

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING Electrical Power& Machines Department

GENERATION EXPANSION PLANNING OF THE EGYPTIAN POWER SYSTEM CONSIDERING THE HIGH PENETRATION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In Electrical Engineering

Submitted By

Mohammed Moustafa Abd-Elzaher

M.Sc. of Electrical Engineering Ain Shams University, 2012

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El Latif Badr

Prof. Dr. Almoataz Youssef Abdelaziz

Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University

Asso. Prof. Saed Fouad Mekhamer

Dr. Eng. Hassan Mohamed Mahmoud

Ain Shams University

Egyptian Electricity Holding Company

Cairo - 2019



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING Electrical Power& Machines Department

GENERATION EXPANSION PLANNING OF THE EGYPTIAN POWER SYSTEM CONSIDERING THE HIGH PENETRATION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In Electrical Engineering

Submitted By

Mohammed Moustafa Abd-Elzaher

M.Sc. of Electrical Engineering Ain Shams University, 2012

| Approved By | Signature |
|--|---|
| Prof. Dr. Alsaid Abdelaziz Othman Electrical Engineering Department Faculty of Engineering, Al Azhar University | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• |
| Prof. Dr. Tarek Saad Abdelsalam Electrical Power & Machines Department Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• |
| Prof. Dr. Almoataz Youssef Abdelaziz Electrical Power & Machines Department Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University | •••••• |



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING Electrical Power& Machines Department

GENERATION EXPANSION PLANNING OF THE EGYPTIAN POWER SYSTEM CONSIDERING THE HIGH PENETRATION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In Electrical Engineering

Submitted By **Mohammed Moustafa Abd–Elzaher**

M.Sc. of Electrical Engineering Ain Shams University, 2012

| Supervised By | Signature |
|--|-------------|
| Prof. Dr. Almoataz Youssef Abdelaziz Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University | ••••••••••• |
| Associate Prof. Said Fouad Mekhamer Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University | ••••••••••• |
| Dr. Eng. Hassan Mohamed Mahmoud Egyptian Electricity Holding Company | •••••• |

Cairo - 2019

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in Electrical Engineering (Electrical Power & Machines Department).

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author at the Electrical Power

& Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University in collaboration with

the Egyptian Electricity Holding Company.

No part of this thesis was submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or

institution.

Name

: Mohammed Moustafa Abd–Elzaher

Signature

:

Date

: / /

CURRICULUM VITAE

| Name of the Researcher | : Mohammed Moustafa Abd–Elzaher |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Date of Birth | : 21October 1984 |
| Place of Birth: | : Qalyoubia – Egypt |
| First University Degree: | : M.Sc. in Electrical Engineering |
| Faculty: | : Ain Shams Faculty of Engineering |
| Name of University: | : Ain Shams University |
| Date of Degree: | : 2012 |
| Position: | : Division Chief Planning Engineer – Strategic Planning Sector - Egyptian Electricity Holding Company |
| Technical Committees Membership: | : Member in the Council on Large Electric Systems (CIGRE), World Energy Council (WEC) Energy Youth Committee and International Electro-technical Commission (IEC) national committees. |
| E-mail: | : Engmmzaher2006@yahoo.com |
| Signature: | : |
| Date: | : / / |
| | |

Acknowledgment

Thanks God for a lot of merits that led to the successful completion of this work.

I wish to express my deep appreciation and sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El Latif Badr and Prof. Dr. Almoataz Yousef Abdelaziz,** Electrical Power & Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for their supervision, helpful advice and valuable guidance throughout the course of this work.

My deep thanks to **Asso. Prof. Saed Fouad Mekhamer,** Electrical Power & Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, helpful advice and valuable guidance throughout the course of this work.

My thanks are extended to **Dr. Hassan M Mahmoud**, Head of the IT sector, Egyptian Electricity Holding Company for his supervision, helpful advice and valuable guidance throughout the course of this work.

I would like to thank the staff of Strategic Planning Sector in Egyptian Electricity Holding Company mainly, **Eng. Ghada Mohamed Boghdady**, Head of the Strategic Planning sector for her sincere help and support.

Finally, I take pride in dedicating the current PhD thesis work to my beloved family; mother, father and my sister who had sacrificed their comforts and struggled to support and encourage me during this research. Without your sincere support and encourage, I would have never accomplished this work.

Contents

| Title | Page |
|--|------|
| Contents | I |
| List of Figures | IV |
| List of Tables | VI |
| List of Abbreviations | VII |
| Abstract | X |
| Chapter 1 Introduction &Literature Review | |
| 1-1- General | 1 |
| 1-2- Thesis Background | 1 |
| 1-3- Thesis Objective | 2 |
| 1-4- Thesis Structure | 3 |
| 1-5- Literature Review | 5 |
| 1.5.1 Intermittency of RES | 5 |
| 1.5.2 Capacity Credit of RES | 9 |
| 1.5.3 Role of Power System Flexibility and Operating Reserves | 14 |
| 1.5.4 GEP with High Share of RES | 20 |
| 1-6- General Comments about the Previous Work | 25 |
| Chapter 2 Challenges of Integrating RES into the Egyptian Power System | |
| 2-1- Introduction | 27 |
| 2-2- Power Generation Technologies | 27 |
| 2-3- Basic power system operation | 28 |
| 2-4- Intermittency of VRES | 30 |
| 2-5- Operational Challenges of VRES | 31 |
| 2.5.1 Technical Challenges | 31 |
| 2.5.2 Economic Challenges | 34 |
| 2.5.3 Other Challenges | 35 |
| 2-6- Quantifying Variability of VRES | 35 |
| 2-7- RES in Egypt | 36 |
| 2.7.1 Wind Energy | 37 |
| 2.7.2 Solar Energy | 37 |
| 2.7.3 Hydro Energy | 38 |
| 2-8- RES Penetration | 38 |
| 2-9- Seasonal patterns | 39 |
| 2-10- Capacity Factor | 42 |
| 2-11- Egypt Long Term RES Strategy | 42 |
| 2-12- Case Study: RES Expansion in the Egyptian Grid | 43 |
| 2-13- Conclusion | 51 |

| Chapter 3 Capacity Credit Evaluation of RES | |
|---|-----|
| 3-1- Introduction | 52 |
| 3-2- What is Capacity Credit | 52 |
| 3-3- Methods to Calculate Capacity Credit | 54 |
| 3-4- Approximate Methods | 54 |
| 3.4.1. Load Factor-Based Approaches | 55 |
| 3.4.2. The Garver Approximation Method | 55 |
| 3.4.3. Z-Statistic Method | 56 |
| 3-5- Reliability Based Method | 57 |
| 3.5.1. Capacity Outage Probability Table (COPT) | 59 |
| 3.5.2. Calculating LOLP and ELCC | 62 |
| 3-6-Factors Affecting the ELCC Calculations | 63 |
| 3-7-Case Study: Capacity Credit Evaluation of Zafarana Wind Farm | 64 |
| 3.7.1 The Approximation Methods | 65 |
| 3.7.2 Reliability Based method | 67 |
| 3.7.3 Sensitivity Analysis | 70 |
| 3-8-Case Study: Capacity Credit Evaluation of Solar Photovoltaic in Upper Egypt | 71 |
| 3-9-Comments on the Results and Conclusion | 72 |
| Chapter 4 Role of Operating Reserves in Integrating RES | |
| 4-1- Introduction | 73 |
| 4-2-Operating Reserves | 73 |
| 4-3-Impact of RES on Reserve Requirements | 77 |
| 4-4-Value of Operating Reserve in Integrating RES | 78 |
| 4-5-Operating Reserve Assessment Methods | 81 |
| 4.5.1 Analytical Method | 82 |
| 4.5.2 Probabilistic Method | 83 |
| 4-6- Case Study: Reserve Requirements for Integrating VRES in the Egyptian Grid | 85 |
| 4.6.1 Analytical Methods | 86 |
| 4.6.2 Probabilistic Method | 89 |
| 4.6.3 Value of VRES Forecast Accuracy | 94 |
| 4-7- Conclusion | 95 |
| Chapter 5 Role of Generation Mix Flexibility in Integrating RES | |
| 5-1- Introduction | 96 |
| 5-2- Basic Concept of Power System Flexibility | 96 |
| 5-3- The Need for Flexibility | 97 |
| 5-4- Sources of Flexibility | 98 |
| 5-5- Power Plant Flexibility | 100 |
| 5.5.1 Conventional Power Plants Dynamic Limits | 100 |
| 5.5.2 Cycling of Thermal Power Plants | 103 |
| 5-6- Generation Mix Flexibility | 106 |
| 5.6.1 Minimum Load Level | 107 |
| 5.6.2 Sufficient Ramping Capability | 107 |
| 5.6.3 Operating Reserves | 107 |
| 5-7- Unit Commitment Problem | 108 |

| 5.7.1 Basic Methodology | 109 |
|---|-----|
| 5.7.2 Problem Constraints | 115 |
| 5-8- Modeling Energy Storage Technologies | 117 |
| 5-9- Case study: Impact of Generation Mix Flexibility on Integrating VRES | 119 |
| 5.9.1 Results | 123 |
| 5.9.2 Sensitivity Analysis | 128 |
| 5-10- Conclusion | 130 |
| Chapter 6 Generation Expansion Planning with High Shares of RES | |
| 6-1- Introduction | 131 |
| 6-2- Basic Definition of GEP Problem | 131 |
| 6.2.1 Capital Investment Cost | 132 |
| 6.2.2 Fuel Cost | 133 |
| 6.2.3 Operation and Maintenance Cost | 133 |
| 6.2.4 Cost of Expected Unserved Energy | 134 |
| 6.2.5. Representation of Demand Pattern | 134 |
| 6.2.6. Modeling RES Generation | 136 |
| 6.2.7. Generation System Reliability | 137 |
| 6-3- GEP Problem Considering RES | 137 |
| 6.3.1 Objective Function | 139 |
| 6.3.2 Problem Constraints | 141 |
| 6.3.3 Representing Load and RES Patterns | 142 |
| 6-4- Solution Technique | 142 |
| 6-5- Case Study: Expanding VRES in Egypt Energy Mix up to Year 2037 | 144 |
| 6.5.1 Load and Energy Forecast | 144 |
| 6.5.2 Existing and Committed Generation Capacities | 145 |
| 6.5.3 Representation of Actual Renewable and Demand Patterns | 145 |
| 6.5.4 Generation System Reliability | 147 |
| 6.5.5 Power Generation Candidates | 148 |
| 6.5.6 Fuel Price Projections | 149 |
| 6.5.7 Other Constraints and Assumptions | 150 |
| 6.5.8 Study Scenarios | 150 |
| 6.5.9 Results | 151 |
| 6.5.10 Role of Energy Storage | 157 |
| 6.5.11 Analysis of the Results | 163 |
| 6-6- Conclusion | 166 |
| Chapter 7 Conclusions and Recommendations | |
| 7-1- Conclusion | 167 |
| 7-2- Recommendations for the Future Work | 169 |
| References | 170 |

List of Figures

| Figure Chapter 2 | Page |
|--|------|
| Fig (2.1) Power system operation time-frames | 28 |
| Fig (2.2) Load and net load patterns for Minnesota power system under the 25% | 20 |
| wind penetration scenario | 33 |
| Fig (2.3) Impacts of VRES on the different time and area scales of power systems | 33 |
| Fig (2.4) Wind atlas for Egypt | 37 |
| Fig (2.5) Monthly normalized average wind output power in year 2015/2016 | 39 |
| Fig (2.6) Monthly normalized average solar PV output power in year 2015/2016 | 40 |
| Fig (2.7) Seasonal histograms for the normalized wind output power in year 2015/2016 | 40 |
| Fig (2.8) Seasonal histograms for the normalized solar PV output power in year 2015/2016 | 41 |
| Fig (2.9) Egyptian strategy for RES up to year 2022 | 42 |
| Fig (2.10) Electricity generated by type under reference scenario in year 2035 | 43 |
| Fig (2.11) Duration curve of normalized wind output power variations for the Zafarana | т.) |
| wind site in year 2015/2016 | 45 |
| Fig (2.12) Duration curve of normalized solar PV output power variations in sunny | 73 |
| hours of year 2015/2016 | 45 |
| Fig (2.13) Cumulative distribution functions of (a) wind and (b) solar power variations | 46 |
| Fig (2.14) Duration curves of absolute (a) wind and (b) solar variations (In GW) for | |
| different installed capacities | 47 |
| Fig (2.15) Variation of maximum net load positive and negative ramps with increasing | |
| wind penetration level presented as 0.1 % and 1 % percentiles | 48 |
| Fig (2.16) Net LDCs curves for the different wind power penetration scenarios | 49 |
| Fig (2.17) Variation of maximum net load positive and negative ramps with increasing | ., |
| solar PV penetration level presented as 0.1 % and 1 % percentiles | 49 |
| Fig (2.18) Net LDCs curves for the different solar power penetration scenarios | 50 |
| Chapter 3 | 20 |
| Fig (3.1) Effect of generator addition on reliability curve | 63 |
| Fig (3.2) Development of the wind generation and load factor for the period | 0.5 |
| (2011/2012 – 2015/2016) | 65 |
| Fig (3.3) Wind generation probability distribution 2011/2012 | 66 |
| Fig (3.4) Wind generation probability distribution 2012/2013 | 66 |
| Fig (3.5) Effect of wind power addition on LOLE curve of the Egyptian power system | |
| 2011/2012 | 68 |
| Fig (3.6) Effect of wind power addition on LOLE curve of the Egyptian power system | |
| 2012/2013 | 68 |
| Fig (3.7) Result comparison of the capacity credit methods for Zafarana wind farm for the | |
| study period (2011/2012 – 2015/2016) | 69 |
| Fig (3.8) Sensitivity of the capacity credit to the share of wind penetration | 70 |
| Fig (3.9) Sensitivity of the capacity credit to the system reliability target | 71 |
| Fig (3.10) Effect of solar power addition on LOLE curve of the Egyptian power system | |
| 2015/2016 | 71 |
| Chapter 4 | |
| Fig (4.1) Example of operating reserve classification | 74 |
| Fig (4.2) Typical power system response to the loss of large generation unit | 76 |
| Fig (4.3) Actual vs. 4-hour persistence forecast for typical daily wind generation profile | 80 |
| Fig (4.4) Three-sigma approximation of normal distribution | 81 |

| V | |
|--|-------|
| | |
| Fig (6.10) Added dispatchable generation capacities for the study scenarios | 152 |
| Fig (6.9) Added non-hydro VRES capacities for each of the study scenarios | 151 |
| Fig (6.8) LCOE for each power generation candidate (\$/MWh) | 149 |
| capacity | 147 |
| Fig (6.7) Applied representation of RES generation patterns normalized to its installed | |
| Fig (6.6) Applied load region representation normalized to the system peak load | 146 |
| Fig (6.5) Installed capacity and energy generated by type in year 2015/2016 | 145 |
| (2015/2016 -3034/2035) | 144 |
| Fig (6.4) Evolution of system peak load and electricity generation over the period | 1 4 4 |
| Fig (6.3) Flow chart for the model solution algorithm | 143 |
| | |
| Fig (6.2) Load regions representation | 136 |
| Fig (6.1) Load duration curve | 135 |
| Chapter 6 | |
| Fig (5.15) Hourly thermal generation output per type - (group (C) -HF mix) | 128 |
| Fig (5.14) Number of starts per type of generation -group (C) | 127 |
| Fig (5.13) Hourly thermal generation output per type (group (B) -HF mix) | 126 |
| Fig (5.12) Number of starts per type of generation - group (B) | 126 |
| Fig (5.11) Hourly thermal generation output per type (group (A) -HF mix) | 124 |
| Fig (5.10) Number of starts per type of generation - group (A) | 124 |
| scenarios | 122 |
| Fig (5.9) IEEE26 system load and net load for the different renewable expansion | |
| Fig (5.8) IEEE_RTS system schematic diagram | 119 |
| Fig (5.7) Schematic diagram of typical pump storage power plant | 117 |
| piecewise linear functions Fig. (5.7) Sahamatia diagram of typical numn starage navyer plant | 114 |
| | 111 |
| Fig (5.6) Typical thermal generation unit quadratic cost function approximated by | 100 |
| Fig (5.5) Thermal power plant typical incremental cost curve | 105 |
| Fig (5.4) Thermal power plant typical input output curve | 104 |
| Fig (5.3) Power plant efficiency for different output levels | 104 |
| Fig (5.2) Classification of energy storage technologies | 99 |
| Fig (5.1) Flexibility needs, sources and enablers | 98 |
| Chapter 5 | |
| Fig (4.15) Sensitivity of the required operating reserve levels to wind forecast accuracy | 94 |
| the analytical and probabilistic methods with non-parametric representation | 93 |
| Fig (4.14) Reserve requirements for integrating 1000 MW solar power as calculated by | |
| the analytical and probabilistic methods with non-parametric representation | 93 |
| Fig (4.13) Reserve requirements for integrating 1000 MW wind power as calculated by | |
| analytical and probabilistic methods | 92 |
| Fig (4.12) Reserve requirements for integrating 1000 MW solar power as calculated by | ~ - |
| calculated by the probabilistic method | 92 |
| Fig (4.11) Required hourly operating reserve for integrating 1000 MW solar power as | ~~ |
| analytical and probabilistic methods | 91 |
| Fig (4.10) Reserve requirements for integrating 1000 MW wind power calculated by the | Ω1 |
| calculated by the probabilistic method Fig. (4.10) Posserve requirements for integrating 1000 MW wind power calculated by the | 91 |
| Fig (4.9) Required hourly operating reserve for integrating 1000 MW wind power as | Ω1 |
| calculated by the analytical method Fig. (4.0) Required bourly operating reserve for integrating 1000 MW wind power as | 88 |
| Fig (4.8) Required hourly operating reserve for integrating 1000 MW solar power as | 00 |
| calculated by the analytical method | 88 |
| Fig (4.7) Required hourly operating reserve for integrating 1000 MW wind power as | 0.0 |
| Fig (4.6) One-hour forecast vs. actual wind generation for a typical day in year 2015/2016 | 87 |
| Fig (4.5) Typical daily load curve for the Egyptian grid in year 2015/2016 | 86 |
| Fig. (4.5) Typical daily land asymptofantha Exympton anid in year 2015/2016 | 06 |

| Fig (6.11) Total installed capacities by type for the study scenarios in year 2037 | 153 |
|--|-----|
| Fig (6.12) Total generated energy by type for the study scenarios in year 2037 | 154 |
| Fig (6.13) Hourly unit commitment in year 2037 for each of the study scenarios | 155 |
| Fig (6.14) Total CO ₂ emissions for each of the study scenarios | 156 |
| Fig (6.15) Total plan cost for the study scenarios | 156 |
| Fig (6.16) Added dispatchable generation capacities for the study scenarios with PHES | 158 |
| Fig (6.17) Total installed capacities by type for the study scenarios in year 2037 with | 159 |
| PHES | 133 |
| Fig (6.18) Total generated energy by type for the study scenarios in year 2037 with PHES | 160 |
| Fig (6.19) Total CO ₂ emissions for each of the study scenarios with PHES | 161 |
| Fig (6.20) Hourly unit commitment in year 2037 for each of the study scenarios with | 162 |
| PHES | 102 |
| Fig (6.21) Total plan cost for the study scenarios with PHES | 162 |

List of Tables

| 1 able | Page |
|--|------|
| Chapter 3 | |
| Table (3.1) Capacity table for a single generation unit | 61 |
| Table (3.2) Results of the Z-method for the study period (2011/2012 – 2015/2016) | 67 |
| Table (3.3) ELCC values and capacity credit estimated using the reliability method for | 69 |
| the study period (2011/2012 – 2015/2016) | 09 |
| Chapter 5 | |
| Table (5.1) Flexibility characteristics of thermal generation units | 102 |
| Table (5.2) Typical dynamic limits for different power plant types | 103 |
| Table (5.3) Proposed generator states for classical P.L method | 110 |
| Table (5.4) FEPL generator states for 10 units' system | 111 |
| Table (5.5) IEEE_RTS bus locations for generation units | 120 |
| Table (5.6) Classification of IEEE-26 system thermal units by flexibility | 120 |
| Table (5.7) Data for case studies | 120 |
| Table (5.8) Technical and economic indicators for group (A) cases | 123 |
| Table (5.9) Technical and economic indicators for group (B) cases | 125 |
| Table (5.10) Technical and economic indicators for group (C) cases | 126 |
| Table (5.11) Sensitivity analysis of the results to generators' MSG level | 128 |
| Table (5.12) Sensitivity analysis of the results to generators' ramp rate | 129 |
| Chapter 6 | |
| Table (6.1) The load regions definition for base year 2015/2016 | 146 |
| Table (6.2) Technical and economic data for candidate generation units | 148 |
| Table (6.3) Projected fossil fuel prices up to year 2037 (\$/MBTU) | 149 |
| Table (6.4) Emission factors per type of fossil fuel | 150 |
| Table (6.5) Main assumptions of the case study scenarios | 151 |
| Table (6.6) Techno-economic data for PHES generation unit | 157 |
| Table (6.7) Results of the study scenarios with/without PHES | 165 |

List of Abbreviations

AGC: Automatic Generation Control

A.G.R: Average Growth Rate

AHN: Augmented Hopfield Network

AI: Artificial Intelligence

CCS: Carbon Capture and Storage

CCGT: Combined Cycle Gas Turbine

COPT: Capacity Outage Probability Table

CSP: Concentrated Solar Power

DNI: Direct Normal Irradiance

DP: Dynamic Programming

DSM: Demand Side Management

ED: Economic Dispatch

ELCC: Effective Load Carrying Capability

EMA: Egyptian Meteorological Authority

EFOR: Equivalent Forced Outage Rates

EFP: Equivalent Firm Power

ECP: Equivalent Conventional Power

EEHC: Egyptian Electricity Holding Company

EU: European Union

EUE: Expected Unserved Energy

FEPL-MILP: Flexibility Enhanced Priority List-Mixed Integer Linear Programming

FEPL: Flexibility Enhanced Priority List

FL: Fuzzy Logic

FOR: Forced Outage Rates

GA: Genetic Algorithms

GEP: Generation Expansion Planning

GHG: Green House Gas

GHI: Global Horizontal Irradiance

GT: Gas Turbine

HF: High Flexible

HFO: Heavy Fuel Oil

HNN: Hopfield Neural Network

IEA: International Energy Agency

IEEE: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

IRENA: International Renewable Energy Agency

ISCC: Integrated Solar Combined Cycle Power Plant

LCC: Load Carrying Capability

LCOE: Levelized Cost of Electricity

LDC: Load Duration Curve

LF: Low Flexible

LFO: Light Fuel Oil

LOLH: Loss of Load Hours

LOLE: Loss Of Load Expectation

LOLP: Loss Of Load Probability

LT: Long Term

MF: Medium Flexible

MILP: Mixed Integer Linear Programming

MLE: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

MLL: Minimum Load Level

MOERE: Ministry of Electricity and RES

MOP: Ministry of Petroleum

MSG: Minimum Stable Generation

NASA: National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NECC: National Electricity Control Center

NEM: National Electricity Market

NG: Natural Gas

Non-VRES: Non-variable RES

NREA: New and Renewable Energy Authority NREA

NREL: National Renewable Energy Laboratory

NWP: Numerical Weather Prediction

OC: Operation Cost

O&M: Operation and Maintenance

PHES: Pumped Hydro Energy Storage

PJM: Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland

PL: Priority List

PMF: Probability Mass Function

PV: Photovoltaic

PDA: Probabilistic Dynamic Approach

PSO: Particle Swarm Optimization

RES: Renewable Energy Resources

R.M: Reserve Margin

SA: Simulated Annealing

SCUC: Security Constrained Unit Commitment

SoDa: Solar Radiation Data

SQP: Sequential Quadratic Programming

TARES: Technical Assistance to Support the Reform of the Energy Sector

TS: Tabu Search

TSC: Total System Cost

UC: Unit Commitment

UCC: Unit Construction and Commitment

UCR: Unit Commitment Risk

USA: United States of America

VRES: Variable Renewable Energy Resources

VG: Variable Generation