

Effect of the Degree of Palatal Coverage of Implant Retained Maxillary Overdenture on the Mandibular Residual Ridge

A thesis submitted to the Prosthodontic Department, Faculty of
Dentistry, Ain Shams University for partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the master's degree in Oral and Maxillofacial
Prosthodontics

By:

Wael Mohamed Saleh Mostafa

B.D.S. Faculty of Dentistry,

Ain Shams University, 2008

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

2019

SUPERVISORS:

Dr. Marwa Ezzat Sabet

Professor and Chairman of Removable Prosthodontics
Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Dr. Shaimaa Lotfy Mohamed

Associate Professor of Prosthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I would like to express my great thanks and feeling of gratitude towards **Allah** for granting me the chance to accomplish this work.

It was a great honor for me to have this research carried out under the supervision of **Dr. Marwa Ezzat Sabet**, Professor and Chairman of removable prosthodontics department, who fully guided me all the way till the end. I would like to thank her for her valuable advice, endless patience and her great effort in planning and revising this study.

I would like to describe my deep gratitude and appreciation to my mentor and teacher **Dr. Shaimaa Lotfy Mohamed**, Associate Professor of prosthodontics. Thank you for always being encouraging, supportive, understanding and patient

Words cannot describe how thankful and grateful I am to my descent and loving family for their care and support all through the way. Without you all this work would not have been achieved.

Wael Mohamed Saleh

List of contents

Subject	Page
List of contents	I
List of figures	IV
List of tables	VI
Introduction	1
Review of literature	3
<i>I - Problems facing conventional complete denture</i>	4
<i>II - Treatment options for edentulous patients</i>	6
- Dental implants	6
<i>III - Challenges of implants in edentulous maxilla</i>	7
<i>IV- Effect of implant diameter, length and number on success of the prosthesis</i>	9
1 - Implant diameter and length	9
2 – Implant number	14
<i>V- Criteria of implant success</i>	17
<i>VI- Loading protocols</i>	18
<i>VII- Prosthetic options for implant retained prostheses</i>	19

Subject	Page
1 – Fixed implant-supported prosthesis	20
2 – Fixed detachable prosthesis	21
3 – Implant overdenture prosthesis	22
- Classification of implant overdentures	23
A . Implant supported overdentures	23
B . Implant mucosa supported overdentures	24
- Advantages of implant overdentures	25
- Disadvantages of implant overdentures	26
VIII - Attachments	27
- Advantages of attachments	27
- Disadvantages of attachments	27
- Classification of attachments	28
- Attachments used in implant retained overdenture	29
1 – Stud attachments	30
2 – Bar attachments	31
3 – Magnets attachments	34
4 – Telescopic attachments	35

Subject	Page
<i>IX- Palatal coverage</i>	36
<i>X- Imaging modalities</i>	39
Aim of the study	43
Materials & Methods	44
Results	٦6
Discussion	78
- Discussion of methodology	78
- Discussion of results	88
Summary	92
Conclusion	94
References	95
Arabic summary	118

List of figures

Figures No.	Description	Page
1	Patient's facial view	47
2	Patient's profile view	47
3	Intra-oral occlusal view of the maxilla	48
4	Radiographic stent	49
5	Cone Beam Computed Tomography showing the radio-opaque markers	49
6	Surgical stent	50
7	Follow-up mandibular radiographic sent	54
8	Surgical armamentarium	54
9	Low speed motor	55
10	Flap reflection	55
11	CMW surgical kit showing pilot and surgical drills	56
12	Drilling the osteotomy	56
13	Double pack vial containing the implant	57
14	Implant placement	57

15	Flap repositioning after one side implants placement	58
16	Flap suturing of one side and implant placement of the other side	58
17	Suturing both sides after placing four implants	59
18	Healed oral mucosa around implants 7 days later	59
19	Duralay pick-up material	62
20	Finished maxillary overdenture with complete palatal coverage	62
21	Modification demarcation lines	63
22	Finished maxillary overdenture with partial palatal coverage	63
23	Mandibular residual ridge measurement in a 1:1 Panoramic radiograph	65
24	Column chart showing comparison of difference (mm) in different points within the same interval in group I	69
25	Column chart showing comparison of difference (mm) in different points within the same interval in group II	72
26	Column chart showing difference (mm) between group I & group II within the same point in the first interval (0 to 6 months)	74
27	Column chart showing difference (mm) between group I & group II within the same point in the second interval (6 to 12 months)	76
28	Column chart showing difference (mm) between group I & group II within the same point throughout the study (0 to 12 months)	78

List of tables

I	Mean of difference (mm) and standard deviation (SD) of mandibular bone height changes in group I (ANOVA test)	66
II	Mean of difference (mm) and standard deviation (SD) of mandibular bone height changes in group II (ANOVA test)	70
III	Comparison of difference (mm) between group I and group II within the same point in the first interval (0 to 6 months) (unpaired t test))	73
IV	Comparison of difference (mm) between group I and group II within the same point in the second interval (6 to 12 months) (unpaired t test))	75
V	Comparison of difference (mm) between group I and group II within the same point throughout the study (0 to 12 months) (unpaired t test))	77

Introduction

Edentulism is a debilitating, irreversible condition and described as the “final marker of disease burden for oral health”. Edentulism was found to have a significant effect on residual ridge resorption. For many decades treatment of edentulous patients was done using conventional complete removable dentures. Although this treatment option is the most common, yet at times it can be a difficult and challenging intervention. Residual ridge resorption and mucosal reactions such as denture stomatitis, traumatic ulcers and flabby ridges are the most common problems that complete denture wearers face.¹

In the seventh year stage, mandibular residual ridge resorption was seen to be four times greater than maxillary residual ridge resorption owing to the position of muscles' attachments and the amount of supporting area in each arch. Maxillary conventional dentures with complete palatal coverage have great supporting area gained mainly from the palate; on the contrary mandibular conventional dentures' supporting area is very limited. Maxillary dentures with complete palatal coverage may cause gagging and may affect phonation, hygiene, taste sensation and even mastication. These disadvantages of complete palatal coverage adversely affect patients' satisfaction and tolerance to conventional maxillary complete dentures as a treatment option for edentulous maxilla. Several attempts were done to remove or decrease palatal coverage of maxillary complete dentures without jeopardizing their retention.²

Implant-retained overdentures can provide an effective treatment modality for edentulous patients and in particular those who have persistent problems in using conventional prosthesis.³

Implant-retained overdentures are supported, retained, and stabilized by both implants and mucosa; therefore they generally require fewer implants than fixed implant prostheses. In the maxilla, four endosseous implants, are considered the minimum number needed for overdenture.⁴ So retention can be gained mechanically by implants' attachments rather than physically by extended palatal coverage and thus palatal coverage can be removed or decreased without affecting retention.⁵

Complete or partial palatal coverage of maxillary implant retained overdenture affects the maxillary supporting area⁶, but the question is does this degree affects the amount of mandibular residual ridge bone resorption in case of implant retained overdenture or not?

Review of Literature

Edentulism is considered a physical impairment due to loss of important parts of the body. After extraction of each tooth, the empty alveolar socket begins to fill with blood, then it coagulates forming blood clot and being organized forming the new bone. Mucosa-periosteal tissues cover the new bone which reduces in size under the periosteum in a process called residual ridge resorption.⁷

The amount of alveolar bone resorption depends on many factors such as age, sex, facial symmetry, oral hygiene, metabolism, general health, parafunctional habits and systemic diseases. In maxilla, the outer buccal cortical plate is thinner than inner palatal cortical plate so, resorption takes place upward and inward resulting in a decreased size of maxilla from all directions, and the denture bearing area becomes reduced. In the mandible, migration of the residual ridge takes place lingually and inferiorly in the anterior region and buccally in the posterior region. Consequently maxillary and mandibular denture bearing areas are both reduced with decrease in the area of the attached mucosa. Keratinized mucosa will be lost, and non-keratinized mucosa will atrophy.⁷

Alteration in jaw relationship arises, where the prosthodontist is faced with problems in establishing the correct vertical dimension. This will be accompanied by complications in the temporo-mandibular joint and reduction in biting force efficiency. In general, masticatory efficiency, speech and esthetics are affected which causes a psychological distress to the patient and decreases quality of life.⁸

I- Problems facing conventional complete denture:

For many decades conventional complete acrylic dentures were the only treatment modality for edentulous cases. Many edentulous patients wearing conventional complete dentures are dissatisfied with their prosthesis. Neuromuscular coordination takes time to adapt for this new situation, but common problems have encountered in most cases. Problems of retention, stability, occlusion and appearance may aggravate the condition rather treating it if an unsatisfactory denture was constructed. An ill-fitting denture may also progress the process of bone resorption.⁹

Wearing complete dentures may have adverse effects on both oral and general health of complete denture wearers. These effects can be divided into direct and indirect reactions. Direct reactions include residual ridge resorption and mucosal reactions, such as denture stomatitis, denture irritation mucosal hyperplasia, traumatic ulcers, and flabby ridges. It has also been suggested that there might be a strong link between oral carcinoma existence and chronic denture irritation, but no undeniable evidence appears to exist.⁷

Direct reactions may also include other conditions related to wearing a relatively large unnatural prosthesis, such as altered taste sensation, burning mouth syndrome, and gagging reflex. Indirect reactions are related to the huge difference in masticatory function between complete denture wearer and dentate personnel. Biting forces are reduced with the risk of masticatory muscles atrophy. The reduced masticatory ability may lead to changes in selecting food components with risks of impaired nutritional status, especially in the elderly complete denture wearers.⁸

The most common complaint is loosening of the dentures, which is often due to the continual resorption of the residual alveolar ridge. Moreover, patients are complaining from intolerance to loading by the mucosa, pain, difficulties with eating and speech, loss of soft-tissue support, and altered facial appearance. Measuring masticatory function, such as biting force and the ability to comminute a test food are substantially reduced in complete denture wearers in comparison with people with natural dentition, as well as with implant-supported prostheses. Retention and stability problems often cause complaints of masticatory function in complete denture wearers. The ability of denture wearers to break down food is very poor when compared with dentate persons. Complete denture wearers need on average four to six times and even more number of chewing strokes more than persons with natural dentition need to achieve the same degree of pulverization.⁷

The biting force of edentulous patients obtained with maximum clenching ranges from 77 to 135 N, whereas the average biting force for dentate persons varies from 306 to 847 N, which means that the masticatory performance of complete denture wearers is less than 20% of that of persons with a natural dentition. Thus, denture wearers might have difficulties in chewing and incising food. As a consequence, full denture wearers select only smaller food particles to chew at a time. We conclude that complete dentures are poor substitutes for natural teeth even with clinically satisfactory results making edentulous persons handicapped in masticatory function. Although the objective masticatory performance of complete denture wearers was reported to be low, approximately 80% of the complete denture wearers considered their self-assessed chewing ability to be good.¹⁰

II- Treatment options for edentulous patients:

Several attempts were made to overcome problems with conventional complete dentures. Starting with impression taking, different impression techniques such as functional impression technique, dynamic impression technique and butterfly impressions were used to overcome problems of retention. Arrangement of teeth according to the neutral zone record with lingualized concept of occlusion can improve denture stability. The use of metallic denture bases can improve denture stability and retention.¹¹

Many opinions have claimed that preservation of the remaining natural teeth or roots utilizing them in constructing overdentures can serve well in improving denture support and retention. Also soft tissue and bony undercuts can considerably improve the same features. Preparations could be done to the remaining dentition to accommodate the fitting surfaces of the dentures.¹²

Implants also have been used extensively in the last decade in the rehabilitation of edentulous patients. Besides providing retention, dental implants help in decreasing the impact of tissue borne edentulous prosthesis. They slow the rate of residual ridge resorption, increase the masticatory efficiency and improve the stability and retention of dentures.¹³⁻¹⁷

Dental implants:

Endosteal implants are the most commonly used implants worldwide; they are manufactured in a variety of widths, lengths, designs and materials. Among endosteal implants, root form implants are now the first and most realistic choice in selecting implants as they offer great stress distribution