

**Effect Of Post Allogenic Stem Cell Transplant  
Cyclophosphamide On engraftment and relapse  
Compared with Other Regimen Of GVHD (graft versus  
host disease) Prophylaxis**

Thesis

*Submitted for partial fulfillment of master degree in clinical  
Haematology*

By

**Mohammed Saied Negm**  
(M.B.B.Ch)

Under supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Essam Abdelwahed Hassan**

Professor of Internal Medicine -clinical haematology and BMT.  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

**Dr. Rasha Ibrahim Ibrahim**

Assistant professor of Internal Medicine & Hematology  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

**Dr. Haydi Sayed Mohamed**

Lecturer of Internal Medicine & Hematology  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University  
2019**

# List of Contents

<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<b>List of abbreviations</b> .....	i
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	iii
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	iv
<b>Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>Aim of the Work</b> .....	6
<b>Review of Literture</b>	
<b>Chapter (1): Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation</b> .....	7
<b>Chapter (2): Complications of Bone Marrow transplantation</b> .....	29
<b>Chapter (3): Post transplant cyclophosphamide</b> .....	68
<b>Patients and Methods</b> .....	82
<b>Results</b> .....	89
<b>Discussion</b> .....	114
<b>Summary and Conclusion</b> .....	124
<b>Recommendations</b> .....	125
<b>References</b> .....	126
<b>Arabic Summary</b> .....	--

## List of abbreviations

aGvHD	: Acute graft-versus-host disease
AIDS	: Acquired immune-deficiency syndrome
AML	: Acute myeloid leukemia
APC	: Antigen-presenting cells
ATG	: Anti-thymocyte globulin
BLPD	: B-cell lymph proliferative disease
BM	: Bone marrow
BMI	: Body mass index
BU	: Busulphan
CAMs	: Cell adhesion molecules
CCI	: Charlson Comorbidity Index
CD	: Cluster of Differentiation
cGVHD	: Chronic graft-versus-host disease
CLL	: Chronic lymphocytic leukemia
CML	: Chronic myelocytic leukemia
CMV	: Cytomegalovirus
CRP	: C-reactive protein
CSA	: Cyclosporine A
CT	: Computerized tomography
CTLs	: Cytotoxic T lymphocytes
DMSO	: Dimethyl sulfoxide
EBV	: Epstein–Barr virus
ECOG	: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
FHCRC	: Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center
G-CSF	: Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor
GvHD	: Graft-versus-host disease
HSCT	: Hematopoietic cell transplantation
HSCT-CI	: Hematopoietic cell transplantation-specific comorbidity index
HL	: Hodgkin lymphoma
HLA	: Human leucocyte antigen
HSCs	: Hematopoietic stem cells
HSCT	: Hematopoietic stem cells Transplantation

## List of abbreviations *(Cont ..)*

Ig	: Immunoglobulin
IL	: Interleukin
IPA	: Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis
KPS	: Karnofsky Performance Score
MDACC	: MD Anderson Cancer Center
MDS	: Myelodysplasia
MDS	: Myelodysplastic syndrome
MM	: Multiple myeloma
MSC	: Mesenchymal stromal cells
MTX	: Methotrexate
NHL	: Non Hodgkin lymphoma
NK	: Natural killer
NMA	: Non-myeloablative
NRM	: Non-relapse mortality
OS	: Overall survival
PBSC	: Peripheral blood stem cells
PCP	: Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia
PCR	: Polymerase chain reaction
PFTs	: Pulmonary function tests
PTLD	: Post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorder
RBCs	: Red blood cells
RCTs	: Randomized controlled trials
RIC	: Reduced-intensity conditioning
RSV	: Respiratory syncytial virus
SDF1	: Stromal-derived factor 1
SF	: Serum ferritin
TBI	: Total body irradiation
TCD	: T- cell depletion
TRM	: Treatment-related mortality
UCB	: Umbilical UCB
US	: United States
VCAM-1	: Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1
VOD	: Veno-occlusive disease
VZV	: Varicella zoster virus

## List of Tables

Table. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Indications of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation .....	11
<b>Table (2):</b>	Screening Guidelines for Common Cancers After Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation .....	66
<b>Table (3):</b>	Comparison between group I and group II regarding age and sex of the studied cases .....	89
<b>Table (4):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard patient diagnosis .....	91
<b>Table (5):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard CMV status of donors and recipients .....	93
<b>Table (6):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard neutrophil engraftment .....	96
<b>Table (7):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard acute GVHD and chronic GVHD .....	98
<b>Table (8):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard CMV reactivation .....	100
<b>Table (9):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard relapse, mortality and non relapse mortality .....	102
<b>Table (10):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard overall survival .....	103
<b>Table (11):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard disease free survival .....	105
<b>Table (12):</b>	Relation of overall survival (months) with reactivation CMV, GVHD and engraftment in group I .....	107
<b>Table (13):</b>	Relation of disease free survival (months) with reactivation CMV and GVHD in group I .....	110
<b>Table (14):</b>	Relation of overall survival (months) with reactivation CMV, GVHD and engraftment in group II .....	112
<b>Table (15):</b>	Relation of disease free survival (months) with reactivation CMV and acute GVHD in group II .....	113

## List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (1):</b>	Cellular content of the stem cell transplant and fate of long- and short-lived cell lineages in the recipient.....	16
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Steps of stem cell engraftment .....	22
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Immune recovery after stem cell transplantation .....	27
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Infectious complications occurring after allogenic HSCT .....	37
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Clinical manifestations of chronic GvHD .....	62
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Age group differences in both groups .....	90
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Sex differences in both groups .....	90
<b>Figure (8):</b>	Patint classification in both groups as regard diagnosis.....	92
<b>Figure (9):</b>	Patient CMV status in both groups .....	94
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard HLA typing .....	94
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Donors as regard CMV status in both groups .....	95
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Engraftment results in both groups .....	97
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Day of engraftment of both groups .....	97
<b>Figure (14):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard platlet recovery.....	98
<b>Figure (15):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard chronic GVHD .....	99
<b>Figure (16):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard acute GVHD .....	100
<b>Figure (17):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard acute GVHD grading .....	101
<b>Figure (18):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard CMV reactivation.....	101
<b>Figure (19):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard relapse rate, mortality and non relapse mortality .....	102
<b>Figure (20):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard overall survival.....	104
<b>Figure (21):</b>	Comparison between both groups as regard disease free survival .....	106
<b>Figure (22):</b>	Relation between chronic GVHD and overall survival in Group 1 .....	108

## List of Figures (Cont ..)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (23):</b>	Relation between engraftment and overall survival in group 1 .....	109
<b>Figure (24):</b>	Relation between chronic GVHD and disease free survival in group 1 .....	111

## **Introduction**

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is a potentially curative therapy for many malignant and nonmalignant hematological disorders (*Appelbaum FR et al., 2004*).

Although HLA-identical siblings or HLA-matched unrelated donors (MUD) are considered the ideal sources of hematopoietic stem cells, many patients lack timely access to a suitable matched donor, especially in the context of highly aggressive disease. A promising alternative stem cell source is the HLA-haploidentical mismatched family donor who is readily available for nearly all patients (*Reisner Y et al., 2011*).

Despite the fact that outcomes for patients receiving reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) allogeneic matched related or unrelated donor HSCT have continued to improve over time owing to improvements in transplantation techniques and supportive care, graft versus host disease (GvHD) remains a major source of post-transplantation morbidity and mortality. Although advances in immunosuppressive regimens have had some impact on the incidence and severity of acute GvHD, they have had little



impact on the incidence and severity of chronic GvHD (*Chao NJ et al., 2000*).

In fact, chronic GvHD has become one of the most common and clinically significant problems affecting long-term HSCT survivors, occurring in up to 70% of patients surviving more than 100 days post-transplantation. Management of chronic GvHD remains a major challenge, and has become a significant health problem in HSCT survivors with the increasing use of mobilized peripheral blood stem cells (*Flowers ME et al., 2002*).

The combination of calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs), such as tacrolimus or cyclosporine, with methotrexate was developed more than 3 decades ago and remains the most common regimen used for GvHD prophylaxis. Although CNI-based immunosuppression has resulted in satisfactory rates of acute GVHD and survival outcomes, these regimens are not uniformly effective, and many patients are still dying from GvHD and related complications. Furthermore, these regimens are associated with considerable toxicity (*Edinger M et al., 2003*).

Nephrotoxicity is the most common and clinically significant adverse effect of cyclosporine. The renal effects

of cyclosporine can manifest as acute azotemia, tubular dysfunction, or as chronic progressive renal disease that is irreversible (*Williams D et al., 2005*).

Hypertension caused by renal vasoconstriction and sodium retention, is generally seen within the first few weeks of therapy. The blood pressure elevation induced by cyclosporine frequently responds to dose reduction (*Taler Sj et al., 1999*).

Neurologic side effects have been reported in patients being treated with cyclosporine with symptoms such as headaches and visual abnormalities, resembling hypertensive encephalopathy. Psychosis, seizures, anxiety and sleep disturbances have been documented but are rare. Other potential side effects of cyclosporine include gastrointestinal symptoms such as anorexia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea and abdominal discomfort. Thomson Micromedex Healthcare Series. Subsequent strategies focusing on stringent ex vivo T cell depletion of the graft, often coupled with intensive preparative regimens, proved effective in preventing GvHD but at the expense of delayed immune reconstitution and high rate of non-relapse mortality (NRM). In this setting, cell-based strategies to increase post-transplantation immune

recovery could efficiently decrease infectious mortality but are, at present, difficult to perform outside highly specialized centers (*Handgretinger R et al., 2012*).

In recent years, several groups have devised successful approaches to perform T cell-replete transplantation, even in the full haplotype-mismatched setting. Among other approaches, that has gained the most interest has been the use of high-dose post-transplantation cyclophosphamide (PTCy) as in vivo T cell allo-depleting agent (*Peccatori J et al., 2015*).

This approach has demonstrated promising results, including acceptable rates of NRM and severe GVHD in single- and multi-institution phase II trials and achieving outcomes equivalent to those of HSCT performed using HLA-identical donors or MUD (*Bashey A et al., 2014*).

Cyclophosphamide has been used in many combinations in BMT for its antitumor and immunosuppressive properties. Uses of high-dose cyclophosphamide in the post-transplantation setting has successfully modulated GvHD in preclinical models, as well as in a variety of clinical trials using HLA-matched and

haplo-identical donors, mostly in the adult population (*Kanakry CG et al., 2014*).

High-dose post-transplantation cyclophosphamide (PTCy) targets alloreactive donor T cells that are highly proliferative early after BMT, thus minimizing the risk of severe GvHD, while still enabling survival of resting memory T cells that can offer protection against infection and aGVL effect (*Ganguly S et al., 2014*).

Promising clinical trial data using PTCy with or without additional immunosuppressive agents have been demonstrated in HLA-matched related, unrelated, and haploidentical transplantation settings (*McCurdy S et al., 2015*).

PTCy has been incorporated after myeloablative regimens, as well as after reduced-intensity regimens for both malignant and nonmalignant disorders. Prior reports demonstrated the safety and feasibility of PTCy as single-agent GVHD prophylaxis after myeloablative HLA matched T cell replete BMT in adults, with rates of GvHD similar to that of HLA-matched BMT with conventional immunosuppression, including a calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) and methotrexate (*Peccatori J et al., 2015*).

## **Aim of the Work**

The aim of this study is to The assess clinical outcome of using post transplant cyclophosphamide as GvHD prophylaxis in allogeneic stem cell transplant as regard engraftment and relapse .

## **Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation**

HSCT was started more than half a century ago as a standard therapeutic modality for a variety of malignant and non-malignant diseases, it has evolved from experimental bone marrow transplantation for rare cases with refractory acute leukemia, combined immune deficiency, or aplastic anemia to standard of care for patients with many congenital or acquired severe disorders of the hematopoietic system (*Gratwohl et al 2013*).

A Danish investigator, Capricious – Moeller, noted that when the legs of guinea pigs were shielded during exposure to total body irradiation (TBI), the usual depression of platelet counts and post irradiation hemorrhagic diathesis were prevented. These important observations were largely ignored or forgotten for 25 years, but in 1951, Jacobson and colleagues re-discovered these observations. They reported that mice exposed to doses of radiation that caused fatal marrow aplasia could be protected from death by shielding of the spleen, a hematopoietic organ in the mouse. With remarkable insight they also showed that protection from lethal effects could be accomplished by the intra-peritoneal

injection of spleen cells following TBI (*Schmitz, Pfistner and Sextro, 2002*).

The attempts for BMT have been reported back to 1894, with the first successful allogenic bone marrow transplant done worldwide, using HLA identical sibling (*Simultaneous transplants done in Minneapolis by Robert Good, et al., 1968*) (*Thomas, Buckner, Clift, 2009*).

In 1988, successful transplantation occurred in a young boy with Fanconi anemia using UCB collected at the birth of his sibling. In 1992 a patient was successfully transplanted with UCB instead of BM for treatment of leukemia. Over the past decade, the use UCB has expanded rapidly, and now more than 1000 transplants have been performed using UCB as a stem cell source, UCB has been used to transplant in patients for whom BM can't be used (*Theodore, 2005*).

In addition to BM and UCB, PBSCs have gained popularity as source of stem cells since their initial introduction in 1980s (*Theodore, 2005*).