

سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



عبدالله محمد شوقي
د. اشرف ممدوح زازو
EVALUATION OF CYTOTOXICITY, SEALING
ABILITY AND CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF FOUR
DIFFERENT ROOT END FILLING MATERIALS

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the

Doctor Degree

In

CONSERVATIVE DENTISTRY

By

Ashraf Mamdouh Zaazou

(B.D.S, M.Sc., Alexandria University)

Faculty of Dentistry

Alexandria University

2002

B

1CVA3

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim

Professor of Conservative Dentistry
Conservative Dentistry Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Dr. Amr Abdalla

Assistant Professor of Conservative Dentistry
Conservative Dentistry Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Samy Afifi

Professor of Immunology
Department of Immunology
Medical Research Institute
Alexandria University

Prof. Dr. Samia Abdel-Gawad

Professor of Physical Medicine
Department of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine
Alexandria University

**Dedicated To
My Parents,
My Supportive Wife
And
To the Sunshine of My Eyes
Youssef and Karima**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim**, Professor of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for his kind supervision, continuous assistance, encouragement, and guidance, as well as his moral and fatherly support throughout the period of study.

I am very grateful to **Dr. Amr Abdalla**, Associate Professor of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for his unlimited helpful assistance, valuable and advisable instructions, and strong support as well as being an older brother.

I would also like to express my sincere thanks to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Samy Afifi**, Professor of Immunology, Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University, for his patience, guidance and his helpful assistance in the cytotoxicity assay in the present study.

Credit must also go to **Prof. Dr. Samia Abdel-Gawad Rizk**, Professor of Physical Medicine, Department of Radiology, Alexandria University, for her great support and assistance with the autodensitometric readings.

I would like to thank **Dr. Mohamed Zaher** for his patience and assistance in scanning the pictures of the present study.

Finally, I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Samir Khohel**, Head of Conservative Dentistry Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for his continuous encouragement and support.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	5
I. Clinical Evaluation of Root-End Filling	5
II. Sealing Ability	14
III. The Use of Ultrasonics in Root-End Preparation	44
IV. Cytotoxicity and Biocompatibility	53
Aim of the Work	68
Materials and Methods	69
Materials	69
I. In-Vivo Study	69
II. In-Vitro Study	70
Methods	76
I. In-Vivo Study	76
II. Sealing Ability	86
III. Cytotoxicity	90
Results	97
A. Clinical Results	97
B. Sealing Ability	119
C. Cytotoxicity	125
Discussion	139
Summary	155
References	160
Protocol of Thesis	
Appendix	
Arabic Summary	



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
I	The mean score values and standard deviation of the optical density obtained from the autodensitometer for group I (root-end filled with Dyract).	110
II	The mean score values and standard deviation of the optical density obtained from the autodensitometer for group II (root-end filled with Super EBA).	111
III	The mean score values and standard deviation of the optical density obtained from the autodensitometer for group III (root-end filled with amalgam).	112
IV	The mean score values and standard deviation of the optical density obtained from the autodensitometer for group IV (root-end filled with MTA).	113
V	The mean score values and standard deviation of the four groups tested in millimeters using stereomicroscope at 1.8 magnification.	120
VI	The mean cytotoxicity reading assay using Vero cell line in millimeters of fresh, 24 hours and one week sample of Dyract (group I).	130
VII	The mean cytotoxicity reading assay using Vero cell line in millimeters of fresh, 24 hours and one week sample of Super EBA (group II).	131
VIII	The mean cytotoxicity reading assay using Vero cell line in millimeters of fresh, 24 hours and one week sample of amalgam (group III).	132
IX	The mean cytotoxicity reading assay using Vero cell line in millimeters of fresh, 24 hours and one week sample of MTA (group IV).	133

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
1	Light cure Dyract.	74
2	Super EBA (Fast Set).	74
3	Valiant Ph. D.	75
4	Mineral trioxide aggregate.	75
5	Oschsenbein Leubke flap.	78
6	Double vertical intrasulcular flap.	78
7	Incision of the flap.	79
8	Reflected full thickness mucoperiosteal flap.	79
9	Bone cavity after curettage.	82
10	Ultrasonic root-end preparation.	82
11	Flap in place after being sutured.	84
12	Flap one week later after removal of the stitches.	84
13	Suprason, Satelec with appropriate size of retrotip.	89
14	Stereomicroscope.	89
15	Biohazard laminar flow hood (Class II).	91
16	CO ₂ incubator.	91
17	Inverted microscope.	93
18	Monolayer of cells at magnification (10 × 10).	93
19	Monolayer of cells at magnification (10 × 40).	94
20	Samples placed over the agar.	94
21	Preoperative and different postoperative follow up X-rays of <u>1</u> of a 16-year-old boy root end filled with Dyract.	98















Figure	Title	Page
22	Preoperative and different postoperative follow up X-rays of  of a 19-year-old girl root end filled with Super EBA.	99
23	Preoperative and different postoperative follow up X-rays of  of a 17-year-old boy.  Root-end filled with Super EBA, while  root-end filled with MTA.	100
24	Preoperative and different postoperative follow up X-rays of  of a 19-year-old girl.  Root-end filled with Dyract, while  root-end filled with Super EBA.	101
25	Preoperative and different postoperative follow up X-rays of  of a 28-year-old woman root-end filled with Super EBA.	102
26	Preoperative and different postoperative follow up X-rays of  of a 34-years-old man.  Root-end filled with MTA, while  root-end filled with amalgam.	104
27	Preoperative and different follow up X-rays of  of a 31-years-old man root-end filled with amalgam.	105
28	Preoperative and different follow up X-rays of  of a 20-years-old girl root-end filled with amalgam.	106
29	Preoperative and different postoperative follow up X-rays of  of a 16-years-old girl root-end filled with MTA.	107

Figure	Title	Page
30	Preoperative and different postoperative follow up X-rays of <u>1 2 3</u> of a 35-years-old woman. <u>1</u> Root-end filled with amalgam, <u>2</u> root-end filled with Super EBA, while <u>3</u> root-end filled with MTA.	108
31	Line graph representing the mean score values of the optical density in group I (root-end filled with Dyract) at the different observation periods.	114
32	Line graph representing the mean score values of the optical density in group II (root-end filled with Super EBA) at the different observation periods.	115
33	Line graph representing the mean score values of the optical density in group III (root-end filled with amalgam) at the different observation periods.	116
34	Line graph representing the mean score values of the optical density in group IV (root-end filled with MTA) at the different observation periods.	117
35	Line graph showing the course of healing of the different tested materials in relation to their preoperative phase which served as a control.	118
36	Sealing ability of gutta percha and sealer to serve as a positive control.	121
37	Sealing ability of a tooth root-end filled with sticky wax to serve as a negative control.	121
38	Sealing ability of amalgam demonstrating the highest leakage.	122
39	Sealing ability of MTA.	122

Figure	Title	Page
40	Sealing ability of Dyract demonstrating the least amount of leakage.	123
41	Sealing ability of Super EBA.	123
42	Mean score leakage values of the different tested materials in millimeters immediately after root-end filling.	124
43	Petri dish showing cell lysis of fresh sample of Dyract and Super EBA.	126
44	Petri dish showing cell lysis of fresh sample of amalgam and MTA.	126
45	Petri dish showing cell lysis of 24-hours sample of Dyract and Super EBA.	127
46	Petri dish showing cell lysis of 24-hours sample of amalgam and MTA.	127
47	Petri dish showing cell lysis of one-week sample of Dyract and Super EBA.	128
48	Petri dish showing cell lysis of one-week sample of amalgam and MTA.	128
49	The mean cytotoxicity readings of fresh, 24 hours and one week sample of the different tested materials.	134
50	The mean cytotoxicity readings of fresh, 24 hours and one week sample of Dyract (group I) in millimeters.	135
51	The mean cytotoxicity readings of fresh, 24 hours and one week sample of Super EBA (group II) in millimeters.	136