

# EVALUATION OF SERUM PHENYL LACTATE AS A DIAGNOSTIC TEST OF NON ALCOHOLIC FATTY LIVER DISEASE IN EGYPTIAN PATIENTS

#### Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree In Internal Medicine

By

Ahmed Elsayed Mohamed Badawy (M.B.,B.Ch.)

#### Supervised by

#### Prof. Dr. Tarek Mohamed Youssef

Professor of Gastroenterology & Internal Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Ahmed Mohamed El Ghandour

Lecturer of Gastroenterology& Internal Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain shams University

#### **Dr. Mohamed Magdy Salama**

Lecturer of Gastroenterology& Internal Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2019

## Acknowledgement

First thanks to **ALLAH** to whom I relate any success in achieving any work in my life.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr Tarek Mohamed Youssef,.** Professor of Gastroenterology & Internal Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr.** Ahmed Mohamed El Ghandour, Lecturer of Gastroenterology & Internal Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Mohamed Magdy Salama Lecturer** of Gastroenterology& Internal Medicine
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his great
help, outstanding support, active participation and
guidance.

Ahmed Elsayed Mohamed

### Dedication

Special thanks to my Mother and Father for their supports and love. Without their help I wouldn't be here. And also, my lovely wife and sister

#### **List of Contents**

	Title		Page	
•	List of Abbreviation	s	I	
•	List of Tables		IV	<i>r</i>
•	List of Figures		VI	Ί
•	Introduction		1	
•	Aim of the Work		5	
•	Review of Literature	•		
	- Chapter (1): No	onalcoholic	Fatty Liver	
	Disease and Nona	lcoholic Stea	tohepatitis6	
	- Chapter (2): Phen	yl Lactic Aci	d 57	7
•	Patients and Method	is	70	)
•	Results		79	)
•	Discussion		10	)5
•	Summary		11	17
•	Conclusion and Rec	ommendati	ons12	20
•	References		12	21
	Arabic Summary			_

#### **List of Abbreviations**

AASLD	American association for the study of	
	liver diseases	
ACEI	.Angiotensin converting enzyme	
	inhibitor	
<b>ALD</b>	.Alcoholic liver disease	
<b>ALT</b>	.Alanine amino transferase	
ANA	.Antinuclear antibody	
ARB	angiotensin receptor blockers	
<b>ASMA</b>	.Anti smooth muscle antibody	
AST	.Aspartate amino transferase	
BASH	.Both alcoholic and nonalcoholic	
	steatohepatitis	
BMI	.Body mass index	
<b>CAP</b>	.controlled attenuation parameter	
CASH	.chemotherapy-associated	
	steatohepatitis	
СНВ	.Chronic hepatitis B virus	
СНС	.Chronic hepatitis C virus	
CHOL	.Cholesterol	
CKD	.Chronic kidney disease	
<b>CT</b>	.computed tomography	
CVD	.Cardiovascular disease	
DASH	.Drug -associated steatohepatitis	
<b>EASD</b>	.European association for study of	
	diabetes	

EASL	European association for study of
	liver
EASO	European association for study of
	obesity
ECM	Extra Cullar Matrix
e-GFR	Estimated – glomerular filtration rate
<b>ELF</b>	European Liver Fibrosis
FBG	Fasting blood glucose
FDA	Food and drug administration
<b>FFA</b>	Free fatty acids
FLI	fatty liver index
<b>GGT</b>	Gamma glutamyl transferase
GWAS	Genome-wide association studies
на	Hyaluronic acid
HBV	Hepatitis B virus
HCV	Hepatitis C virus
HDL	Low high-density lipoprotein
Hh	Hedgehog
HIF	Hypoxia inducible factors
HSCs	Hepatic stellate cells
IFG	Impaired fasting glucose
IH	Intermittent hypoxia
IL	Interleukin
IR	Insulin resistance
LDL	Low-density lipoprotein
LSM	Liver stiffness measurement
MetS	Metabolic syndrome
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging

mRNA Messenger ribonucleic acid

**NAFLD** Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease

**NAS** NAFLD Activity Score

**NASH** Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

NFS NAFLD fibrosis score
NPV Negative predictive value
OSA Obstructive sleep apnea
P3NP Peptide of pro-collagen III

**PASH** PNPLA3-associated steatohepatitis

PHLA PHENYL LACTIC ACID
PPV Positive predictive value

**RAAS** Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone

System

**RCTs** Randomized controlled trials **SOS** Swedish obese subjects

**Simple** steatosis

**TE** Transient elastography

**TG** Triglycerides

**TIMP** Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase

**TNF** Tumor necrosis factor

**TNF-**α Tumour necrosis factor-alpha

TZD Thiazolidinediones
UDCA Ursodeoxycholic acid
US Ultrasonography

**VEGF** Vascular endothelial growth factor **WGO** World Gastroenterology Organisation

**WHO** World Health Organization

#### **List of Tables**

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (1):	Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease related definitions	
Table (2):	Regional obesity/overweight da	ta 12
Table (3):	Estimated prevalence's of NAFL and NASH	
Table (4):	Clinical identification of the metabolic syndrome	17
Table (5):	Risk factors and associated conditions for NAFLD	17
Table (6):	Protocol for a comprehensive evaluation of suspected NAFLD patients	
Table (7):	NASH Clinical Research Network histological scoring system	
Table (8):	Elements of a comprehensive lifestyle approach to NAFLD treatment	43
Table (9):	Summary for treatement option NAFLD, NASH	
Table (10):	Summary of upcoming drugs	55
Table (11):	HPLC elution profile program	75
Table (12):	Comparison of age and gender three groups	
Table (13):	Comparison of weight & height BMI & waist in three groups	

#### **List of Tables**

Table No.	Title Page	
Table (14):	Comparison of S.GGT&S.CR&BUN in three groups	
Table (15):	Comparison of S.TG &cholesterol in three groups	
Table (16):	Comparison of CBC in three groups 88	
Table (17):	Comparison between INR in three groups	
Table (18):	Comparison between FBS in three groups	
Table (19):	Comparison between ALT & AST & Bilirubin & S. Albumin in three groups	
Table (20):	Comparison between NAFLD score & FLI & APRI in three groups	
Table (21):	Comparison between liver size in three groups	
Table (22):	As regards phenyl lactic acid there were statistically significant difference between Group I & II p-value <0.001, group I & III p-value <0.001 and group II & III <0.00197	
Table (23):	98	
Table (24):	Show ROC curve between cases and control	

#### **List of Tables**

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (25):	Show ROC curve between and group II	0 1
Table (26):	Show ROC curve between and group III	0 1
Table (27):	Show ROC curve between and Group III	-

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (1):	Estimated prevalence of obe > 25) in males aged 15+ (20	<b>5</b> (
Fig. (2):	Estimated prevalence of obe > 25) in females aged 15+ (2	• (
Fig. (3):	The "multi-hit" hypothesis for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis oxLDL, oxidized low-density lipoprotein; TLR, Toll-like re	(NASH).
Fig. (4):	Diagnostic flow-chart to ass monitor disease severity in t presence of suspected NAFL metabolic risk factors	he D and
Fig. (5):	Normal liver	39
Fig. (6):	Fatty liver (steatosis) (balloo	ning) 39
Fig. (7):	Nash	39
Fig. (8):	Nash fibrosis	40
Fig. (9):	Cirrhosis (nodularity & scar	ring) 40
Fig. (10):	Pathways and enzymes invo Phenyllactic acid production	
Fig. (11):	3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) lactate from human gut microbiome	
Fig. (12):	Gut-microbiome derived me and development of NAFLD	

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (13):	An altered gut microbiom derived metabolites can f development of hepatic so patients at risk of nonalcoliver disease (NAFLD)	acilitate the teatosis in oholic fatty
Fig. (14):	Show Calibration curve is determine area under the both stander and sample used versus the concentration of phenyl lactic acconcentration of phenyl lawithin samples	e peak for which area ration of id to get actic acid
Fig. (15):	show weight there was st significant difference betw of controlled and two gro NAFLD NASH p-value <0 there was no statistically difference between group value0.997	ween group up of .0001 and significant II&III p-
Fig. (16):	Show MBI there was state significant difference between group value 0.693	ween group up of .0001 there icant II&III p-

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (17):	show there was statistical significant difference between group value < 0.986	ween group up of .0001 and significant II&III p-
Fig. (18):	Show that there was state significant difference between of controlled and two groen NAFLD NASH p-value <0	ween group up of
Fig. (19):	Show as regards S. TRG statistically significant dibetween group of control group of NAFLD NASH p-value<0.0001	ifference led and two -
Fig. (20):	Show S. cholesterol there statistically significant di between the three groups 0.001	ifference s p-value
Fig. (21):	Show as regards PLT the statistically significant dibetween group of control group of NAFLD P-value Group of NAFLD & NASH 0.001	ifference led and ).038 and I p-value

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (22):	Show as regards AST there were	
3 ( )	statistically significant differe	
	between two group of NAFLD	
	p-value <0.001 there was no	
	statistically significant differe	nce
	between group I & II p-value	
Fig. (23):	As regards ALT there were	
_ , ,	statistically significant differe	nce
	between two group of NAFLD	% NASH
	p-value <0.001 there was no	
	statistically significant differe	nce
	between group I & II p-value	0.84392
Fig. (24):	Show as regards NAFLD score	e there
	were statistically significant	
	difference between C & NAFL	D
	groups p-value <0.001, C & N	IASH
	groups p-value 0.031 and NA	FLD &
	NASH p-value < 0.001	94
Fig. (25):	Show as regards FLI there wa	.s
	statistically significant differe	nce
	between group of controlled a	nd two
	group of NAFLD NASH p-valu	e
	< 0.001 there was no statistic	ally
	significant difference between	group
	II & III p-value 0918	95
Fig. (26):	Show as regards APRI there v	vas
	statistically significant differe	nce
	between controlled group and	-
	2 & 3 p-value<0.001	95

Figure No.	Title	Page	
Fig. (27):	Show as regards liver size there were		
<b>3</b> ( )	statistically significant diff		
	between C&NAFLD group		
	0.001, C & NASH group p-value		
	<0.001 and NAFLD & NAS	0.001 and NAFLD & NASH p-value	
	0.041	96	
Fig. (28):	Show as regards phenyl la	ctic acid	
	there were statistically sign	nificant	
	difference between Group	I & II p-	
	value <0.001, GroupI & III	p-value	
	<0.001 and group II & III $<$	0.001 97	
Fig. (29):	Show as regards Phenyl lactic acid		
	there was statistically sign	ificant	
	differences in APRI AST/PI	LT p-value	
	0.008	99	
Fig. (30):	As regards Phenyl lactic ac		
	was statistically significan		
	differences in AST p-value		
Fig. (31):	Show as regards Phenyl la		
	there was statistically sign		
	differences in ALT p-value		
Fig. (32):	Show at cut-off value for p	· ·	
	lactic acid (>24.630µg/ml)		
	sensitivity 83.3 % and spe	=	
Fir (22).	100%		
Fig. (33):	Show cut-off value for phe	· ·	
	acid between group 1 & 2	•	
	μg/ml) it has a sensitivity		
	and specificity 100	102	