



# **COMPARISON OF PERIOPERATIVE OUTCOMES BETWEEN MONOPOLAR AND BIPOLAR TRANSURETHRAL RESECTION OF BLADDER TUMORS**

**Thesis**

**Submitted for the partial Fulfillment of the MD Degree in Urology**

*By*

**Ahmed Mohamed Kassem**

M.B., B. Ch., M. Sc.

*Supervised by*

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Salah Hegazy**

Professor of Urology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

**Assistant Prof. Dr. Youssef Mahmoud Kotb**

Assistant professor of Urology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

**Dr. Mahmoud Ahmed Mahmoud**

Lecturer of Urology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2018



# مقارنة النتائج المحيطة بالجراحة بين إستخدام المنظار أحادي القطب وثنائي القطبين فى إستئصال أورام المثانة بالمنظار

رسالة توطئة  
للحصول على درجة الدكتوراه فى  
جراحة المسالك البولية و التناسلية

مقدمة من  
**الطبيب/ أحمد محمد قاسم**

تحت اشراف  
**أ.د/ أحمد صلاح حجازى**  
أستاذ جراحة المسالك البولية  
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

**أ.د.م/ يوسف محمود قطب**  
أستاذ مساعد جراحة المسالك البولية  
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

**د/ محمود أحمد محمود**  
مدرس جراحة المسالك البولية  
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب  
جامعة عين شمس  
٢٠١٨

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of All thanks to **ALLAH**

*I would like to express my deepest gratefulness to my supervisor; **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Salah Hegazy**, for his support, guidance and continuous advice.*

*Also, I would like to express my thanks to **Assistant Prof. Dr. Youssef Mahmoud Kotb** and **Dr. Mahmoud Ahmed Mahmoud**, for their help through this work.*

*I appreciate the indispensable comments, encouragement and generous cooperation offered by all the staff members of urology department in Ain Shams University Hospital to bring this work foreword.*

# LIST OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENT .....	i
LIST OF CONTENTS .....	ii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	iii
LIST OF TABLES .....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vi
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. AIM OF THE WORK.....	5
III. REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....	6
Epidemiology .....	6
Risk factors.....	8
Pathology.....	11
Diagnosis .....	19
Bipolar transurethral electrosurgery.....	29
IV. PATIENTS AND METHODS.....	36
V. RESULTS.....	47
VI. DISCUSSION .....	67
VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....	75
VIII. REFERENCES .....	78
ARABIC SUMMARY	

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>AJCC</b>	: American Joint Committee on Cancer
<b>ALA</b>	: Aminolevulinic acid
<b>AUA</b>	: American Urological Association
<b>BTA</b>	: Bladder tumor antigen
<b>BLC</b>	: Blue light cystoscopy
<b>bTURBT</b>	: bipolar Transurethral resection of bladder tumor
<b>CBC</b>	: Complete blood count
<b>CIS</b>	: Carcinoma in situ
<b>CT</b>	: Computed Tomography
<b>DM</b>	: Diabetes mellitus
<b>DRE</b>	: Digital rectal examination
<b>FISH</b>	: Fluorescence in situ hybridization
<b>HAL</b>	: Hexaminolevulinic acid
<b>HB</b>	: Hemoglobin
<b>HPV</b>	: Human papilloma virus
<b>HTN</b>	: Hypertension
<b>ICD</b>	: Implanted cardioverter defibrillator
<b>IHD</b>	: Ischemic heart disease
<b>IVU</b>	: Intra venous urography
<b>LN</b>	: Lymph node
<b>MRI</b>	: Magnetic resonance imaging
<b>mTURBT</b>	: Monopolar Transurethral resection of bladder tumor
<b>NBI</b>	: Narrow band imaging
<b>NCI</b>	: National Cancer Institute

<b>NMIBC</b>	: Non Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer
<b>NMP 22</b>	: Nuclear Matrix Proteins 22
<b>PDD</b>	: Photodynamic diagnosis
<b>PK</b>	: PlasmaKinetic
<b>PUNLMP</b>	: Papillary urothelial neoplasm of low malignant potential
<b>SCC</b>	: Squamous cell carcinoma
<b>SD</b>	: Standard deviation
<b>SPSS</b>	: Statistical package for the social sciences
<b>TCC</b>	: Transitional cell carcinoma
<b>TNM</b>	: Tumor, Node, Metastasis
<b>TURBT</b>	: Transurethral resection of bladder tumor
<b>TURP</b>	: Transurethral resection of prostate
<b>UCC</b>	: Urothelial cell cancer
<b>US</b>	: Ultrasonograohy
<b>USA</b>	: United States of America
<b>WHO</b>	: World Health Organization
<b>WLC</b>	: Wight light cystoscopy

# LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
(1) WHO grading system in 1973 and in 2004	<b>14</b>
(2) TNM Clinical Staging System	<b>16</b>
(3) Stage grouping	<b>17</b>
(4) Comparison between the two studied groups according to age distribution (years)	<b>47</b>
(5) Comparison between the two studied groups according to sex distribution	<b>48</b>
(6) Presenting symptoms	<b>50</b>
(7) Comparison between the two studied groups according to tumor size	<b>50</b>
(8) Comparison between the two studied groups according to location of the tumor	<b>52</b>
(9) Comparison between the two studied groups according to resection time	<b>54</b>
(10) Comparison between the two studied groups according to different parameters	<b>60</b>
(11) Comparison between the two studied groups according to postoperative hematuria and HB decrease	<b>62</b>
(12) Comparison between the two studied groups according to catheter time and hospital stay	<b>64</b>
(13) Comparison between the two studied groups according to histopathology, grade and stage	<b>65</b>

# LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
(1) Staging of urothelial carcinoma	17
(2) Pelvic ultrasound showing bladder tumor	25
(3) CT scan showing a bladder tumor	25
(4) MRI scan showing bladder tumor	25
(5) IVU showing a huge tumor over the right side of the bladder	26
(6) Suspected UB tumor by BLC (on right side) and WLC (on left side)	28
(7) The lesion appears darker by NBI (on right side) than WLC (on left side)	28
(8) Mechanism of PK bipolar resection	34
(9) The bright orange appearance of the energized plasma layer surrounding the active electrode resection loop	34
(10) Mechanism of PK bipolar vaporization	35
(11) Bipolar plasmakinetic vaporization	35
(12) Martin ME MB2	40
(13) AUTOCON® II 400 ESU	40
(14) KarlStorz bipolar loop	41
(15) KarlStorz bipolar Electrode	41
(16) Cables of plasmakinetic bipolar system	42
(17) Bipolar plasmakinetic transurethral resectoscope	42
(18) Comparison between the two studied groups according to Age (years)	48



<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
(19)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to Sex distribution	<b>49</b>
(20)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to mean tumor size	<b>51</b>
(21)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to location of the tumor	<b>53</b>
(22)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to resection time	<b>55</b>
(23)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to different parameters	<b>60</b>
(24)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to Postoperative hematuria and HB decrease	<b>63</b>
(25)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to catheter time and hospital stay	<b>64</b>
(26)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to pathology and grade	<b>66</b>
(27)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to stage	<b>66</b>

# ABSTRACT

***Aim of the work:*** The primary aim of the study was to compare the safety and the efficacy of plasmakinetic bipolar energy versus conventional monopolar energy in TURBT.

***Patients and Methods:*** From November 2015 to June 2017, 40 patients underwent endoscopic resection for primary bladder cancer. They were randomly assigned to two groups: 20 patients underwent a TURBT with bipolar plasmakinetic energy and 20 were treated with conventional monopolar energy. Efficacy was assessed by resection time, catheterization time, hospital stay and pathology. Safety was assessed by obturator nerve reflex, bladder perforation, hemoglobin decrease, and transfusion. Resected tissue was examined by a pathologist who recorded tumor size (>3cm), grade, invasion of the muscularis propria, and presence of muscular invasion. Standard TURBT was performed with conventional Storz monopolar device and glycine fluid was used for irrigation. For bipolar resection, Karl Storz - AUTOCON II 400 ESU bipolar device and normal saline for irrigation was used.

***Results:*** The mean operative time was  $22.85 \pm 7.52$  min for bTURBT and  $26.45 \pm 5.73$  min for mTURBT. In the mTURBT group; obturator reflex was seen in 5 (25%) patients. In the bTURBT group; obturator reflex was seen in one (5%) patient. Bladder perforation was reported in two cases for the mTURBT

group. Only one patient had TUR syndrome for the mTURBT group. No significant differences in the mean change of hemoglobin and serum sodium level were observed. Mean catheterization time was  $1.70 \pm 1.45$  days and  $2.55 \pm 2.11$  days for bTURBT and mTURBT, respectively. The mean hospital stay was  $1.25 \pm 0.55$  days and  $1.70 \pm 0.92$  days for bTURBT and mTURBT, respectively.

**Conclusions:** The results of this study indicated that bTURBT have lower incidence of complications especially bleeding, TUR syndrome, obturator jerks and bladder perforation. bTURBT represents a safe and effective procedure in the management of primary bladder tumors.

**Key words:** Plasmakinetic energy, transurethral resection of primary bladder tumors (TURBT), bipolar transurethral resection of bladder (bTURBT); monopolar transurethral resection of bladder (mTURBT).

## INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, bladder tumor is the fourth most common cancer in men and the eighth in women, with transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) comprising up to 90% of all primary bladder tumors. It is the second most common malignancy affecting the urinary system after prostate cancer. Bladder tumor is three to four times more common in men than in women (*Jemal et al., 2010*).

The majority of bladder tumors are TCC (around 90%) and the rest are squamous cell carcinomas, adenocarcinomas and rare varieties like small cell carcinoma. Around 70-75% of the bladder tumors are non-muscle invasive (pTa/pT1), while 25-30% are muscle invasive (pT2 and higher) at presentation (*Thalmann & Stein, 2008*).

Transurethral resection of bladder tumor (TURBT) is usually performed for the diagnosis and initial treatment of bladder tumor. Deep biopsy is a definitive step in TURBT for accurate pathologic diagnosis and for determining if conservative treatment is sufficient or more radical treatment is necessary (*Park et al, 2010*).

Traditionally, TURBT is performed by a monopolar electrocautery resecting loop with the use of hypotonic irrigation

fluid. The possible complications of this method include bladder perforation, bleeding and fluid absorption causing electrolyte imbalance. Recently, bipolar energy has been used for TURBT. There are several reports of favorable results of bipolar TURBT, including better hemostasis, shorter resection time, decreased obturator reflex, and shorter hospital stay (*Wang et al, 2013*).

The main difference between monopolar and bipolar systems is in the configuration of the current pathway. The mainstay of bipolar technology depends on its ability to operate in a conductive fluid medium (normal saline) instead of the non-conductive irrigation fluid (glycine). Furthermore, in the conventional monopolar TURBT (mTURBT), the active electrode is represented by the resecting loop, and the return electrode is a diathermic pad placed on the patient's body so that the energy travels a considerable distance through the body to complete the circuit (*Ahyai et al, 2010*).

In bipolar TURBT (bTURBT), the current does not pass through the patient, as it travels from the active electrode through the irrigation fluid to a negative return electrode. The modified current flow significantly decreases the chances for obturator nerve stimulation, and subsequently the risk of bladder perforation due to spontaneous contraction of the adductor muscle (*Wuand et al, 2012*).

The energy is transmitted from the loop electrode into the saline solution, allowing it to evaporate and form an interface

layer of gas around the loop. The addition of voltage to the gas causes excitation of the sodium ions to form plasma which is a highly energized layer (*Zhu et al, 2012*).

During resection, the conductive fluid is converted into a plasma layer around the resecting loop, which gives precise dissection and efficient coagulation, together with a significant reduction of the carbonization process. The plasma layer also avoids the sticking effect of the resected tissue on the loop (*Reich et al, 2010*).

An important advantage of bipolar electrocautery is less tissue charring and blackening. Excellent visualization of bladder tumor with bipolar resection provides controlled resection and avoids damaging of adjacent structures (*Lee et al, 2013*).

Another advantage of bipolar energy becomes obvious when treating high-risk patients with bladder tumors, such as those with implanted pacemakers and pregnant women (*Lee et al, 2013*).

Another proposed advantage of bTURBT is the ability to resect in saline, which helps to avoid TUR syndrome. Because the hypotonic irrigation fluid is essential when performing monopolar electrocautery, excess fluid absorption can lead to TUR syndrome. In the bipolar electrocautery system, by contrast, isotonic saline is used for irrigation. This advantage is very important because all

## *Introduction*

---

issues relating to the hypotonic irrigation fluid such as dilutional hyponatremia and TUR syndrome are expected to be eliminated (*Thomas& Brien, 2010*).