

Computer-Guided Mandibular Distraction Osteogenesis: A Clinical Study

*Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master degree in Oral and
Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University*

Presented by

Hossam El-Dien Hany Sayed

BDS 2012

Instructor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Supervisors

Marwa Abdelwahab Elkassaby

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Heba Abdelwahed Sleem

Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Moustafa Mohammed Sayed Taha

Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

2019

Disclosure

This thesis was a part of a clinical and experimental project conducted on distraction osteogenesis to assess the effect of Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate on bone quality during distraction osteogenesis. This project included thesis of my colleagues Yasser Mohamed Nabil El Hadidi entitled (The Effect of Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate on Bone Regenerate During Rapid Mandibular Distraction Osteogenesis), and Mohamed Seif entitled (The Effect of Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate on Bone Regenerate During Mandibular Distraction Osteogenesis: Experimental study)

Dedication

*To my parents, who instilled in me all the
values I have in life*

*To my wife, with whom I lead my life sharing
these values*

*To my son, who we will try to instil the same
values in*

Acknowledgement

*My deepest thanks to my colleagues and friends at the department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University; Mahmoud Yehia, Ramy Gaber, Mahmoud Abdelaziz, Omnia Adel, Ahmad Bahaa, Mostafa Ezz, Ahmad Hany, Ahmad Sadek, Omar Effat, Menna Gaber, Aseel Asar and Mohamed Mostafa for their support and help with this work, with particular appreciation to **Yasser El Hadidi**, Assistant Lecturer at Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery department for his enormous help and support.*

My undying gratitude to the mentors who guided my career inside the Department and out, Dr. Marwa Elkassaby and Dr. Ahmad Ali

Table of Contents

List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iv
List of Abbreviations	vii
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	5
I. Finding Symmetry	5
Facial Asymmetry	5
II. Mimicking Nature	15
Grafting vs. Distraction	15
Distraction Osteogenesis: History, Indications & Technique	17
Complications of Distraction Osteogenesis	26
III. Expanding The Horizon	31
Vector of Distraction	31
3D Imaging and 3D Printing	34
Computer Guided Surgery	38
Aim of the Study	43
Patients and Methods	44
I. Study Design	44
II. Patient Selection	45
III. Patient Preparation and Preoperative Records	46
IV. Surgical Procedure	65
V. Immediate postoperative care:	70

VI. Distraction Protocol:	71
VII. Methods of evaluation	72
1. Clinical and radiographic assessment of correction of soft and hard tissue deformity.....	72
2. Radiographic assessment of surgical guide accuracy	84
3. Assessment of Complications	85
Results.....	93
I. Characteristics of Study Population	94
II. Clinical and radiographic assessment of correction of soft and hard tissue deformity	97
1. Effect of distraction on defective values	97
2. Effect of distraction on facial asymmetry	106
III. Radiographic Assessment of Surgical Guide Accuracy	110
IV. Assessment of Complications	112
Discussion.....	113
Sy, conclusions, and recommendations	121
Appendix.....	124
Case Review	129
References	136
Arabic Summary	137

List of Tables

Table 1: Major etiological factors of facial asymmetry according to Cheong and Lo ⁶	7
Table 2: Reyneke et al. proposed surgical treatment ⁷	10
Table 3: Pruzansky classification system of mandibular deformity, modified by Kaban ⁹	11
Table 4: OMENS classification for Hemifacial Microsomia ¹⁰	13
Table 5: Criteria for success of DO ²⁴	26
Table6 : Demographics of age and sex	94
Table7 : Demographics of Classifications, and side of deformity	95
Table8 : Demographics of distractor type, distraction time, length and actual outcome.....	96
Table 9: Smile orientation, pre vs post-distraction	98
Table 10: Medial canthus -commissure, pre vs post-distraction	99
Table 11: SNB angle, pre vs post-distraction	100
Table 12: ANB angle, pre vs post-distraction	101
Table 13: IO-OP distance, pre vs post-distraction	102
Table 14: Mandibular occlusal plane angle, pre vs post-distraction.....	103
Table 15: Ramus height, pre vs post-distraction	104
Table 16: Chin deviation, pre vs post-distraction	105
Table 17: Medial canthus -commissure, normal vs post-distraction	107
Table 18: IO-OP distance, normal vs post-distraction.....	108
Table 19: Ramus height, normal vs post-distraction	109
Table20 : Deviation in distractor pin distance and vector angle	111
Table21 : Deviation in Osteotomy distance and osteotomy angle	111

List of Figures

Figure 1: Chimeric Face. (A) Extraoral frontal photograph. (B) Right side chimeric face. (C) Left side chimeric face. ⁴	6
Figure 2: Reyneke et al. classification of maxillomandibular asymmetries ⁷ ...	9
Figure 3: Treatment algorithm for reconstructive surgeries in management of Craniofacial Microsomia ¹¹	14
Figure 4: Phases of Distraction Osteogenesis ²⁴	24
Figure 5: Defining the vector of distraction ³⁶	32
<i>Figure 6: Extraoral clinical photographs for case number (2); 11 years old male with unilateral HFM; A: Frontal view at rest , B: Frontal view smiling, B: Frontal view, C: Lateral View of right side , D: Lateral view of left side, E: Three quarter frontal view of right side, F: Three quarter view of left side, G: Bird's eye view, and H: Worm's eye view</i>	<i>48</i>
Figure 7: Intraoral clinical photographs for case number (3); A: Intraoral frontal view, B: Intraoral lateral view of right side, C: Intraoral lateral view of left side, D: intra oral occlusal view for maxillary teeth, and E: intraoral occlusal view for mandibular teeth	49
Figure 8: Home screen at Mimics Medical 19.0 after importing DICOM files	53
Figure 9: Thresholding to create a new mask.....	54
Figure 10: Creating a 3D volume from the newly formed mask	55
Figure 11: Volume orientation using Frankfort Horizontal and Midsagittal Planes	56
Figure 12: Segmentation performed, separating the Mandible and Maxilla ..	57
Figure 13: Placing virtual distractor at proposed vector and performing virtual osteotomy.....	58
Figure 14: Virtual distractor activated along proposed vector	59

Figure 15: Virtual distractor activated along proposed vector (Frontal View)	60
Figure 16: Virtual distractor activated along proposed vector (with mandible autorotated)	61
Figure 17: Distractor components and osteotomy placed to avoid injury to vital structures	62
Figure 18: Final guide design	63
Figure 19: Final guide design, labelled	64
Figure 20: Printed guide, checked for fit with the distractor and a 2.0 miniscrew	64
Figure 21: Intraoral Clinical photograph showing an illustration outlining the flap design	67
Figure 22: Intraoral Clinical photograph showing the surgical guide secured in place using 2.0 miniscrew	67
Figure 23: Intraoral Clinical photograph showing placement of extraoral distraction pin	68
Figure 24: Intraoral Clinical photograph showing osteotomy marking through the guide using Piezotome	68
Figure 25: Extraoral Clinical photograph showing final distractor assembly in place	69
Figure 26: Medial canthus to buccal commissure distance at rest	73
Figure 27: Smile orientation to the horizontal plane	73
Figure 28: The two volumes imported into the Superimposition tab of InvivoDental 5	76
Figure 29: Automatic Volume Based Registration	77
Figure 30: SNB Angle	78
Figure 31: ANB Angle	79
Figure 32: InfraOrbitale to Occlusal Plane	80
Figure 33: Mandibular occlusal plane angle	81

Figure 34: Ramus Height	82
Figure 35: Chin Deviation	83
Figure 36: Kodak Dental Imaging Software 3D Module after DICOM import	86
Figure 37: Volume cropping	87
Figure 38: Exporting the "Plan" DICOM files	88
Figure 39: Proximal segment superimposed	89
Figure 40: Measuring distance between "Planned" and "Post" pins.....	90
Figure 41: Long axis of "Plan" vector passing through virtual pins	90
Figure 42: Long axis of "Post" vector passing through Distractor	91
Figure 43: Measuring angle between "Planned" and "Post" Vectors	91
Figure 44: Measuring angle between the Osteotomy lines in "Planned" and "Post" scans	92
Figure 45: Measuring distance between midpoint of Osteotomy lines in "Planned" and "Post" scans	92
Figure 46: A scatter diagram for inter-examiner correlation between results	93
Figure 47: Smile orientation, pre vs post-distraction.....	98
Figure 48: Medial canthus -commissure, pre vs post-distraction	99
Figure 49: SNB angle, pre vs post-distraction	100
Figure 50: ANB angle, pre vs post-distraction.....	101
Figure 51: IO-OP distance, pre vs post-distraction.....	102
Figure 52: Mandibular occlusal plane angle, pre vs post-distraction	103
Figure 53: Ramus height, pre vs post-distraction.....	104
Figure 54: Chin deviation, pre vs post-distraction	105
Figure 55: Medial canthus -commissure, normal vs post-distraction.....	107
Figure 56: IO-OP distance, normal vs post-distraction	108
Figure 57: Ramus height, normal vs post-distraction	109
Figure 58: D' Hauthuille's design (A) as compared to this study's design (B) 61	117

List of Abbreviations

2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
ANB	Subnasale-Nasion-Supramentale Angle
CAD/CAM	Computer Aided Design/Computer Aided Manufacturing
CBCT	Cone-Beam Computed Tomography
CC	Costochondral
CT	Computed Tomography
DICOM	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine
DO	Distraction Osteogenesis
EBM	Electron Beam Melting
FDM	Fused Deposition Modeling
HFM	Hemifacial Microsomia
IAN	Inferior Alveolar Nerve
IO-OP	Infraorbitale-Occlusal Plane Distance
MDO	Mandibular Distraction Osteogenesis
MOP	Maxillary Occlusal Plane
MSCT	Multislice Computed Tomography
RP	Rapid Prototyping
SLA	Stereolithography
SLS	Selective Laser Sintering
SNB	Sella-Nasion-Supramentale Angle
STL	Standard Tessellation Language
TMJ	Temporomandibular Joint

Isolated congenital deformities of the mandible are conditions that affect a large number of the population. They may be unilateral as in hemifacial microsomia (HFM), or bilateral deficiency of the body of the mandible and severe micrognathia as in Pierre Robin syndrome, with horizontal deficiency across the midline causing cross bite and condylar deficiency.

On the other hand, pediatric trauma is the leading cause of acquired mandibular deficiency. In particular, condylar fractures have been shown to cause mandibular growth disturbance resulting in facial asymmetry, often severe enough to require corrective orthognathic surgery. Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) ankylosis has been shown to cause growth retardation and facial asymmetry as well. It can be caused by delayed or missed diagnosis and treatment, prolonged maxillary-mandibular fixation, and/or crush-type hit to the condylar area.

Bone reconstruction procedures for treatment of such conditions in the craniofacial region are considered a complicated endeavor. They usually require skeletal correction to overcome psychological, breathing and eating problems by reconstructing both soft and hard tissues. Grafting from distant sites to regenerate and reconstruct missing bony segments carries the risk of donor site morbidity, the risk of rejection, infection, or low bone quality. Hence, the need for alternative treatment options arises.

Originally, distraction osteogenesis (DO) was a surgical process used in reconstruction of skeletal deformities and lengthening of the long bones in orthopedics. However, it is currently used in the oral and maxillofacial region to correct deformities of the facial skeleton without grafting risk.

Distraction osteogenesis refers to a surgical technique designed to address defects and deficiencies in the skeleton. Although it was first mentioned by Hippocrates, Ilizarov introduced the modern concept of distraction osteogenesis 40 years ago, and the orthopedic community has employed distraction techniques to lengthen and reconstruct arms and legs ever since.

Distraction surgery was first reported to treat defects of the oral and facial region in 1992. Since then, the surgical and technological advances made in the field of distraction osteogenesis provided oral and maxillofacial surgeons with a safe and predictable method to treat selected deformities of the oral and facial skeleton.

However, maxillofacial DO is not without its draw backs. Distraction Osteogenesis is a long procedure in which patients are at risk of complications such as pain, re-fracture, infection, nonunion or non-compliance, as well as suffering psychological depression and economic burden. There are also numerous other complications related to the surgical procedure itself. Several forms of tooth injury have been reported during DO due to damage of unerupted molar buds either during the osteotomy placement, or because of inappropriate placement of the distractor pins.

Injury to the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) has also been frequently reported in literature as a complication of mandibular distraction osteogenesis. These injuries may include hypoesthesia of the IAN, and/or transient paraparesis of the muscles innervated by the recurrent marginalis branch of the facial nerve, as well as Neuropraxia. Therefore, insufficient preoperative planning combined with inappropriate device construction and osteotomy placement can result in permanent nerve damage.

Inappropriate distraction vector is considered to be the most troublesome complication as it usually leads to a large number of clinical problems. The problems potentially caused by an inappropriate distraction vector may include malocclusions and laterognathism, manifested as sagittal rotation of the mandibular midline away from the side undergoing distraction due to excessive magnitude of distraction or an inappropriate net distraction vector. It typically occurs in patients undergoing unilateral mandibular distraction osteogenesis (MDO). It may also lead to condylar displacement, condylar resorption, and/or malocclusion consisting of a closed lateral bite and crossbite, and ultimately, a failure to correct the patient's esthetic complaint.

Hence, meticulous preoperative planning is of outmost importance to minimize the incidence of aforementioned complications. Fortunately, and with the recent advances in imaging techniques, better than ever preoperative diagnosis and surgical planning is now attainable. The entirety of the patient's anatomy can now be accurately viewed on Three-Dimensional (3D) reconstructed views, and the surgical procedure, including the site of the osteotomy and the distractor pins, can be accurately planned and virtually placed to avoid injury to any vital structures, as the inferior alveolar nerve, developing tooth buds, and roots of erupted teeth.

Careful preoperative planning does not only minimize potential complications, but also it plays a major role in improving the final outcome. There is a strong correlation between the distraction vector used, and the mandibular movement. The 3D planning and subsequent implementation of the planned vector can therefore greatly alter the post-distraction mandibular anatomy, facial symmetry, and overall esthetics of the patient. In addition, and with advanced 3D analytical softwares, soft tissue changes can be predicted and accounted for. Mandibular distraction osteogenesis can now be planned with an End-in-mind approach.

Hence, the current study intended to plan the process of MDO by predicting the vector of distraction and the final patient's appearance precisely prior to surgical intervention. Three-dimensional printed surgical guides were then used to accurately transfer the virtual surgical plan into the surgical field, and the entire process was assessed clinically and radiographically.