM OPERATION

3.6 STUDY PROGRAM

3.6.1 OPERATIONAL PROGRAM

3.6.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

3.7 SAMPLES

3.7.1 WATER SOURCE

3.7.2 LOCATIONS OF SAMPLES

3.7.2.1 RAW WATER

3.7.2.2 DYNASAND INFLUENT

3.7.2.3 DYNASAND EFFLUENT

3.7.2.4 FOSTAT EFFLUENT

3.7.3 FREQUENCY

3.7.4 MEASURING PARAMETERS

3.7.4.1 TURBIDITY

3.7.4.2 pH

3.7.4.3 RATE OF FILTRATION

3.7.4.4 AIR SUPPLY

3.7.4.5 ALGAE ACCOUNT

CHAPTER IV: RESULTS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

4.2 RAW WATER ANALYSIS

4.3 DYNASAND EFFLUENT ANALYSIS

CHAPTER V: DISCUSSION

5.1 INTRODUCTION

5.2 OPTIMIZATION OF DESIGN PARAMETERS

5.2.1 RATE OF FILTRATION OPTIMIZATION

5.2.2 AIR FLOW OPTIMIZATION

5.3 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

5.3.1 TURBIDITY REMOVAL

5.3.2 pH-VALUE

5.3.3 ALGAE REMOVAL

5.3.4 BIOLOGICAL OXYGEN DEMAND

5.3.5 REMOVAL OF TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS

5.3.6 REMOVAL OF BACTERIA

5.3.7 RESIDUAL ALUMINUM

5.4 SYSTEM EVALUATION

5.4.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

5.4.2 ECONOMICAL EVALUATION

CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSION

6.1. CONCLUSIONS

6.2. RECOMMENDATIONS

6.3. SUGGESTED FURTHER RESEARCH

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW

Figure (2/1) Theory of Filtration

Figure (2/2) Under Gravel Filter

Figure (2/3) Up flow Filter with Restraining Grid

Figure (2/4) Section through a Dual Media Biflow Filter

Figure (2/5) Sludge solids distribution according to size and organic fraction

Figure (2/6) Distribution of particles size in the commonest materials

Figure (2/7) Sludge volume reduction by thickening

Figure (2/8) Continuous gravitational thickening process

Figure (2/9) Development of the relation between solids concentration and solids flux.

Figure (2/10) Typical total flux curve

Figure (2/11) Schematic cross section of a gravity thickener

Figure (2/12) Schematic diagram of a dissolved-air flotation system

Figure (2/13) Diagram of a sludge drying bed

Figure (2/14) Sludge drying beds

Figure (2/15) Schematics of a sludge drying lagoon

Figure (2/16) Typical set up of a decanter-type centrifuge

Figure (2/17) Typical set up of a vacuum filter system for sludge dewatering

Figure (2/18) Typical set up of a filter press for sludge dewatering

Figure (2/19) Typical set up of a belt press system for sludge dewatering

Figure (2/20) Typical Dynasand Filter

CHAPTER III: MATERIALS AND METHODS

Figure (3/1) Pilot Unit

Figure (3/2) Inlet Pipe to Dynasand System

Figure (3/3) Dynasand Filter

Figure (3/4) Details of Dynamic Up Flow Sand Filter

Figure (3/5) The Sand Washer

Figure (3/ 6) Flow diagram pilot system

Figure (3/7) Big Impurities pass to filter during Operation

Figure (3/ 8) Sample Vessel

Figure (3/ 9) Digital Flow Meter

Figure (3/ 10) Air Regulator

Figure (3/11) Fostat Laboratory

Figure (3/12) Spectrophotometer

Figure (3/13) pH Meter

Figure (3/14) Incubator

CHAPTER IV: RESULTS

Figure (4/1) Turbidity Analysis for Raw Water

Figure (4/2) pH Analysis for Raw Water

Figure (4/3) Total Suspended Solids Analysis for Raw Water

Figure (4/4) Algae Analysis for Raw Water

Figure (4/5) BOD Analysis for Raw Water

Figure (4/6) Bacteria Analysis for Raw Water

Figure (4/7) Residual Aluminum Analysis for Raw Water

Figure (4/8) Turbidity Analysis for Dynasand Effluent

Figure (4/9) pH Analysis for Dynasand Effluent

Figure (4/10) Total Suspended Solids Analysis for Dynasand Effluent

Figure (4/11) Algae Analysis for Dynasand Effluent

Figure (4/12) BOD Analysis for Dynasand Effluent

Figure (4/13) Bacteria Analysis for Dynasand Effluent

Figure (4/14) Residual Aluminum Solids Analysis for Dynasand Effluent

CHAPTER V: DISCUSSION

Figure (5/1) Optimum Rate of Filtration (ROF)

Figure (5/2) Optimum Air Flow Rate

Figure (5/3) Turbidity Results Conclusion

Figure (5/4) pH Results Conclusion

Figure (5/5) Algae Count Concentration Results

Figure (5/6) BOD Concentration Results

Figure (5/7) Total Suspended Solids Results

Figure (5/8) Total Bacterial Count Results

Figure (5/9) Residual Aluminum Results

Figure (5/10) Flow Line Diagram

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW

Table (2/1) Density and volume of sludges

Table (2/2) Treatment and disposal options for wastewater sludges

Table (2/3) Typical design solids rates for gravity thickeners Type of sludge Solids flux range

Table (2/4) Solids concentration of gravity-thickened sludges Type of sludge Thickened sludge solids concentration

Table (2/5) design criteria for gravity thickeners

Table (2/6) Advantages and disadvantages of sludge drying beds

Table (2/7) Advantages and disadvantages of sludge lagoons

Table (2/8) Advantages and disadvantages of horizontal shaft centrifuges

CHAPTER III: MATERIALS AND METHODS

Table (3/1) Typical Dimensions for Different Types of Dynasand Filters

Table (3/2) Specification of the Pilot Unit

Table (3/3) Sludge Accumulation Capacity Varies the SS Type

Table (3/4) Maximum Allowable SLR Varies Type of Particles in Raw Water

Table (3/5) Fixed Items of Dynasand System during the Study Period

CHAPTER IV: RESULTS

Table (4/1) Results of Raw Water Analysis

Table (4/2) The Results of Dynasand Effluent Analysis

CHAPTER V: DISCUSSION

Table (5/1) Turbidity Conclusion

Table (5/2) pH Conclusion

Table (5/3) Algae Account Conclusion

Table (5/4) BOD Results Conclusion

Table (5/5) Total Suspended Solids Conclusion

Table (5/6) Bacteria Conclusion

Table (5/7) Residual Aluminum Conclusion

Table (5/8) Comparison between Dynasand treated effluent & Clarifier effluent in Fostat

Treatment Plant

Table (5/9) Economical Evaluation Comparison

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1. GENERAL

Nowadays, Egypt development are growing quickly especially in cities like Cairo, Alexandria ...etc. These developments suffer from several problems as level of utilities service available, the air pollution, the wastewater drainage & disposal and the shortage of potable water required for human usage in such developed cities. Surface water mainly from river is loaded with suspended as well as colloidal solids and other impurities. Raw water requires proper treatment, depending on the quality of available influent and quality needed by the consumers. The conventional WTPs involves the process of coagulation, flocculation, Sedimentation and filtration processes for removing colloidal as well as suspended solids from raw water. All water treatment plants (WTPS) during these treatment produce large quantity of residues or wastes known as water treatment sludge during the purification of raw water finally the water sludge from most of the WTPs is being discharged into nearby drains, which ultimately meet the water source on downstream side of intake.

Filtration systems which has been effectively used in treating several varieties of water resources to produce potable water

around the world (such as England, Sweden, Holland) had succeeded in producing good results. Moreover, Filtration is a unit process widely used in water and wastewater treatment for the removal of particulate materials commonly found in water.

1.2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

Discharging water sludge into river, streams, ponds, lakes, drains etc. or landfilling, the dewatered water sludge is not always abiding to the environmental laws of disposal.

Proper handling and disposal of voluminous sludge produced from water treatment plants has to be economical and environmental friendly managed. Disposal is a challenging task, requires careful consideration and further studies.

1.3. OBJECTIVES OF CURRENT RESEARCH

The general target for the present research is to evaluate and optimize the performance of the dynamic up flow sand filter in treating water sludge from sludge tank in water treatment plant to minimize requirements for reuse