Levetiracetam versus phenytoin for seizure prophylaxis in patient with traumatic brain injury

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of the Master Degree in Intensive Care

By Ahmed Tharwat Abd-Elftah Ismail

M.B.B.Ch (Alexandria University, 2013)

Under Supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Adel Mikhael Fahmy Shenouda

Professor of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University.

Dr. Ayman Ibrahim Sayed Tharwat

Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University.

Dr. Rania Mahrous Aly Hussein

Lecturer of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University.

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2019



First of all, all gratitude is due to Allah almighty for blessing this work, until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous help, throughout my life.

Really I can hardly find the words to express my gratitude to **Prof. Dr.Adel Mikhael Fahmy shenouda**, Professor of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his supervision, continuous help, encouragement throughout this work and tremendous effort he has done in the meticulous revision of the whole work. It is a great honor to work under his guidance and supervision.

I would like also to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Ayman Ibrahim Sayed Tharwat**, Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his continuous directions and support throughout the whole work.

Last but not least, I dedicate this work to my family, whom without their sincere emotional support, pushing me forward this work would not have ever been completed.



Ahmed Tharwat Abd el ftah Ismail

LIST OF CONTENTS

Chapt	ter	Page
ACKN	OWLEDGMENT	i
LIST (OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST (OF TABLES	iii
LIST (OF FIGURES	v
LIST (OF ABBREVIATIONS	vi
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	AIM OF THE WORK	3
III.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
IV.	PATIENTS AND METHODS	35
V.	RESULTS	41
VI.	DISCUSSION	69
VII.	SUMMARY	81
VIII.	CONCLUSIONS	85
IX.	RECOMMENDATIONS	86
X.	REFERENCES	87
XI.	ARABIC SUMMARY	108

LIST OF TABLES

Tables		Pages
REVIEW		
Table (1):	Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS)	5
Table (2):	Criteria for classifying the severity of traumatic brain injury	5
Table (3):	Marshall's classification of CT brain findings in head trauma.	6
Table (4):	Causes of Secondary Brain Injury	7
Table (5):	The ABCDE approach with important points of treatment options	11
RESULT		
Table (1):	Comparison between the two studied groups according to demographic data	42
Table (2):	Comparison between the two studied groups according to GCS.	44
Table (3): Comparison between the two studied groups according to mode of trauma		46
Table (4):	Table (4): Comparison between the two studied groups according to CT brain finding.	
Table (5):	Table (5): Comparison between the two studied groups according to CBC on admission. 5	
Table (6):	Table (6): Comparison between the two studied groups according to electrolytes on admission.	
Table (7):	Comparison between the two studied groups according to renal function on admission	56

Table (8):	Comparison between the two studied groups according	58
	to liver function on admission	
Table (9):	Comparison between the two studied groups according	60
	to vital signs "clinical" on admission	
Table (10):	Comparison between the two studied groups according	63
	to liver function follow up	
Table (11):	Comparison between the two studied groups according	65
	to liver function follow up	
Table (12):	Comparison between the two studied groups according	67
	to incidence of seizures.	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Pages
Figure (1): Comparison between the two studied groups	s according to 42
Sex	
Figure (2): Comparison between the two studied groups	s according to 43
Age (years)	
Figure (3): Comparison between the two studied groups	s according to 45
GCS	
Figure (4): Comparison between the two studied groups	s according to 47
mode of trauma	
Figure (5): Comparison between the two studied groups	s according to 50
Ct brain finding	
Figure (6): Comparison between the two studied groups	s according to 53
CBC	
Figure (7): Comparison between the two studied groups	s according to 55
electrolytes	
Figure (8): Comparison between the two studied groups	s according to 57
renal function	
Figure (9): Comparison between the two studied groups	s according to 59
liver function	
Figure (10): Comparison between the two stu	died groups 61
according to vital signs	
Figure (11): Comparison between the two stu	died groups 64
according to SGPT	
Figure (12): Comparison between the two stu	died groups 66
according to liver function	
Figure (13): Comparison between the two stu	died groups 68
according to incidence of seizures	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACDs Anticonvulsant drugs

AEDs Antiepileptic drugs

ARDS Acute respiratory distress syndrome

AV Atrioventricular node
CBF Cerebral blood flow

CPP Cerebral perfusion pressure

CT Computed Tomography

DAI Diffuse axonal injury
DVT Deep vein thrombosis
EEG Electroencephalogram

EMEA European Agency for Evaluation of Medicinal

Products

FDA Food and Drug Administration

FFH Falling from height

GABA Gamma-aminobutyric acid

GCS Glasgow coma scale

HR Heart rate

ICH Intra crainial haemorhage

ICP Intra cranial pressure
ICU Intensive care unit
IJV Internal jugular vein

IV Intra venous

IVH Intraventricular haemorhage

LEV Levetiracetam

LMWH Low molecular weight heparin

LOC Loss of consciousness LPR Lactate pyruvate ratio

MAP Mean arterial blood pressure

MD Microdialysis

MHC Multiple haemorrhagic contusions

MOT Mode of trauma

MRI Magnetic resonant imaging

PHT Phenytoin

PN Parenteral nutrition

PTA Post traumatic amnesia
PTP Post-tetanic potentiation
PTS Post-traumatic seizures
RTAs Road traffic accidents

SA Sinoatrial node

SAH Sub arachnoid haemorhage

SBI Secondary brain insults
SDH Sub dural haemorhage

SjvO2 Jugular bulb venous oxygen saturation

TBI Traumatic brain injury
TCD Transcranial Doppler

VAP Ventilator associated pneumonia

INTRODUCTION

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a common cause of mortality and morbidity with global incidence rates ranging from 91–546 per 100, 000 population. TBI are highest in age group of 15–30 years and males are the predominant sufferers (*Shukla et al.*, *2010*).

Neurological damage after TBI is often referred to secondary injuries, including post-traumatic seizures (PTS), which has its own sequelae such as hypoxia, increased intracranial pressure (*Vespa et al.*, 2007).

PTS can be early (within 7 days of TBI) or late (more than 7 days after TBI) (*Haddad et al.*, 2012).

Post traumatic seizures may lead to worsening clinical outcomes. Moreover, these seizures could be considered as apredictor for future development of epilepsy (*So EL et al.*, 2015)

The high incidence of PTS after TBI and contribution of seizures to secondary injuries highlight the importance of preventive antiepileptic medication (*Temkin et al.*, 2005).

The Brain Trauma Foundation Guideline recommends the use of antiepileptics for 7 days to prevent early seizures in patients with risk factors associated with PTS (*Bratton et al.*, 2007).

Phenytoin (PHT) has been the drug of choice for PTS prophylaxis, Although it is documented as an effective prophylactic

agent in early PTS, it has several rare but high-profile adverse effects such as hepatic toxicity, dermatological events (i.e., Stevens-Johnson Syndrome, epidermal necrolysis), hypersensitivity syndrome, also needs close serum level monitoring, which is affected by decreased protein binding, variable gastrointestinal absorption, and increased drug clearance, to maintain a narrow therapeutic window. Considering this, an alternative prophylactic agent should be considered (*Gabriel et al.*, 2014).

Another good alternative for PTS prophylaxis is levetiracetam (LEV). It could be a good choice because of fewer side-effect profiles, neuroprotective effects, excellent bioavailability, simpler dosing, and no significant pharmacokinetic interactions (*Szaflarski et al.*, 2010)

AIM OF THE WORK

The objective of the currently designed study is to compare the efficacy of Levetiracetam versus phenytoin in the prevention of early post traumatic seizures.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Definition of TBI

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) has been defined as "an alteration in brain function, or other evidence of brain pathology, caused by an external force" (*Menon et al.*, *2010*). Alteration in brain function is defined as one of the following clinical signs: any period of loss of consciousness, any loss of memory for events immediately before (retrograde amnesia) or after the injury (post-traumatic amnesia /PTA), neurological deficits, and alteration in mental state at the time of injury e.g. confusion/ disorientation (*Menon et al.*, *2010*).

Classification of TBI

According to:

- **Mechanism of injury :**Closed; penetrating; crash; blast.
- Clinical severity: level of consciousness (Glasgow coma scale)

The GCS score comprises the values from three component tests (eye, motor, and verbal scales). Injuries are classified as severe (GCS 3–8), moderate (GCS 9–13), or mild (GCS 14–15).

Table (1): Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) (Thornhill et al., 2000)

Glasgow	Score		
	None	1	
	Extension to pain	2	
Motor regnence	Flexion to pain	3	
Motor response	Withdraws from pain	4	
	Localizes to pain	5	
	Obeys commands	6	
	None	1	
	Incomprehensible sounds	2	
Verbal response	Inappropriate words	3	
	Confused	4	
	Orientated	5	
	None	1	
Ewa ananina	To pain	2	
Eye opening	To speech	3	
	Spontaneously	4	
Maximum score	ore 15		

Table (2): Criteria for classifying the severity of traumatic brain injury.

TBI score	GCS	PTA	LOC
Mild	13-15	<1 day	30 minutes
Moderate	9-12	>1 to <7 days	>30 minutes to <24 hours
Severe	3-8	>7 days	>24 hours

GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale. PTA: post- traumatic amnesia LOC: Loss of consciousness

• Classification by Computed Tomography (CT) findings

Marshall classification (Marshall et al., 1991) utilizes the status of the mesencephalic cisterns, the degree of midline shift in millimeters, and the presence or absence of one or more surgical masses to grade CT findings as follows:

Table (3): Marshall's classification of CT brain findings in head trauma.

Category	Definition
 Diffuse injury I 	No visible intracranial pathology
 Diffuse injury II 	Cisterns present, with midline shift 0 to 5 mm;
	no high density lesion >25 ml
 Diffuse injury III 	Cisterns compressed or absent, with midline
(swelling)	shift 0 to 5 mm; no high density lesion >25 ml
■ Diffuse injury IV	Midline shift >5 mm; no high density
(shift)	lesion >25 ml
 Evacuated mass lesion 	Any lesion surgically evacuated
 Non-evacuated mass 	High density lesion >25 ml; not surgically
lesion	evacuated

Types of brain damage

Primary damage

Results from external forces, as a consequence of direct impact, rapid acceleration or deceleration, or blast waves from an explosion.

The nature and intensity of these forces determine the pattern and extent of damage. On the macroscopic level, damage includes shearing of white-matter tracts, focal contusions, haematomas and diffuse swelling (*Okie*, 2005 & Zoroya, 2007). The pathological mechanism is much less understood, but injuries are characterized by severe early brain swelling, subarachnoid haemorrhage, and often prominent vasospasm (*Taber et al.*, 2006 & Armonda et al., 2006).

• Secondary brain injuries

Secondary, intracranial brain insults include cerebral edema, hematomas, hydrocephalus, intracranial hypertension, vasospasm, metabolic derangement, infection, and seizures (*Dinsmore*, 2013 & Unterberg et al., 2004). Secondary systemic brain insults are mainly ischemic in nature, (*Dinsmore*, 2013 & Unterberg et al., 2004) such as: table (4)

Table (4): Causes of Secondary Brain Injury.

Systemic	Neurologic
Hypotension	
Hypoxia	Elevated ICP
Ischemia	Hydrocephalus
Hypercapnia	Intracranial hemorrhage
Hypocapnia	Cerebral edema
Hyperthermia	Vasospasm
Anemia	Seizures
Fluid and electrolyte	Intracranial infection
imbalance	
Acid-base alteration	
Systemic inflammatory	
disorder	
Hypoglycemia	
Hyperglycemia	