#### Comparative Study between the Use of Self-Fixating Mesh and Non Self-Fixating Mesh in Laparoscopic Inguinal Hernia Repair Transabdominal Preperitoneal (TAPP) Technique

Thesis

#### Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in General Surgery

By

#### Ahmed Ibrahim Ismail Soliman M.B., B.CH

Under Supervision of

#### Prof. Dr. Essam Fakhry Ebied

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

#### **Dr. Ahmed Aly Khalil**

Lecturer of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2019



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

## Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to ALLAH, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Essam Fakhry Ebied,** Assistant Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Ahmed Aly Khalil,** Lecturer of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Ahmed Ibrahim

## List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	
List of Abbreviations	
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	11
Review of Literature	
Definition and Pathophysiology of Inguinal Her	nia12
Classification of Groin Hernias	22
☐ Laparoscopic Anatomy of the Inguinal Region	39
🚨 Laparoscopic Inguinal Hernia Repair	79
Patients and Methods	130
Results	141
Discussion	158
Summary and Conclusion	169
References	172
Arabic Summary	

# List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Comparison between both grou descriptive and peroperative dat	
<b>Table (2):</b>	Comparison between both grou type and side of inguinal hernia	
<b>Table (3):</b>	Represents the difference be operative time and ir complications between the two g	ntraoperative
<b>Table (4):</b>	Comparison between both groupost-operative pain	
<b>Table (5):</b>	Summarize the post-operative of and recurrence occurrence amgroups	ong the two
<b>Table (6):</b>	The statistical values as difference between the two study	· ·

## List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Pathogenesis of hernia formation	20
Figure (2):	Gilbert's Classification of inguinal	hernia26
Figure (3):	Gilbert's classification with addi- Rutkow and Robbins	-
Figure (4):	Proposed modified tra	
Figure (5):	Sagittal cross section of the abdominal wall at the inguinal reg	
Figure (6):	Representation of the superficial a transverse fasciae	<del>-</del>
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Representation of the myopectinea	l orifice51
Figure (8):	Peritoneal Folds of the lower Abdominal Wall, Posterior view	
Figure (9):	Fossae of the anterior abdominal their relation to the sites of groin h	
<b>Figure (10):</b>	The preperitoneal retropubic sp the space of Bogros	
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Bilateral inguinal areas under lapa	aroscopy59
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Important anatomic landmarks extraperitoneal space	
<b>Figure</b> (13):	Coronal diagram of the male IC anat	tomy63
<b>Figure (14):</b>	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repa	ir68
<b>Figure (15):</b>	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repa	
<b>Figure (16):</b>	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	ir73

## List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (17):</b>	Actual operative view TAPP repairside with Triangle of doom demonst	•
<b>Figure</b> (18):	Actual view-TEP repair left sided inguinal Hernia	
<b>Figure (19):</b>	Important anatomic landmarks extraperitoneal space	
<b>Figure (20):</b>	Corona mortis	78
<b>Figure (21):</b>	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	<i>c</i> 94
<b>Figure (22):</b>	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia reparapproach	
<b>Figure (23):</b>	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repai Trocar placement	
Figure (24):	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia reparapproach	
<b>Figure (25):</b>	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia reparapproach	
<b>Figure (26):</b>	Port placement for TAPP hernia repa	ir104
<b>Figure (27):</b>	Right groin anatomy	104
<b>Figure (28):</b>	Superficial anatomic landmarks	105
Figure (29):	Peritoneal flap being developed utransverse peritoneal incision above the hernial orifice	placed
<b>Figure (30):</b>	Hernia sac and Bogros space dissec	tion 108
Figure (31):	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia TAPP approach	-
Figure (32):	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia TAPP approach	-

# List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (33):	Parietex Progrip Laparoscopic <sup>TM</sup> movisible coating on the upper part and adhesive on the lower section of the	and self-
<b>Figure (34):</b>	Progrip mesh	118
<b>Figure (35):</b>	After dissection of hernia sac	135
<b>Figure (36):</b>	Progrip mesh apply in the preperspace.	
<b>Figure (37):</b>	Fixing mesh using absorbable tack	s 136
<b>Figure (38):</b>	Closure of peritoneum.	137
<b>Figure (39):</b>	Showing mean age in Both Groups	143
<b>Figure (40):</b>	Showing mean sex in Both Groups	143
<b>Figure (41):</b>	Side of the hernia encountered study by percentage.	
Figure (42):	Type of hernia encountered in the percentage: Indicates that majority in each group are primary indirect hernia.	of cases inguinal
<b>Figure</b> (43):	Represents the mean operative between the two groups	
<b>Figure</b> (44):	Intraoperative complications amount two groups.	
<b>Figure (45):</b>	Postoperative pain evaluation between study groups	
<b>Figure (46):</b>	Wound infection percentage amor A	
<b>Figure (47):</b>	Scrotal and thigh pain is distribution among the 2 groups	

# List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (48):	Recurrence percentage among and B	-
Figure (49):	Represents the duration of hos postoperatively and the time return to normal activity.	taken to

## List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
ASIS	.Anterior Superior Iliac Spine
	.Cord Structures
	.Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
	.Chronic Postsurgical Pain
	European Hernia Society
<i>IC</i>	
	International Endohernia Society
	Inferior Epigastric Vessels
	Inguinal Hernia
<i>IR</i>	_
	.Monofilament Polyethyline Terephthalate
	.Medial Umbilical Ligament
	.Matrix Metalloproteinases
	.Myopectineal Orifice
	.Medial Umbilical Ligament
	Oblique Inguinal Hernia
	Preperitoneal Distention Balloon
PLA	<del>-</del>
	.Parietex ProGrip Laparoscopic
	Recurrent Inguinal Hernia
	.Self –Gripping Mesh
	Trans Abdominal pre Peritoneal Repair
	.Total Extra Peritoneal Repair
	Testicular Vessels
	.Visual Analogue Scale
<i>VD</i>	_

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Hernia is a common problem of the modern world with an incidence ranging from 5%-7%. Of all groin hernias, around 75% are inguinal hernias. Recently with advancement in laparoscopy, endoscopic repairs seem to offer better quality of life, decreasing hospital stay and early return to work.

Aim of the Work: to compare between self fixating mesh and fixation of non self fixating mesh with absorbaple tacks in laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair transabdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) approach as regards intraoperative time, complications, postoperative pain, return to normal activity and incidence of recurrence.

**Patients and Methods:** Our study is a randomized prospective study. It was conducted in El Demerdash, Ain- Shams University Hospital on 30 patients with inguinal hernia who were operated upon between September 2018 and December 2018 with minimal follow up of 3 months.

**Results:** Our study demonstrates that laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair using the TAPP technique with implantation of a new Parietex<sup>TM</sup> ProGrip<sup>TM</sup> laparoscopic self-fixation mesh is a fast, effective and reliable method in experienced hands, which combines the advantages of laparoscopic approach with simple and practical implantation of self-fixation mesh, which, according to our results, reduces the occurrence of chronic pain and the recurrence rate.

**Conclusion:** after this comparative study, both the use of SGM and fixation of mesh by absorpable tacks approaches are similarly effective in terms of operative time, the incidence of recurrence, complications and chronic pain coinciding with all the available literature.

**Keywords:** Transabdominal Preperitoneal - Laparoscopic Inguinal Hernia Repair

#### INTRODUCTION

fernia is a common problem of the modern world with an incidence ranging from 5%-7%. Of all groin hernias, around 75% are inguinal hernias (Ruhl and Everhart, 2007).

Recently with advancement in laparoscopy, endoscopic repairs seem to offer better quality of life, decreasing hospital stay and early return to work (Chung et al., 2011).

Herniorrhaphy techniques include: Bassini repair; Shouldice repair; McVayrepair.Hernioplasty techniques include: Anterior (Lichtenstein repair; Plug and patch repairs; Double layer hernia repair); Posterior (pre-peritoneal) repairs {Rieves repair; Stoppa repair; Laparoscopic/endoscopic repair [Total extra peritoneal repair (TEP); Trans abdominal pre peritoneal repair (TAPP)]} (Shouldice, 2003).

American college of surgeons and National Institute of Clinical Excellence consider Lichtenstein repair as gold standard open repair of inguinal hernia (Akinci et al., 2010).

In the early 1990's Arregui and Doin, described the techniques of the laparoscopic inguinal hernioplasty including: trans-abdominal pre-peritoneal repair (TAPP) around the same time Phillips and McKernan described the totally extraperitoneal (TEP) technique of endoscopic hernioplasty. In both these repairs, the mesh in direct contact with the fascia of the



transversalis muscle in the pre-peritoneal space, allows tissue ingrowths leading to the fixation of the mesh (Arregui and Young, 2005).

The general indications for laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair as opposed to watchful waiting are the same as those for open inguinal hernia repair. Classically, the existence of an inguinal hernia has been considered sufficient reason for operative intervention (*Chung and O'Dwyer*, 2007).

Some reports have listed specific indications for laparoscopy over open repair, including recurrent hernias, bilateral hernias, and the need for earlier return to full activities (Demetrashvili et al., 2011).

Several studies have demonstrated salutary outcomes for laparoscopic repair of recurrent hernias (Tantia et al., 2009).

In TAPP repair, titanium tacks also have traditionally been used to fix the mesh and can also be used to close the peritoneal flap. However, a 2011 report showed that acute pain was increased when more than 10 tacks were placed. A number of surgeons have now switched to using absorbable tacks to fix the mesh and close the peritoneum. Sutures or hernia stapling devices can also be employed (*Belyansky et al.*, 2011).

Some authors have advocated the use of fibrin glue to fixate the mesh (*Novik et al.*, 2006).



Still other authors use no fixation at all but instead rely on peritoneal pressure to maintain the mesh in proper position (Taylor et al., 2008).

Other surgeons use Self- fixating mesh (progrip mesh): Self-adhesive meshes are a relatively new advancement in inguinal hernia repair. They have been on the market since 2006 and have been used in both open and laparoscopic operations. Their use eliminates the complication risk, increased operation time, and expense that come with the mechanical fixation of implanted mesh. The popularity and increased use of self-adhesive mesh have been attributed to growing evidence of low rates of recurrence and postsurgical pain (Birk et al., 2013).

### **AIM OF THE WORK**

This study aims to compare between self fixating mesh and fixation of non self fixating mesh with absorbaple tacks in laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair transabdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) approach as regards intraoperative time, complications, postoperative pain, return to normal activity and incidence of recurrence.