



**Quantitative Liver MR Imaging with DWI Sequence
and Normalized ADC in Diagnosis and Staging of
Hepatitis C Virus Liver Fibrosis and Cirrhosis, A
Comparative Study with Transient Elastography
(FibroScan) In Egyptian Patients**

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D in Radiodiagnosis

Presented by

Hesham Salah Mohammed Rashad

M. Sc of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr Amany Emad El Din Rady

Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr Eman Mahmoud Fathi Barakat

Professor of Tropical medicine

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr Remon Zaher Elia

Assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Essam Mohamed Abdel Hafez

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2019

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

لسببائك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



Acknowledgements

*First and foremost, I would like to humbly thank **ALLAH**, for his great care, guidance, blessings, and for giving me the ability to complete this work,*

*I wish to express my deepest thanks & gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Amany Emad Rady**, for her honest supervision, enlightening guidance & giving me the honor to work, under her supervision.*

*My deep appreciation to **Prof Dr. Eman Mahmoud Fathi Barakat**, Professor of Tropical medicine, Faculty of medicine-Ain Shams University, for her sincere guidance and effort during this study.*

*I wish to express my great thanks to **Assistant Prof Remon Zaher Elia**, Assistant professor of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of medicine-Ain Shams University, for his kind assistance and guidance.*

*A special tribute & cordial thanks to my mentor **Dr, Essam Mohamed Abdel Hafez** for his meticulous supervision, enriching advice, and continuous efforts to bring this work into light.*

Finally, I would like to express my infinite gratitude and my deepest appreciation to my family, all staff members and to my colleagues, at Radiology Department, Ain Shams University for their support.

Hesham Salah M. Rashad

Deduction

To the man who taught me all

And the women who gave me all

Father and Mother how can I ever

repay you

I am indebted to great wife, my

daughter for their endless and

continuous help and support

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of abbreviations	v
Introduction	1
Aim of Work	16
Review of Literature	
▪ Chapter (1): Anatomy of the Liver	17
▪ Chapter (2): Pathophysiology of Liver Fibrosis and Cirrhosis	39
Patients and Methods	49
Technique of Transient Elastography & DWI	54
Results	80
Illustrated Cases	95
Discussion	101
Summary and Conclusion	123
References	126
Arabic summary	-

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Image Interpretation Guidelines for DW MR Imaging.....	73
Table (2):	Number of groups according to FibroScan score and sex	82
Table (3):	Mean measured data	82
Table (4):	ANOVA test	83
Table (5):	Mann Whitney Test (U test) comparing. F1+F2 with F3+F4 groups	85
Table (6):	Pearson correlation test: ADC Liver	86
Table (7):	Pearson correlation test: ADC spleen.....	86
Table (8):	Pearson correlation test: ADC muscle.....	87
Table (9):	Pearson correlation test: Normalized ADC.	87
Table (10):	Pearson correlation test: Fibroscan score and measured ADC values	88
Table (11):	ROC curve: F2 Vs F1. *significant	90
Table (12):	ROC curve: F3 Vs F2+F1. *significant.....	91
Table (13):	ROC curve: F4 Vs F3+F2+F1. *significant	92
Table (14):	The receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve of the normalized ADC value used for grading of hepatic fibrosis.....	94

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	The antero-superior surface of the liver.	18
Figure (2):	Drawing showing the relation of the liver	20
Figure (3):	Gross anatomical lobes of the liver	20
Figure (4):	Diagram showing hepatic segmentations.	21
Figure (5):	Diagram showing Segmentation of the liver - Couinaud.....	23
Figure (6):	Dissection to show the relations of the hepatic artery, bile duct and portal vein to each other in the lesser omentum: anterior aspect.	24
Figure (7):	Typical anatomy of the celiac Artery.	25
Figure (8):	Diagram showing anatomy of portal vein.	27
Figure (9):	Normal anatomy of the portal-venous system.....	28
Figure (10):	Typical portal vein anatomy	28
Figure (11):	Coronal MIP image from contrast enhanced MR imaging shows variant anatomy of the portal vein.....	29
Figure (12):	Hepatic blood source.	30
Figure (13):	Prearrangement of the hepatic venous regions.	31
Figure (14):	Anatomy of the biliary system.	32
Figure (15):	Segmental anatomy of the liver according to Couinaud and Bismuth.	34
Figure (16):	Normal hepatic veins.	35
Figure (17):	Axial MIP based on 3D Gd- enhanced delayed time gradient echo images at several levels	36
Figure (18):	Coronal reformat displays the connection between the hepatic segments, three hepatic veins, portal	

vein (shaped by the splenic and superior mesenteric veins), and IVC.	37
Figure (19): Coronal Magnetic Resonance image of the liver better representing association of the liver to diaphragm, the lung base, pleural area, and sub-diaphragmatic areas	38
Figure (20): Etiology of cirrhosis.	43
Figure (21): Course in a chronic liver disease patient.	45
Figure (22): Biopsy samples staged 0 to 4 according to the Brunt scoring system based on the use of Masson trichrome stain.	47
Figure (23): Fibroscan device: a transducer, a dedicated electronic system and a computer control unit in between.....	55
Figure (24): The mechanism of FibroScan and interpretation of a stage 4 fibrotic liver with liver toughness score of 49.6 kPa	55
Figure (25): Transient elastography (FibroScan) technique.	56
Figure (26): Association between grade of cellularity and diffusion restriction	59
Figure (27): Schematic representation of diffusing water molecules in the intracellular spaces (purple arrows), diffusing water molecules in the extracellular spaces (blue arrows), and diffusing water particles from the extracellular to intracellular spaces, and vice versa (green arrows).	61
Figure (28): Pulse sequence diagrams	63
Figure (29): Graph illustrates the logarithm of relative signal intensity	68
Figure (30): A patient with hepatitis C and related stage III fibrosis.	69
Figure (31): (a–c) MRI upper abdominal ADC mapping images of cirrhotic patient with stage F3 fibrosis.....	77

Figure (32): Axial EPI diffusion-weighted images with different b values and ADC mapping in normal and cirrhotic patients.....	78
Figure (33): ROC curve analysis showing the diagnostic performance of ADC-Normalized for discriminating patient groups classified according to their fibroScan score.....	93
Figure (34a): DWI with b value of 0 and 800 mm ² /s and ADC map	95
Figure (35a): DWIs with b value of 200, 400 & 800 mm ² /s and ADC map.....	97
Figure (36a): DWIs with b value of 0 & 800 mm ² /s and ADC map.....	100
Figure (37a): DWIs with b value of 0 & 800 mm ² /s and ADC map.....	102
Figure (38a): DWIs with b value of 0 & 800 mm ² /s and ADC map.....	104
Figure (39a): DWIs with b value of 0 & 800 mm ² /s and ADC map	106
Figure (40a): DWIs with b value of 0 & 800 mm ² /s and ADC map	108
Figure (41a): DWIs with b value of 0 & 800 mm ² /s and ADC map	110

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Meaning
-------------	----------------

ADC	Apparent diffusion coefficient.
CHA	Common hepatic artery.
CT	Computed tomography.
DW	Diffusion weighted.
DWI	Diffusion weighted imaging.
EPI	Echo planner imaging.
FOV	Field of view.
FSE	Fast spin echo.
HA	Hepatic artery
HCC	Hepatocellular carcinoma
HCSs	Hepatic cells stellates
HCV	Hepatitis C virus
IVC	Inferior vena cava
MIP	Maximum intensity projection.
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging.
ms	millisecond
NEX	Number of excitations.
PACS	Picture archiving and communication system

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Meaning
-------------	----------------

PV	Portal vein
ROI	Regions of interest
s/mm²	Second per square millimeter.
SE	Spin echo.
SI	Signal intensity.
SNR	Signal to noise ratio.
T	Tesla.
TE	Echo Time
TR	Repetition time
TSE	Turbo spin echo.
US	Ultrasound
WI	Weighted images

INTRODUCTION

Hepatic fibrosis is a wound-healing response to multiple types of chronic liver disease and injury. liver fibrosis in chronic liver disease results from excessive accumulation of an extracellular matrix in response to chronic inflammation (*Taouli et al., 2007*).

Beyond being a marker of hepatic injury, fibrosis appears to play a direct role in the pathogenesis of cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, and portal venous hypertension, leading to increased morbidity and mortality. Viral hepatitis C infection represents the most common cause of hepatic fibrosis in Egypt (*Castera, 2012*).

Patients with hepatitis C virus infections are at high risk for development of hepatic fibrosis that proceeds to cirrhosis, The assessment of liver fibrosis in patients with viral hepatitis is essential not only to determine prognosis but also to select patients who are in need for antiviral therapy (*Ghany et al., 2011*).

Liver biopsy was the standard reference method for evaluation of liver fibrosis, but it has several complications such as hemorrhage, pain and several limitation such as interobserver variability, sampling errors and also it lacks the patient acceptance (*Castera, 2012*).

This made the need for a noninvasive, fast, safe and reliable method that allows evaluation of liver fibrosis, and repetitive measurements for monitoring disease progression and treatment response (*Kovač et al., 2012*).

These non invasive methods include routine biochemical and hematological liver function tests, serum markers of connective tissue, and scoring systems using a combination of clinical and/or laboratory tests (*Ghany et al., 2011*).

Imaging techniques are an attractive means of detecting liver fibrosis, given their availability and non-invasive nature, although their capacity to detect structural changes is dependent up on resolution and the stage of liver fibrosis. The search for the best diagnostic technique in terms of non-invasiveness and accuracy is still a major focus of recent research activities (*Feier et al., 2016*).

Recently, a wide variety of non invasive promising imaging-based methods had been used for assessing hepatic fibrosis, including ultrasound, CT and MRI . The measurement of liver stiffness with ultrasound transient elastography (FibroScan) was proven to be accurate in the detection of significant fibrosis in patients with hepatitis C. However, transient elastography (TE) cannot be used in obese patients or patients with ascites or narrow intercostal spaces (*Kovač et al., 2012*).

Although magnetic resonance (MR) elastography (*Wang et al., 2011*) has demonstrated the best diagnostic performance for the detection and staging of liver fibrosis thus far, it is impractical, since the technique remains expensive and is not widely included in routine imaging for patients with various liver diseases (*Feier et al., 2015*).

Several other MR based techniques, such as diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DW-MRI) and measuring apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value have become an important noninvasive diagnostic tool in the evaluation of liver fibrosis (*Pasquinelli et al., 2012*).

DW MRI allows whole liver examination with an insight into distribution of liver fibrosis permitting detection of the most affected liver segments (*Bonekamp et al., 2011*).

The ADC of livers with moderate or advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis has been reported to be lower than that of normal livers or livers with mild fibrosis across these multiple studies, although reported ADC values vary between studies, partly because of differences in acquisition parameters. A hurdle to the widespread clinical application of DWI lies in the lack of standardization of parameters and reproducibility of ADC measurements, with reported interscanner and intrascanner variability of approximately 5–15% (*Koh et al., 2009*).

The variability in reported ADC measurements is further complicated by the use of different b values and acquisition methods based on breath-hold, free-breathing, or respiratory triggered techniques, which can affect ADC quantification (*Richard et al., 2010 & Taouli et al., 2010*).

Normalization of ADC using a reference organ that remains relatively constant across patients or systems may help reduce variability in ADC calculations. For instance, a recent study showed that normalized ADC (using the spleen) appeared to decrease variability of ADC on the basis of choice of b values for benign and malignant liver lesions. The spleen may be an ideal reference organ, because it maintains a relatively stable ADC even in the setting of liver disease (*Richard et al., 2010 & Papanikolaou et al., 2010*).