Impact of Extracoronary Calcification and Pericardial Fat Volume on Extent of Coronary Artery Disease in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of MD degree in cardiology

By

Samir Mohamed Hassan Sorour, M.Sc.

Assistant Researcher of Cardiology

National Research Center

Under supervision of

Azza Abdel Moniem Farrag, MD

Professor of Cardiology

Cairo University

Hala Mohamed Reslan, M.D

Sameh Wadie Bakhoum, M.D

Professor of Internal Medicine

Assisstant Professor of Cardiology

National Research Center

Cairo University

Mohamad Ali Salem, MD

Lecturer of Radiology

Cairo University

Cairo University

2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I thank **God** for helping and guiding me in accomplishing this work.

I would like to express my gratitude and deepest thanks to *Prof. Dr. Azza Farrag*, Prof. of Cardiology, Cairo University, for her supervision, valuable remarks, advices and continuous encouragement throughout this work.

I am greatly indebted to *Prof. Dr. Hala Mohamed Raslan*, Prof. of Medicine, National Research Center, for her meticulous supervision, superfluous advices and sincere help.

I must extend my warmest gratitude to *Dr. Sameh Bakhoum*, Ass. Professor of Cardiology, Cairo University, for his continuous support, meticulous supervision, great valuable remarks, encouragement and assistance until this work was fulfilled.

I would like to express my deepest thanks to *Dr. Mohamad Ali Salem*, Lecturer of Radiology, Cairo University, for his sincere help, valuable remarks and advices throughout this work.

My gratitude goes also for *Dr. Ashraf Elsherbiny*, Ass. Professor of Medicine, National Research Center, for his suggestions, advices and encouragement.

Finally, I would like to express my special thanks and gratitude to my family for their continuous support and encouragement.

Abstract

Background: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) isnowconsidered a coronary artery disease (CAD) equivalent. We hypothesized that coronary artery calcium score (CACS), extracoronary calcium score (ECCS) and pericardial fat volume (PFV) may represent useful additional markers for risk assessment in diabetic patients.

Methods: This prospective study was conducted on 1032 patients. They included 402 patients with T2DM. Using multidetector computed tomography (MDCT), we assessed PFV, CACS and ECCS. The severity of CAD was quantified using the Gensini Score. Correlation between CACS, ECCS and PFV and severity of CAD was studied.

Results: Diabetic patients with ECCS > 0 in comparison with diabetic patients with ECCS=0 had higher CACS (163 ± 239 vs. 72 ± 176 , p< 0.000), higher Gensini score (22.3 ± 31.6 vs. 9.7 ± 16.3 , p< 0.000) and higherprevalence of patients with Gensini score > 0 (p< 0.000). There was a significant linear correlation between ECCS and Gensini score (r=0.328, p<0.001). Comparing diabetic patients with PFV ≥ 100 cm³ with diabetic patients with PFV < 100 revealed that prevalence of patients with Gensini score>0 was not significantly higher in patients with PFV ≥ 100 cm³ (p = 0.205). Gensini score also was not significantly different between the 2 groups (13.9 ± 25.1 vs. 12.8 ± 21.3 , p = 0.370). Logistic regression analysis was applied to detect possible association between age, male gender, hypertension, diabetes mellitus duration, CACS, ECCS, PFV and Gensini score >0. Male gender (OR = 3.021, p = 0.007, 95% CI: 1.352-6.750), and CACS (OR=1.021, P< 0.000, 95% CI: 1.011-1.031) were the only independent predictors of Gensini score > 0.

Conclusion: In T2DM, neither ECCS > 0 nor PFV≥ 100 cm³were predictors of Gensini score>0. Male gender and CACS were independent predictors of Gensini>0

List of contents

		Page
•	Abbreviations	I
•	List of tables	IV
•	List of figures	V
•	Introduction	1
•	Aim of work	3
•	Literature review	
	Chapter 1: Coronary artery calcification	4
	Chapter 2: Extracoronary calcification	16
	Chapter 3: Pericardial fat volume	37
•	Methods	55
•	Results	62
•	Discussion	75
•	Summary	87
•	References	90
•	Appendix 1: Master table	121
•	Appendix 2: coding for master table	139
•	Arabic summary	

Abbreviations

AAC Ascending aorta calcium

AC Aortic calcification

ACE Angiotensin converting enzyme

AD Aortic distensibility

ADVANCE Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and

Diamicron Modified Release Controlled Evaluation

ARC Aortic root calcification
ATP Adult treatment panel

AU Agatston units

AVC Aortic valve calcium
AVF Abdominal visceral fat
AVS Aortic valve sclerosis
AWT Aortic wall thickness
BMI Body mass index

BMP Bone morphogenic proteins
CABG Coronary artery bypass grafting
CAC Coronary artery calcification
CACS Coronary artery calcium score

CAD Coronary artery disease

CAG Conventional coronary angiography
CAP Calcified atherosclerotic plaque

CHD Coronary heart disease CKD Chronic kidney disease

CMR Cardiovascular magnetic resonance

CRP C reactive protein

CT Computed tomography

CTCA Computed tomographic coronary angiography

CVD Cardiovascular disease
CVE Cardiovascular events
DAC Descending aorta calcium
DHS Diabetes Heart Study
DM Diabetes mellitus

EAT Epicardial adipose tissue

EBCT Electron beam computed tomography

ECCS Extracoronary calcium score ESRD End-stage renal disease

EVASCAN Medical Evaluation of Scanner in Coronary Syndrome

FFAs Free fatty acids

FPG Fasting plasma glucose
FRS Framingham Risk Score
HDL High density lipoprotein

HOMA-IR Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance

HU Hounsfield units HVC Heart valve calcium

ICAM Intercellular adhesion molecule IDF International diabetes federation

IFG Impaired fasting glucose

IL-6 Interleukin-6

LDCT Low-dose ungated chest CT
LDL Low density lipoprotein
MAC Mitral annulus calcification

MACE Major adverse cardiovascular events
MCAP Mixed coronary atherosclerotic plaque
MCP-1 Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1
MDCT Multidetector computed tomography
MESA Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis

MetS Metabolic syndrome
MI Myocardial infarction

MPS Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy

NDR National Diabetes Register

NCAP Noncalcified coronary atherosclorotic plaque

NFG Normal fasting glucose

PAI-1 Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1
PDHS The Penn Diabetes Heart Study
PET Positron emission tomography

PF Pericardial fat

PFT Pericardial fat thickness
PFV Pericardial fat volume

PREDICT Prospective Evaluation of Diabetic Ischaemic Disease

by Computed Tomography

ROS Reactive oxygen species

RV Right ventricle

SEAS Simvastatin and Ezetimibe in Aortic Stenosis

SIS Segment involvement score

SPECT Single-photon emission computed tomography

sPLA2-IIA Secretory type II phospholipase A2

SS Shear stress

SSS Segment stenosis score
T2DM Type 2 diabetes mellitus
TAC Thoracic aortic calcification
TFV Intrathoracic fat volume
TNF-α Tumor necrosis factor alpha

UKPDS United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study

VADT Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial

VAT Visceral abdominal fat VC Valve calcification

VPF Pericardial fat volume indexed by body surface area

VSMCs Vascular smooth muscle cells

WC Waist circumference
WHR Waist to Hip ratio
WHtR Waist to Height ratio

List of tables

Page	e
Table 1 Risk factors for coronary calcification. 6	
Table 2 Associations between novel cardiovascular risk factors and CACS7	
Table 3 Multiple regression analysis identifying the independent risk factor associated with mean PF thickness. 46	
Table 4 Demographic and clinical characteristics of diabetic and non diabeti patients	
Table 5 Multidetector computed tomography data of the studied population64	4
Table 6 Demographic and clinical characteristics within the diabetic group65	5
Table 7 MDCT findings comparison between diabetic patients with ECCS > 0 and diabetic patients with ECCS = 0	
Table 8 Correlation between DM duration and different parameters	5
Table 9 Comparison of demographic data and clinical characteristics of diabeti patients with PFV $\geq 100 \text{ cm}^3$ and patients with PFV $< 100 \text{ cm}^3$ 70	
Table 10 Comparison of MDCT findings in diabetic patients with PFV ≥ 100 and patients with PFV < 100	
Table 11 linear correlation of CACS, ECCS and PFV with Gensini score	2
Table 12 Regression analysis for variables assessment in diabetic patients to predict Gensini score >0. 74	

List of figures

Page
Figure 1 The prevalence and extent of calcified plaque in coronary arteries in asymptomatic patients < 40 years of age according to absence and presence of diabetes
Figure 2 The relationship between the extent of coronary calcification and the prevalence/severity of myocardial perfusion abnormality
Figure 3 Cardiovascular mortality with higher CAC scores in the DHS cohort compared with CAC score <10 in a full model
Figure 4 Hazard ratios for effect of glycemic treatment (intensive vs. standard) in multivariable-adjusted models
Figure 5 The odds ratio for the presence of mitral annular calcification based on CAC Agatston score category
Figure 6 Combined end point (events), coronary events, and all-cause mortality in patients with and without valve calcification
Figure 7 Cumulative incidence of incident CVD, CVD death, and all-cause death in up to 15 years of follow-up in patients with MAC21
Figure 8 Regional and local forces affect endothelial alignment in aortic valve23
Figure 9 Prevalence of AVC by diabetes mellitus, Metabolic syndrome, or neither condition, by gender
Figure 10 Cardiovascular and coronary events in patients with and without aortic valve calcifications
Figure 11 Cumulative survival for those with or without AVC
Figure 12 Multi slice computed tomography showing calcification of aorta32
Figure 13 Kaplan–Meyer survival curves for all CHD events with TAC34

Figure 14	Cumulative survival for subjects with or without thoracic aortic calcification	5
Figure 15	Pericardial fat and intrathoracic fat in an axial image	8
Figure 16	Hypothetical mechanisms whereby pericardial adipokines might play a role in coronary atherogenesis	
Figure 17	Pericardial fat in normal fasting glucose and impaired fasting glucose subjects.	4
Figure 18	Association between PF thickness and severity of coronaryMartery disease	7
Figure 19	Comparison of PF thickness according to the presence of the metabolic syndrome (MS) and coronary artery disease	
Figure 20	Major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) prediction using Framingham Risk Score and coronary calcium score and pericardial fat volume	
Figure 21	Relationship between the stenosis score and the indexed volume of pericardial adipose tissue	1
Figure 22	Mean values of PFT in normal (control) and CAD groups5	2
Figure 23	Sensetivity and specificity for coronary artery calcium and pericardial fat as predictors of ischemia	4
Figure 24	Schematic drawing of the Gensini score	9
Figure 25	Measurement of pericardial fat volume by computed tomography60)
Figure 26	Correlation between DM duration and ECCS, CACS and Gensini score	7
Figure 27	Correlation between PFV and BMI6	8
Figure 28	Linear correlation between CACS and Gensini score72	2
Figure 29	Linear correlation between ECCS and Gensini score	3

Introduction