THE EFFECT OF BIOCHAR ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF WHEAT PLANT UNDER DROUGHT CONDITIONS

By

WESAM MANSOUR AHMED NEGM

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Botany), Ain Shams University, 2013

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirement for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE in Agricultural Sciences (Plant Physiology)

Department of Agricultural Botany
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

THE EFFECT OF BIOCHAR ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF WHEAT PLANT UNDER DROUGHT CONDITIONS

By

WESAM MANSOUR AHMED NEGM

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Botany), Ain Shams University, 2013

This Thesis for M.Sc. Degree has been approved by:	
Dr. Ahmed Hussein Hanafy Ahmed	
Dr. Said Awad Shehata Prof. Emeritus of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, As Shams University.	
Dr. Sayed Abd Elmonem Sayed Hussin Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Sham University.	
Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Rassoul Mohamed Ibrahim	

Date of Examination: / / 2019

THE EFFECT OF BIOCHAR ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF WHEAT PLANT UNDER DROUGHT CONDITIONS

By

WESAM MANSOUR AHMED NEGM

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Botany), Ain Shams University, 2013

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Rassoul Mohamed Ibrahim

Prof. Emeritus of Plant Physiology, Department of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, (Principal Supervisor).

Dr. Sayed Abd Elmonem Sayed Hussin

Prof. of Plant Physiology, Department of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Bahaa Badry Mosa Salim

Associate Professor of Plant Physiology, Department of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

ABSTRACT

Wesam Mansour Ahmed Negm: The Effect of Biochar on Growth and Yield of Wheat Plant Under Drought Conditions. Unpublished M. Sci. Thesis, Agric. Botany Department, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 2019.

Implementation of adaptive measures to resilience agroecosystems to drought is one of the key tasks of green biotechnology to sustain crop yields. Recently, biochar soil amendment has emerged as a feasible winwin strategy to enhance crop productivity, especially under limited water availability, and to mitigate greenhouse gasses emission. This study aimed, therefore, to determine the effects of biochar soil application on the growth, development and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) plants grown under different water regimes. A completely randomized greenhouse pot trial was conducted using three levels of biochar addition (0, 2 and 5% BC) to a sandy soil under different water regimes [60%, 30% and 15% of the soil water holding capacity (WHC)]. Overall, growth-related parameters measured in this study were negatively influenced by drought, the effect that was more pronounced at 15% WHC. Drought stress decreased the total plant biomass by 65% and 72% at moderate and severe drought stress treatment, respectively, compared to well-watered controls. The number of tillers per plant was significantly reduced by 66% and 73%, and that of the leaves by 58% and 74% in plants grown at 30% and 15% WHC, respectively. Leaf area per leaf was also reduced, being only 62% and 56% of that of the controls at moderate and severe drought stress, respectively. Drought-induced growth inhibition was associated with an impaired plant water balance (indicated by lower LRWC and ψ_s), ion imbalance (indicated by reduced K⁺, relatively lower N and higher Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ contents), reduced pigments, photosynthetic enhanced **LEL** and higher proline concentrations in both the root and shoot tissues. Water stress markedly decreased all yield-contributing parameters, leading consequently to reduce the grain yield per plant by 70% and 97% at moderate and severe water stress, respectively, compared to well-watered controls.

BC soil application at either 2% or 5% substantially improved wheat growth and productivity, both under sufficient and limited water supply. At ample water regime, BC resulted in substantial increases of about 183% and 100% in total plant biomass when applied at 2% and 5%, to non-amended controls. **BC-induced** respectively, compared improvements in plant biomass were coincided with significant increases in plant height and numbers of tillers and leaves per plant. Most interestingly, BC-treated plants showed conspicuous growth even under severe drought conditions, confirming the potential of BC in enhancing plant drought resistance. BC applied at 2% increased the plant biomass by up to 400% and 80% at moderate and severe water stress treatments, respectively, compared to un-amended controls. Similarly, BC applied at 5% led to increase the plant fresh weight by 220% and 58% at moderate and severe drought stress treatment, respectively. The beneficial effects of adding BC were also manifested by 137% and 107% increases in the grain yield (GYPP), when BC was applied at 2% or 5%, respectively, under normal irrigation treatment. The interactive effect of drought and BC treatments was highly significant, as BC application at 2 and 5% increased the GYPP by roughly 317% and 308%, respectively, for plants exposed to moderate water stress. Under severe drought, BC applied at 2 and 5% caused up to 6.7 and 1.6 folds increases in the GYPP, respectively. Taken together, these results indicate that 2% BC could be an optimal level to sustain wheat growth and productivity, at least under our experimental conditions, both under ample and limited water supply. BC-mediated growth stimulation might be attributed to its positive effects on the physical and chemical properties of the soil (improved soil WHC, and nutrient contents). This ultimately contributed to an enhanced plant water status (higher ψ_s and LRWC) as well as a better plant nutrient uptake (mainly, K⁺, Mg²⁺ and N contents). Our results indicated clearly that drought-induced disorders in plant growth, physiology and hence

productivity of wheat could be ameliorated by BC soil application, with 2% BC was most effective, particularly at severe drought stress conditions. These results justify the potentials of biochar as a novel approach for improving wheat productivity and could be considered as a step forward for its use to sustain crop production, particularly, at marginal areas of Egypt.

Keywords: Wheat, Drought, Biochar, Soil amendment, Plant growth, Grain yield, Water balance, Nutrients, Osmotic adjustment, Photosynthetic pigments

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I give all thanks and gratitude to Allah for protection and ability to do this work. I thank all who in one way or another contributed in the completion of this thesis.

I would like to express my gratitude and great thanks to my supervisor **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Rassoul**, Professor Emeritus of Plant Physiology, Agric. Botany Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for the useful comments, remarks, engagement and offering facilities through the learning process of this master thesis.

I owe my deepest and special thanks to **Prof. Dr. Sayed Hussin**, Professor of Plant Physiology, Agric. Botany Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, who have been a tremendous mentor for me. I would like to thank you for your great efforts with me in my work and for allowing me to grow as a research scientist. Your advice on both research as well as on my career have been priceless. I will be grateful forever for your help.

Furthermore, I would like to extend my sincerest appreciation to **Dr. Bahaa Badry**, Associate Professor of Plant Physiology, Agric. Botany Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams, who provided me encouragement, helpful suggestions, active guidance, constructive criticism and research support during my study.

To my life-coach, my mother: because I owe it all to you. Many thanks for providing me through moral and emotional support in my life. I am also grateful to all my family members and friends who have supported me along the way.

Very special thanks goes out to Mrs. Karima Tarek for her kind support and helping with hours of setting up the experiments, administering treatment and taking measurements of this work. Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to all those whose names are not mentioned here but in so many ways have been supportive.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	V
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1. Drought effects on plants	5
2.1.1. Effect of drought on plant growth and yield.	5
2.1.2. Effect of drought on water relations.	8
2.1.3. Effect of drought on photosynthesis.	9
2.1.4. Effect of drought on nutrient uptake.	10
2.2. Drought resistance mechanisms.	10
2.2.1. Morphological adaptations.	11
2.2.1.1. Drought escape.	11
2.2.1.2. Drought avoidance.	11
2.2.1.3. Phenotypic flexibility.	11
2.2.2. Physiological mechanisms.	12
2.2.2.1. Cell and tissue water conservation.	12
2.2.2.2. Antioxidant defense.	13
2.2.2.3. Cell membrane stability.	13
2.3. Managing drought: strategies to increase agricultural	
productivity under drought conditions.	14
2.3.1. Classical selection and breeding strategies.	14
2.3.2. Biotechnology and genomic manipulation.	14
2.3.3. Exogenous application of hormones and osmoprotectants.	15
2.3.4. Soil conditioners.	16
2.3.5. Biochar (BC) as soil amendment.	17
2.3.5.1. Effect of biochar addition on soil properties.	19
2.3.5.1.1. Soil physical properties.	19
2.3.5.1.2. Soil chemical properties.	20
2.3.5.1.3. Soil biological properties.	20
2.3.5.2. Nutrient availability.	21

	2.3.5.3. Influence of biochar addition on water relation.	23
	2.3.5.4. Influence of biochar addition on photosynthesis.	24
	2.3.5.5. Influence of biochar addition on crop growth and yield.	25
3.	. MATERIAL AND METHODS	28
	3.1. Biochar preparation and soil mixtures.	28
	3.2. Characterization of biochar and soil-biochar mixtures.	30
	3.3. Experimental setup, plant materials, cultivation and growth	
	conditions.	31
	3.4. Harvest procedure and agronomic traits.	34
	3.5. Physiological and biochemical traits.	35
	3.5.1. Determination of leaf relative water content (LRWC).	35
	3.5.2. Determination of osmotic potential (Ψ s).	36
	3.5.3. Determination of leaf electrolyte ion leakage (LEL).	36
	3.5.4. Determination of mineral elements.	37
	3.5.5. Determination of photosynthetic pigments.	37
	3.5.6. Determination of proline.	38
	3.5.7. Determination of total soluble carbohydrates (TSC).	38
	3.6. Statistical Analysis.	39
4.	RESULTS	40
	4.1. Properties of biochar and soil mixtures	40
	4.2. Plant growth and development.	44
	4.2.1. Visual observations.	44
	4.2.2. Plant growth attributes and agronomic traits.	46
	4.2.2.1. Plant fresh weight.	46
	4.2.2.2. Shoot: root fresh weight ratio.	46
	4.2.2.3. Plant height.	49
	4.2.2.4. Tillering.	49
	4.2.2.5. Leaf number.	50
	4.2.2.6. Leaf area.	51
	4.2.2.7. Leaf mass to area (LMA) ratio.	51
	4.3. Physiological traits.	52
	4.3.1. Dry weight.	52

4.3.2. Relative water content.	54
4.3.3. Ion leakage.	54
4.3.4. Osmotic potential.	56
4.3.5. Photosynthetic pigments.	58
4.3.6.Mineral ions concentrations.	60
4.3.6.1. Potassium (K ⁺) concentrations.	60
4.3.6.2. Calcium (Ca ²⁺) concentrations.	62
4.3.6.3. Magnesium (Mg ²⁺) concentrations.	64
4.3.7. Total nitrogen content.	64
4.3.8. Total soluble carbohydrate.	67
4.3.9. Proline concentration.	69
4.4. Yield and its attributes.	69
5. DISCUSSION	75
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	103
REFERENCES	108
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No.		Page
1	Some chemical and physical characters of the biochar	
	produced and used in the present study.	41
2	Chemical and physical characterizations and elemental	
	composition of the experimental soil mixtures used in the	
	study.	43
3	Effect of different soil water content (Ctr., 30% and 15%	
	WHC) and various levels of biochar (0%, 2% and 5%	
	BC) soil application on shoot to root fresh weight ratio,	
	plant height, number of tillers per plant, leaf number per	
	plant, leaf area per leaf and leaf mass to area ratio (LMA)	
	of wheat plants.	48
4	Influence of different soil water regimes (WHC) and	
	various levels of biochar (BC) soil application on the	
	spike number per plant, spike length, spike weight per	
	spike, grain yield per plant and weight of 1000 grains of	
	wheat plants.	73

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Page
1 Feedstock used for biochar production (A), using a lo	cally
manufactured pyrolytic unit based on Anila Stove design	1 (B) 29
and the charred materials obtained from this home-mad	unit
(C).	
2 Experimental pots after they were filled with soil mix	tures
(10 kg each) and before sowing. Note the difference in	soil 29
surface color from sand (A) to sand+2%BC (B)	and
sand+5% BC (C).	
3 An overview of the experimental layout showing	the
variations between different levels of water supply	Ctr.,
30% and 15% WHC) and biochar soil application (0%	32
and 5% BC) treatments on plant growth and developme	nt of
wheat plants, which were evident five weeks after	the
initiation of drought stress treatments.	
4 Effects of biochar soil application rates 0% (A), 2% (B)	
5% (C) on the growth, performance and developmen	
wheat plants exposed to different soil water contents	
30% and 15% WHC), 12 weeks after drought s	tress
commencement.	(00)
5 Influence of different levels of biochar soil application	
2% and 5% BC) on plant growth and biomass accumu	
(expressed as fresh weight) of wheat plants expose	
various levels of soil water content (60%, 30% and	13%
WHC).6 Effects of different levels of biochar soil application (0%)	20/
6 Effects of different levels of biochar soil application (0% and 5% BC) on the dry matter accumulation (express	
dw % fw) of the root (A) and shoot (B) of wheat	53
grown at various soil water contents (60%, 30%)	and

No.		Page
	15%WHC).	
7	Leaf relative water content (LRWC) as affected by different soil water contents (60%, 30% and 15% WHC) and various levels of biochar (0%, 2% and 5% BC) soil application.	55
8	Ion leakage of the fully expanded leaves of wheat plants subjected to different levels of soil water content (60%, 30%, 15% WHC) at various levels of biochar (0%, 2% and 5% BC) soil application.	55
9	Osmotic potential (\Ps) of the root (A) and shoot (B) tissues of wheat plants exposed to different soil water contents (60%, 30% and 15% WHC) and various levels of biochar (0%, 2% and 5% BC) soil application.	56
10	Effects of different biochar levels (0%, 2% and 5% BC) on the photosynthetic pigments of the fully expanded juvenile leaves of wheat plants subjected to various levels of soil water content (60%, 30% and 15% WHC). (A) chlorophyll (a) and (B) chlorophyll (b) concentrations in mg g ⁻¹ fw.	58
11	Potassium (K ⁺) concentrations of the roots (A) and shoots (B) of wheat plants grown under different levels of soil water content (60%, 30% and 15% WHC) and various levels of biochar (0%, 2% and 5% BC) soil application.	60
12	Calcium (Ca ²⁺) concentrations of the roots (A) and shoots (B) of wheat plants grown under different levels of soil water content (60%, 30% and 15% WHC) and various levels of biochar (0%, 2% and 5% BC) soil application.	63
13	Magnesium (Mg ²⁺) concentrations of the roots (A) and shoots (B) of wheat plants grown under different levels of soil water content (60%, 30% and 15% WHC) and various levels of biochar (0%, 2% and 5% BC) soil application.	65
14	Total nitrogen concentrations of the roots (A) and shoots (B) of wheat plants exposed to different soil water contents	66

No.		Page
	(60%, 30% and 15% WHC) and various levels of biochar	
	(0%, 2% and 5% BC) soil application.	
15	Total soluble carbohydrate (TSC) concentrations of the roots	
	(A) and shoots (B) of wheat plants grown under different soil	
	water contents (60%, 30% and 15% WHC) and various levels	
	of biochar (0%, 2% and 5% BC) soil application.	68
16	Proline concentrations of the roots (A) and shoots (B) of	
	wheat plants grown under different soil water contents (60%,	70
	30% and 15% WHC) and various levels of biochar (0%, 2%	70
	and 5% BC) soil application.	

VIII

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABA Abscisic acid

AMF Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal

APX Ascorbate peroxidase

ARC Agriculture research center

BC Biochar

CEC Cation exchange capacity

Chl(a) Chlorophyll (a) Chl(b) Chlorophyll (b)

Cks Cytokinins

Ctr. Control

DW Dry weight

EC Electrical conductivity

FW Fresh weight (Weighed instantly)

GA3 Gibberellic acid

GB Glycine betaine

GHG Greenhouse gases

GR Glutathione reductase

GYPP Grain yield per plant

IAA Indole acetic acid

IBI The International Biochar Initiative

Inductively coupled plasma atomic emission ICP

spectrophotometer).

LEL Leaf electrolyte (ion) leakage

LHC Light-harvesting complex

LMA Leaf mass to area ratio

LRWC Leaf relative water content

MD Moderate drought
OA Osmotic adjustment

PAs Polyamines

PEP Phosphoenol pyruvate PGRs plant growth rehulators