

# **Role of laparoscopy in abdominal Trauma Patients**

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree  
in General Surgery

Presented by  
**Mina Romany Zarif Tawfeek**  
(*M.B.B.Ch*)

Under Supervision of  
**Prof. Dr/ Ashraf Omar Mahmoud Ali**  
*Professor of General Surgery*  
*Faculty of Medicine*  
*Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Dr/ Mohamed Abd El Monem Abd El Salam Rizk**  
*Associate professor of Vascular and General Surgery*  
*Faculty of Medicine*  
*Ain Shams University*

Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University

**2019**

## Acknowledgements

*First, thanks are all directed to **ALLAH**, for blessing this work until it has reached its end, as a part of generous help throughout my life.*

*It is with immense gratitude that I acknowledge the support and help of **Prof. Dr./ Ashraf Omar Mahmoud Ali**, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision guidance, effort and great support during various phases of the study. I really have the honor to complete this work under his supervision.*

*I am profoundly grateful to **Prof. Dr./ Mohamed Abd El Monem Abd El Salam Rizk**, Associate professor of Vascular and General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his faithful guidance and the efforts and time he has devoted to accomplish this work.*

*Last but not the least, I would like to thank my dear **Parents** and my beloved supportive **Wife** who encouraged me spiritually throughout writing this thesis and guided me throughout my life. Without their kind help and support this work could not have come to completion.*

 **Mina Romany Zarif Tawfeek**

## Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations.....	i
List of Tables .....	iii
List of Figures .....	v
Introduction .....	1
Aim of the Study .....	4
Review of Literature	
Anatomy of the Abdomen .....	5
Abdominal Trauma .....	16
Role of laparoscopy in abdominal trauma .....	44
Patients and Methods.....	81
Results.....	88
Discussion .....	113
Summary .....	127
Conclusion .....	129
References .....	130
Arabic Summary .....	—

---

## List of Abbreviations

Abbrev.	Full-term
<b>ATLS</b>	: Advanced trauma life support
<b>BAT</b>	: Blunt abdominal trauma
<b>B-HCG</b>	: B-human chorionic gonadotropin
<b>CCR</b>	: Cell count ratio
<b>CT</b>	: Computed tomography
<b>DL</b>	: Diagnostic laparoscopy
<b>DPL</b>	: Diagnostic peritoneal lavage
<b>DTI</b>	: Direct trocar insertion
<b>EL</b>	: Exploratory laparotomy
<b>FAST</b>	: Focus abdominal sonography for trauma
<b>Fig.</b>	: Figure
<b>GCS</b>	: Glasgow coma scale
<b>GI</b>	: Gastro-intestinal
<b>GSW</b>	: Gunshot wound
<b>GUT</b>	: Genitourinary tract
<b>HVI</b>	: Hollow viscus injury
<b>IVC</b>	: Inferior vena cava
<b>LAA</b>	: Laparoscopic assisted
<b>LOS</b>	: Length of stay
<b>MVA</b>	: Motor vehicle accident
<b>NOM</b>	: Non operative management

<b>OIS</b>	: Organ injury scaling
<b>PAT</b>	: Penetrating abdominal trauma
<b>PE</b>	: Plain erect
<b>RPH</b>	: Retro-peritoneal hematoma
<b>SE</b>	: Subcutaneous emphysema
<b>SSI</b>	: Surgical site infection
<b>TL</b>	: Trauma laparoscopy
<b>US</b>	: Ultrasonography
<b>VGE</b>	: Venous gas embolism
<b>VN</b>	: Veress needle
<b>WBCs/RBCs Ratio</b>	: White blood cells / Red blood cells ratio

## List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1) :</b>	usually injured organs according to the region of trauma. ....	23
<b>Table (2):</b>	Injury incidence of different organs with associated organ injuries .....	27
<b>Table (3):</b>	options for evaluation in abdominal trauma .....	46
<b>Table (4):</b>	Causes for conversion and number of converted patients .....	79
<b>Table (5) :</b>	Sex distribution of the patients included in the study. ....	88
<b>Table (6):</b>	Age distribution and age range of the patients included in our study. ....	89
<b>Table (7):</b>	Distribution of Patients into two age groups. ....	89
<b>Table (8):</b>	Distribution of patients according to the type of affecting trauma. ....	90
<b>Table (9):</b>	Distribution of penetrating trauma patients according to the site of injury . ....	91
<b>Table (10):</b>	Classification of patients according to the laparoscopic findings and method used for repair.....	93
<b>Table (11):</b>	Classification of patients according to laparoscopic findings in relation to the type of affecting trauma. ....	99
<b>Table (12):</b>	Classification of patients according to the number of affected organs. ....	99

<b>Table (13):</b> Classification of patients according to number of affected organs in relation to the type of trauma. ....	100
<b>Table (14):</b> Percentage of each organ affection in the patients included in the study.....	101

## List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (1):</b>	Quadrants of the abdomen .....	7
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Regions of the abdomen.....	9
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Classification of the abdominal organs in relation to the peritoneum .....	12
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Zones of retroperitoneal hematoma .....	15
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Seat Belt sign .....	21
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Grey turner & cullen signs.....	21
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Splenic trauma.....	30
<b>Figure (8):</b>	Diagnostic peritoneal lavage.....	40
<b>Figure (9):</b>	Stab injury to the small bowel detected through diagnostic laparoscopy. ....	48
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Blunt abdominal trauma with mesenteric tear diagnosed and repaired laparoscopically. ....	50
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Algorithm for management of PAT.....	52
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Possible sites for port insertion.....	58
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Three-port technique .....	60
<b>Figure (14):</b>	Liver tear and diaphragmatic tear detected laparoscopically .....	65
<b>Figure (15):</b>	Summary of complications related to trauma laparoscopy .....	77
<b>Figure (16):</b>	Evaluation of the small intestine using two atraumatic forceps .....	86



<b>Figure (17):</b>	Sex Distribution .....	88
<b>Figure (18):</b>	Distribution of patients according to their age group.....	89
<b>Figure (19):</b>	Distribution according to the Type of trauma.....	90
<b>Figure (20):</b>	Distribution of penetrating trauma cases according to the site of injury .....	92
<b>Figure (21):</b>	Classification of cases according to laparoscopic findings and method of repair .....	93
<b>Figure (22):</b>	Peritoneal violation as seen by diagnostic laparoscopy .....	94
<b>Figure (23):</b>	Peritoneal violation At the Rt. Hypochondrium is identified .....	95
<b>Figure (24):</b>	Straight needle insertion. ....	95
<b>Figure (25):</b>	Full insertion of the needle to the peritoneal cavity.....	96
<b>Figure (26):</b>	Holding the needle using laparoscopic needle holder.....	96
<b>Figure (27):</b>	One stitch performed.....	97
<b>Figure(28):</b>	Two continous stitches.....	97
<b>Figure (29):</b>	Abd. wall defect at the rt. Hypochindrium fully closed with continous stitching using Vicryl 1.....	98
<b>Figure (30):</b>	classification of cases according to the number of injured organs.....	100
<b>Figure (31):</b>	Percentage of each organ injury...	101

<b>Figure (32):</b> Serosal tear involving ileal loop caused by penetrating satb wound to the abdomen as seen laparoscopically .....	103
<b>Figure (33):</b> Demonstrating step by step repair of the ileal serosal tear caused by penetrating trauma using a round needle and PDS 3/0 to perform a simple stitch as seen laparoscopically. ....	104
<b>Figure (34):</b> Ileal loop serosal tear after being repaired with a single stitch as seen laparoscopically. ....	105
<b>Figure (35):</b> Hemoperitoneum (blood collection) at the Morrison's pouch and around the liver caused by penetrating trauma to the abdomen .....	105
<b>Figure (36):</b> Minor laceration of the liver that was controlled with gel foam application .....	106
<b>Figure (37):</b> Traumatic diaphragmatic hernia caused by RTA with herniation of the abdominal contents to the left side of the chest as seen laparoscopically .....	107
<b>Figure (38):</b> Reduction of the abdominal contents from the left side of the chest to the abdominal cavity using two atraumatic graspers.....	108
<b>Figure (39):</b> Using Prolene 1 with a round needle to perform interrupted stitching to repair the diaphragm.....	109
<b>Figure (40):</b> Interrupted stitching of the diaphragm .....	110
<b>Figure (41):</b> Diaphragmatic tear totally repaired laparoscopically using prolene 1	

interrupted stitches as seen	
laparoscopically.....	111
<b>Figure (42):</b> Laparotomy showing an ant. wall gastric	
tear.....	112

## Introduction

**T**rauma is the leading cause of mortality in patients under 35 years old worldwide, and poses a major challenge to health care providers. Although geographical variations exist, blunt trauma accounts for 78.9 to 95.6 % of injuries around the globe. Between 9 and 14.9 % of all trauma cases involve the abdomen (*Serg et al., 2005*).

Laparotomy is the standard approach for abdominal trauma but is associated with morbidity ranging from 20 to 22 % to 41.3 %, particularly when explorative laparotomy is negative (*Sosa et al., 1995*).

Non-therapeutic operations or negative laparotomies for penetrating trauma carry a significant complication rate with mortality of up to 5 % and morbidity as great as 20 % (*Shih et al., 1999*).

With technical developments in imaging, and advances in surgical techniques, the rate of negative and therefore unnecessary laparotomy has been reduced. Laparoscopy in trauma can potentially further decrease the negative laparotomy rate (*Zantut et al., 1997*).

Although several diagnostic methods are available for evaluation of trauma patients, prompt recognition of

intraabdominal injury still poses a significant clinical challenge, particularly in patients with diaphragmatic, mesenteric and/or small bowel injury. The presence of free fluid in the abdomen without evidence of any organ injury must be clarified (*Rodriguez et al., 2002*).

Although the noninvasive methods provide high-quality information, there is still a degree of diagnostic uncertainty with blunt abdominal trauma, especially when the gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, and diaphragm are involved. This uncertainty in the diagnostic process was, and is, an important justification for exploratory laparotomies undertaken to avoid missed injuries. A considerable number of these laparotomies are unnecessary or nontherapeutic and have corresponding morbidity (*Leppäniemi et al., 1995*).

Now, diagnostic and therapeutic laparoscopy for blunt and penetrating abdominal injuries could reduce the rate of non-therapeutic laparotomy to 1.8 % (*Johnson et al., 2013*).

Patients who will undergo therapeutic laparoscopy for resolution of their abdominal trauma injuries will have decreased hospital stay, less wound infection, less post-operative pain, better cosmetic result and earlier ambulation (*Lin et al., 2015*).

Initially, the evaluation of peritoneal violation in hemodynamically stable patients was seen as the greatest benefit of laparoscopy for trauma. Improvements in laparoscopic training and technology have enabled an increase in the use of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures in trauma patients (*Fabian et al., 1993*).

Despite these clear potentialities, laparoscopy has not yet gained wide acceptance and it is not consistently performed in trauma patients. There are several reasons for this:

1. In bleeding, or potentially bleeding patients, timing is of essence. The logistics for laparoscopy set up of theatre still takes longer than for open surgery. Once the operation has started it takes longer to gain access, identify the bleeder and, especially, control it when compared to a trauma laparotomy.
2. In haemodynamically normal patients with spleen injuries a diagnostic laparoscopy may increase the splenectomy rate.
3. The risk of missing injuries (hollow viscus mainly) is high. This is very much operator dependent, but it may carry disastrous outcomes.
4. Logistics wise most trauma happens at night when staff may be less motivated to embark in a time consuming procedure (*Bendinelli et al., 2012*).

## **Aim of the study**

**T**he aim of the study is to evaluate the role of laparoscopy in minimizing the complications of exploratory laparotomy, especially when the results of laparotomy comes negative.