The study of Prognostic Factors in Resistant Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis in Cirrhotic Patients

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree in Internal Medicine

By Salma Gamal MohammedM.B.B.CH.

Under supervision of Prof / Khaled Mohamed Abdel Wahab

Professor of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Prof / Wael Ahmed Yousry

Professor of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Assoc. Prof / Khaled Amr Mansour

Assiociate Professor of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2018



Acknowledgement

In the name of **Allah** the most merciful and beneficent first and foremost praise is to **Allah**, the Almighty, the greatest of all, on whom ultimately we depend for sustenance and guidance. I would like to thank Almighty Allah for giving me opportunity, determination and strength to do my thesis. His continuous grace and mercy was with me throughout my life and ever more during the tenure of my thesis.

I would like to express my gratitude and special thanks to **Prof. Khaled Mohamed Abdel Wahab,** Professor of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine—Ain Shams University. I am greatly indebted for hisgreat help, constant encouragement and care throughout carrying out this work until it came to light.

I am very much indebted to **Prof. Wael Ahmed Yousry**Professor of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his sincere help, enthusiastic encouragement and kind supervision throughout the work.

Lastly, I can't forget all many thanks to *Dr / Khaled Amr MansourLecturer of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his sincere help.* He has been kind enough to spare no time and no effort to orientate and advise me.

Salma Gamal

List of Contents

Subject	Page
INTRODUCTION	
AIM OF THE WORK	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
Ascites	5
Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis	11
Multidrug resistance	86
Prediction risk scores in cirrhosis	102
PATIENTS AND METHODS	
RESULTS	
DISCUSSION	
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	138
RECOMMENDATION	
REFERENCES	
ARABIC SUMMARY	

List of Tables

No	Table	Page
1	State of immune dysfunction in patients with cirrhosis	15
2	Common manifestations and risk factors of bacterial pathogens in patients with cirrhosis	21
3	Clinical setting of SBP, related definitions and commentary	29
4	SBP and other varieties of ascitic fluid infection	38
5	Vaccinations and other preventive measures for bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis	76
6	Child-Pugh score calculator	103
7	Child-Pugh score interpretation	104
8	Comparison between cases and controls regarding demographic data	118
9	Comparison between cases and controls regarding clinical data	120
10	Comparison between cases and controls regarding lab investigations	121
11	Comparison between cases and controls regarding degree of ascitis and hepatic encephalopathy	122

12	Comparison between cases and controls regarding ascetic fluid investigations	123
13	Culture and sensitivity results among cases	124
14	Comparison between cases and controls regarding prognostic indices	125

List of Figures

No	Figure	Page
1	Pathogenesis of SBP and bacteremia	16
2	Algorithm for the management of cirrhotic patients with suspicious for ascitic fluid infection	35
3	The concept of the ISH method for detecting bacterial DNA in SBP ascites	46
4	Evidence-based algorithm for evaluation of atrisk patients, diagnosis and management of SBP	62
5	Problems associated with MDR	92
6	Classification of MDR	93
7	Mechanisms of MDR	96
8	Treatment of MDR	101

List of Charts

No	Chart	Page
1	Gender differences among studied groups	119
2	The chart showing the culture sensitivity results from cases of resistant SBP after failure of Cefotaxime 48 hrs	124
3	This chart showing statistically significant difference between cases and controls according Child Pugh score. (child B & Child C). The child B more than C in control and the Child C more than B in the cases	126
4	The chart showing the Specificity and sensitivity of Child score as predictor for RSBP and revealing that CHILD Score statically more sensitive	127
5	Validity of original MELD score for prediction (differentiation) of RSBP	128

List of Abbreviations

AFPAlpha Feto protein **AKI.....** Acute kidney injury **AMR.....** Antimicrobial resistance **ASPs.....** Antimicrobial stewardship programs **ATB**.....Antibiotics AUROCs..... Area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic BC.....Blood culture **BCAA**......Branched-chain amino acids; **BT.....**Bacterial translocation **BUN.....**Blood urea nitrogen **CAIDS......** Cirrhosis-associated immune dysfunction syndrome CI.....Confidence interval **CNNA......** Culture-negative neutrocytic ascites **CRP.....**C- Reactive protein **DIC**......Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation **DNA.....** Deoxyribonucleic Acid E. coli..... Escherichia coli **EDTA**ethylenediaminetetraacetic **ELISA** Enzyme Linked immunesorbent Assay **ESBL** Extended-spectrum β -lactamase **ESLD.....** End-stage liver disease; GI.....Gastrointestinal **GNB.....** Gramnegative bacteria **HCC**Hepatocellular carcinoma

IL.....Interleukins

IPInterferon-γ-induced protein

IV.....Intravenous

KP.....Klepsiella Pneumonie

KPC..... Klepsiella Pneumonie carbapenemase

LERS.....Leukocyte esterase reagent strips

LR....Likelihood ratio

LT.....Liver transplantation

LVPLarge volume paracentesis;

MDR......Multidrug resistant

MELD Modelfor End-Stage Liver Disease

MIP-1β...... Macrophage inflammatory protein type 1 beta

MLN..... Mesenteric lymph nodes

MRPMultidrug resistant proteins

MRSA Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus

NGAL......Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin

NKNatural Killer

NOD2Nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain 2

NSBB......Nonselective beta-blocker

PCN.....Penicillin;

PCTProcalcitonin

PMNPolymorphonuclear cells

PPIProton pumps inhibitors

RBC.....Red blood cells

RCT.....Randomized controlled trial

RESReticuloendothelial system

RSBP..... Resistant spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

SAAG Serum to Ascites Albumin Gradient

SBP.....Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

SIRSSystemic inflammatory response syndrome

SPPSpecies

SSTI.....Skin and Soft Tissue infection

TB....Tuberculosis

TIPSTransjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt

TLR.....Toll-like receptor

TNFTumor necrosis factors

TREM-1..... Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 1

TZPTazobactam-pipercillin

UNOS......United Network for Organ Sharing

USUltrasound

UTIUrinary tract infection

VRE......Vancomycin-resistant enterococci

WBC......White blood cell

WHO......World Health Organization

XDR.... Extensive Drug Resistant

INTRODUCTION

The most common complication in cirrhosis is ascites that occurs in approximately 60% of patients with compensated cirrhosis in a ten-year period of diagnosis. The development of ascites is a landmark in the natural history of cirrhosis, since the mortality is 40% in one year and 50% in two years (**Runyon**, 2009). Moreover, it carries a poor prognosis and impairs quality of life recommending patient evaluation by a Liver Transplantation team as soon as possible (**Tandon P and Garcia-Tsao, 2008**).

Bacterial infections, such as spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP), are worrisome in cirrhotic patients since it is known that 30% to 50% of them either have bacterial infection when admitted to a hospital or acquire them during this period with a mortality rate of near 25% in this population. SBP is one of the most common infection in cirrhotic patients (**Da Rocha Ribeiro et al., 2016**).

SBP has been considered a life-threatening infection that requires a prompt diagnosis and treatment. In-hospital mortality for the first SBP episode varies from 10% to 50%. The recurrence rate is also high and one-year mortality rate after the first episode of SBP has been estimated to be between 31% and 93%. The worse scenario in the prognosis

of a cirrhotic patient after an episode of infection has been proposed since that a new prognostic stage of cirrhosis, not reflected by the existing staging systems, should be defined, as the so-called "critically ill cirrhotic" (Arvaniti et al., 2010).

Cirrhotic patients have a higher risk of developing bacterial infections, sepsis, severe sepsis and therefore death. Alterations in microbiota and intestinal permeability, functional impairment of the reticuloendothelial system, neutrophilic dysfunction, impairment in opsonization of ascitic fluid and immune dysfunction are findings that make these patients susceptible to the emergence of infectious complications. Cirrhosis-associated immune dysfunction syndrome (CAIDS) is a multifactorial state of systemic immune dysfunction, which decreases the capacity of clearing cytokines, bacteria and endotoxins from circulation which associated with portosystemic shunts allow fewer bacteria and endotoxins to be cleared by the liver from circulation. bacterial The development of infection exacerbates pre-existing circulatory dysfunction, predisposes early onset of renal dysfunction, expressed by hepatorenal syndrome, and triggers an overstressed pro-inflammatory response which can lead to organ failure (acute on chronic liver failure) (Nadim et al., 2015).

In the past few years the epidemiology of bacterial infection in cirrhotic patients has changed dramatically. Initially, SBP occurred in up to 30% of patients with cirrhosis and ascites, and had an estimated in-hospital mortality of 20%. The prevalence of SBP in outpatients cirrhotic is estimated in 1.5% to 3.5% and in inpatients is about 10%. Recent studies have shown that 60% of bacterial infections are community acquired and 40% are nosocomial (**Pleguezuelo et al., 2013**).

Furthermore, several studies from different geographical areas, have reported a significant increase in the number of infections caused by multiresistant bacteria. The SBP prophylaxis with quinolones and other types of antibiotics, invasive procedures during hospitalization and the stay of cirrhotic patients in healthcare facilities are associated with a change in bacterial flora in these patients. More recent studies highlight the increasing emergence of gram positive cepas as quinolone-resistant organisms (Da Rocha Ribeiro et al., 2016).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of our study is:

- To evaluate the effect of certain prognostic factors namely Child -Pugh score, MELD Score and isolated organisms by bacterial cultures responsible for resistant spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients with liver cirrhosis.
- To Identify organisms that responsible for resistant SBP will guide in modification of antimicrobial treatment regimen and changing the antibiotic protocol administered to those patients.