

### Diabetic Retinopathy Vascular Density Maps Using Optical Coherence Topograghy Angiograghy

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Ophthalmology

By

### Norhan Amr Hassan Omran

M.B.B.ch

Supervised by

### Dr. Abd El-Rahman Gaber Salman

Professor of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

### **Dr. Yousra Ahmed Thabet Farweez**

Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

### **Dr. Passant Sayed Saif**

Lecturer of Ophthalmology Ophthalmology Department, M.U.S.T University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University Cairo-2019



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

### Acknowledgements

First of all, thanks are all due to Allah for blessing this work until it has reached its end, as part of his generous help throughout our life.

My profound thanks, respect and deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr.**Abd El-Rahman Gaber Salman Professor of Ophthalmology, Ain Shams University, for his fruitful advices and help throughout the work.

My deepest gratitude and appreciation to Ass. Prof. Dr. Yousra Ahmed Thabet Farweez Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology, Ain Shams University, for her fruitful assistance and valuable suggestions throughout the work.

Special thanks to **Dr. Passant Sayed Saif**, Lecturer of Ophthalmology, M.U.S.T University for her help and cooperation, without which this work could not be possible

Words cannot express how indebted I am to my **Dearest** family, specially mam and dad for their continuous support and prayer for me throughout my life.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Norhan Amr

## List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	5
List of Figures	9
List of Abbreviations	17
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	21
Review of Literature	
Anatomy of The Retina	22
Diabetic Retinopathy	31
OCT and OCTA for DR	58
Patients and Methods	81
Results	88
Discussion	123
Summary	131
Conclusion	137
Recommendations	138
References	139
Arabic Summary	

## List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	BCVA expressed by LogMAR, I and Snellen Equivalent	
<b>Table (2):</b>	Demographic data	88
Table (3):	Comparison group 1 (normal indiversity group 2 (diabetic patients without cretinopathy), group 3 (diabetic patients)	riduals), diabetic patients diabetic patients athy) as
<b>Table (4):</b>	Post Hoc test displaying not comparisons within groups resulting to LOG MAR, age & IOP	nultiple garding
<b>Table (5):</b>	Comparison group 1 (normal individual group 2 (diabetic patients of diabetic retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic patients) with proliferative diabetic retinopathy regard foveal thickness (µm)	without diabetic diabetic patients athy) as
Table (6):	Comparison group 1 (normal individual group 2 (diabetic patients of diabetic retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic patients) with proliferative diabetic retinopates and superior para foveal thickness, nas foveal thickness & temporal para thickness	without diabetic diabetic patients athy) as ickness, sal para a foveal

## List of Tables Cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (7):	Comparison group 1 (normal indivi- group 2 (diabetic patients vi- diabetic retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic pi- with proliferative diabetic pi-with p	without liabetic liabetic patients thy) as ckness, nasal
<b>Table (8):</b>	Post Hoc test displaying me comparisons within groups regularized superior choroidal thickness, in thickness & tempo choroidal thickness	garding inferior oroidal
Table (9):	Comparison group 1 (normal indiversity group 2 (diabetic patients without of retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic patients with non-proliferative diabetic retines a group 4 (diabetic patients proliferative diabetic retinopathy) as FAZ area, superior retinal density, retinal density, nasal retinal density.	diabetic patients opathy) s with sregard inferior asity &
Table (10):	Post Hoc test displaying m comparisons within groups regarding area, superior retinal density, retinal density, Nasal density & tedensity.	nultiple ng FAZ inferior emporal

## List of Tables Cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (11):	Comparison group1 (normal individual group 2 (diabetic patients without diretinopathy), group 3 (diabetic patients), group 3 (diabetic patient), group 4 (diabetic patient	iabetic atients iabetic atients hy) as nferior lensity
Table (12):	Post Hoc test displaying more comparisons within groups regards superior choroidal density, in choroidal density, nasal choroidal density	arding nferior lensity
Table (13):	Comparison group 1 (normal individual group 2 (diabetic patients without diabetic patients), group 3 (diabetic patient), group 3 (diabetic patient) & group 4 (diabetic patient) & group 5 (diabetic patient) & group 6 (diabetic patient) & group 7 (diabetic patient) & group 8 (diabetic patient) & group 8 (diabetic patient) & group 9 (di	iabetic atients iabetic atients ihy) as
Table (14):	Correlation between choroidal thic (superior, inferior, nasal and ten and choroidal density (superior, in nasal and temporal)	ckness nporal ferior,
Table (15):	•	ckness foveal nporal perior,
<b>Table (16):</b>	Foveal thickness.	112

## List of Cables Cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (17):	Diagnostic accuracy of foveal thickness differentiate between patients proliferative diabetic patients and the of studied patients and controls	with rest
Table (18):	Best cut off point for foveal thickness differentiate between patients proliferative diabetic patients and the of studied patients and controls	with rest

# List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title Page No	<b>)</b> .
Figure (1):	The peri-papillary retina shows the cellular layers from internal	. 27
Figure (2):	Non proliferative diabetic retinopathy	. 43
Figure (3):	Clinically significant macular edema	. 47
Figure (4):	Schematic of a TDOCT system	
Figure (5):	Schematic of a SDOCT system	
Figure (6):	SD OCT cross-sectional image of a normal	
C	human macula	. 62
Figure (7):	(A) A healthy eye has a convex or bowl shape to the choroidoscleral interface (red line) an even distribution of large choridal vessels in the nasal temporal plan, even continuum in choroidal vessel size (large – small from outer choroid to inner choroid).(B) An eye with nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy has an irregular, concave-convexconcave or S shape to the choroidoscleral interface (red line)	65
Figure (8):	The internal structure of choroid from the inner to the outer margin is visible, healthy fundus view with SS-OCT	. 67
Figure (9):	Normal choroidal thickness measurements	
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Microaneurysm assessment using OCT	
	angiography	. 75
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Intraretinal microcirculation and capillary	
	nonperfusion	. 77
<b>Figure</b> (12):	OCT angiogram of an eye with	
	nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR) showing an enlarged foveal	
	avascularzone (FAZ), enlarged	
	intercapillary spaces, a few	
	microaneurysms	79
	•	

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (13):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic patients non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy) group 4 (diabetic patients with proliferative retinopathy) as regard sex g	diabetic ents with athy) & liferative
Figure (14):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic patients non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy) group 4 (diabetic patients with prolification patients) as regard IOP	diabetic ents with athy) & liferative
<b>Figure</b> (15):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy) a group 4 (diabetic patient proliferative diabetic retinopathy) a age	diabetic patients nopathy) s with
Figure (16):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy) a group 4 (diabetic patient proliferative diabetic retinopathy) a mean foveal thickness	diabetic patients nopathy) s with s regard
Figure (17):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy) a group 4 (diabetic patient proliferative diabetic retinopathy) a superior para foveal thickness	diabetic patients nopathy) s with s regard

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (18):	Comparison group 1 (normal indegroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic patients proup 4 (diabetic patients with produced patients with produced thickness	t diabetic ents with eathy) & oliferative erior para
Figure (19):	Comparison group 1 (normal indegroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic patients patients), group 4 (diabetic patients with prediabetic retinopathy) as regard not foveal thickness.	t diabetic ents with eathy) & oliferative asal para
Figure (20):	Comparison group 1 (normal indegroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic patients patients), group 4 (diabetic patients with prediabetic retinopathy) as regard temporal thickness.	lividuals), t diabetic ents with eathy) & oliferative
Figure (21):	Comparison group1 (normal independent group2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group3 (diabetic patient non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy) as regard choroidal thickness	diabetic ents with eathy) & oliferative superior

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (22):	Comparison group1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non-proliferative retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic with proliferative diabetic retinopathy)	diabetic patients diabetic patients
Figure (23):	regard inferior choroidal thickness Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without	s99 viduals),
	retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non-proliferative retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic with proliferative diabetic retinopart nasal choroidal thickness	patients diabetic patients pathy) as
Figure (24):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non-proliferative retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic with proliferative diabetic retinopathy) regard temporal choroidal thickness	viduals), diabetic patients diabetic patients pathy) as
Figure (25):	Comparison group1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic	viduals), diabetic patients diabetic patients pathy) as

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (26):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non proliferative retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic with proliferative diabetic retinopard superior retinal density	diabetic patients diabetic patients athy) as
Figure (27):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic	viduals), diabetic patients diabetic patients athy) as
Figure (28):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic	viduals), diabetic patients diabetic patients athy) as
Figure (29):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic	viduals), diabetic patients diabetic patients athy) as

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (30):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non-proliferative retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic with proliferative diabetic retinopathy) regard superior choroidal density.	diabetic patients diabetic patients athy) as
Figure (31):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non-proliferative retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic with proliferative diabetic retinoparty inferior choroidal density	viduals), diabetic patients diabetic patients athy) as
Figure (32):	Comparison group1 (normal indigroup2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group3 (diabetic	viduals), diabetic patients diabetic patients athy) as
Figure (33):	Comparison group 1 (normal indigroup 2 (diabetic patients without retinopathy), group 3 (diabetic with non-proliferative retinopathy) & group 4 (diabetic with proliferative diabetic retinopathy) regard mean choroidal density	viduals), diabetic patients diabetic patients athy) as

Fig. No.	Title Pa	ge No.
Figure (34):	Comparison group 1 (normal individual group 2 (diabetic patients without diabetic patients), group 3 (diabetic patients) with non proliferative diabetic patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy regard their qualitative assessment	etic ents etic ents ) as
<b>Figure (35):</b>	Foveal thickness.	
Figure (36):	ROC Curve displaying Diagno accuracy of central thickness differentiate between patients we proliferative diabetic patients and the rof studied patients and controls	to vith rest
<b>Figure (37):</b>	Shows retinal thickness in normal eye.	115
<b>Figure (38):</b>	Shows choroidal thickness in normal ey	re115
<b>Figure (39):</b>	Shows FAZ area in normal eye	115
<b>Figure (40):</b>	Shows vessel density in the superfit	
T1 (44)	capillary plexus normal eye	
<b>Figure (41):</b>	Shows choroidal density in normal eye.	
<b>Figure (42):</b>	Shows retinal thickness in non diab	
Figure (43):	retinopathy eyeShows choroidal thickness non diab	etic
	retinopathy eye	
<b>Figure (44):</b>	Shows FAZ area non diabetic retinopa	
Figure (45):	Shows vessel density in the superfi	cial
	capillary plexus non diabetic retinopa	-
<b>Figure (46):</b>	Shows choroidal density non diab	etic
	retinopathy eye.	
<b>Figure (47):</b>	Shows retinal thickness in proliferative diabetic retinopathy eye	non 119