

**EFFECT OF SOME RUMINAL BACTERIA ON
DIGESTION CHARACTERISTICS
IN RUMINANTS**

By

AMIRA MOHAMED ABDO ABD EL MAKSOUH
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 2012

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirements for the Degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Agricultural Sciences
(Animal Nutrition)**

**Department of Animal Production
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2019

Approval Sheet

**EFFECT OF SOME RUMINAL BACTERIA ON
DIGESTION CHARACTERISTICS
IN RUMINANTS**

By

AMIRA MOHAMED ABDO ABD EL MAKSOU

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 2012

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Sabbah Mahmoud Allam

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo
University

Dr. Fouad Abd El-Aziz Salem

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Hany Mahmoud Gado

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University

Date of Examination: / /

EFFECT OF SOME RUMINAL BACTERIA ON DIGESTION CHARACTERISTICS IN RUMINANTS

By

AMIRA MOHAMED ABDO ABD EL MAKSOU
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 2012

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Hany Mahmoud Gado

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal supervisor)

Dr. Hamdy Moussa Metwally

Lecturer Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Amr Ali El-Giziry

Head Researches of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Nutrition, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center

ABSTRACT

Amira Mohamed Abdo Abd El Maksoud: Effect of some Ruminant Bacteria on Digestion Characteristics in Ruminants. Unpublished M.Sc., Thesis, Department of Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2019.

The present study was conducted, at the Department of Animal Nutrition. Animal Production Research Institute and Department of Animal Production lab, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. To investigate the effect of treating different roughages with different ZAD concentration on its chemical composition after incubation times. Four roughages materials (sugarcane bagasse (SCB), rice straw (RS), corn stover (CS), wheat straw (WS)) were incubated for 4 different, times (1, 2, 3 and 4 weeks) and treated with 5 different concentration of probiotic ZAD (0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2 ml) which consist of anaerobic bacteria (ruminococcus) and specific enzymes such as cellulase, hemicellulose, amylase and protease. Chemical analysis for four roughages materials were carried out to determine DM, CP, EE, CF, and Ash contents and NDF, ADF and ADL. In vitro rate technique was used in this trial to determine the rate of DM and OM disappearance of experimental rations, TGP and Ph. Also, account of bacterial ruminococcus. The results indicate that treatments increased CP, EE, and decreased CF of all roughages used in the present study. Effect of treatments was significant and clear after 3 and 4 weeks of ensiling. SCB was the most affected roughage by treatments. In vitro study showed that SCB was the highest in total gas production (TGP). The highest DMD was observed for CS with ZAD concentration of 2 ml/ kg and after 48 hrs. of incubation. The highest bacterial count was observed with WS treated with 2 ml/kg of ZAD and incubated for 4 weeks. Therefore, It can be concluded that ZAD treatment increase CP and EE of all roughages and decrease CF content by about 27%. Also, treatments may increase percentage of DMD as indicator for digestion.

It was recommended to add ZAD probiotic with 1 ml/kg DM and incubate for four weeks to improve the utilization of the experimental roughages.

And it is needed for more studies to ensure the improvement of nutritional value of these by-product when treated with this treatment.

Key words: Roughages, probiotic ZAD, enzyme, incubation, *In vitro*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank **Allah**, the most gracious, most beneficent most merciful for the help and guidance to achieve goals and them possible.

I wish to express my sincere thanks, deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Professor Dr. Hany Mahmoud Gado**, Professor of Animal Nutrition, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his kind and close supervision, valuable assistance and guidance through the course of the study.

Deep thanks are due to **Dr. Hamdy Moussa Metwally** Lecture Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his continuous help, guidance and patience throughout the course of this work.

Many thanks are also due to **Dr. Amr Ali El-Giziry** Head Researches of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Nutrition, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center to his continuous help, guidance and patience throughout the course of this work.

Many thanks are also due to **Dr. Ibrahim Shakweer, Dr. Shereen El- Mashed and Dr. Reda El-Sayed Hamouda** Researchers in Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center for their help and patience throughout work, written and statistical analysis.

My appreciation and thanks to the team work of the rumen research lab, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University including **Mrs. Hend and Mr. M. Anter**.

Finally, deepest appreciation and sincere gratefulness are due to **my father, mother, brothers and my friends** for their encouragement and moral support during all my life.

CONTENTS

	Page
1- INTRODUCTION	1
2- REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1. Roughage	3
2.1.1. Definition.....	3
2.1.2. Comparative chemical composition	3
2.1.3. Effect of roughages on ruminal activity of animals.....	7
2.2. Treatments of roughages	14
2.2.1. Chemical treatment.....	14
2.2.2. Biological treatment	18
2.2.3. Microorganisms	19
2.2.4. Enzymes	22
2.3. ZAD	26
2.4. Effect of biological treatments on chemical of roughage	31
2.5. <i>In vitro</i> dry matter and organic matter	35
3- MATERIALS AND METHODS	40
3.1. Raw material.....	40
3.2. Experimental design	40
3.3. Composting Process	40
3.4. Chemical analyses	41
3.5. <i>In vitro</i> DM disappearances (IVDMD)	41
3.6. Bacterial counts for <i>ruminococcus</i>	42
3.7. Statistical analyses.....	43
3.7.1. Statistical analyses to evaluate the effect of times on chemical analysis	43

3.7.2. Statistical analyses to evaluate the effect of roughage and ZAD on levels of chemical analysis	43
3.7.3. <i>In vitro</i> analysis	44
3.7.4. Bacterial count analysis for Ruminococcus	45
4- RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	46
4.1. Chemical composition	46
4.2. Effect of ensiling time on chemical composition of treated roughages	49
4.3. Effect of ZAD concentration and roughage source on chemical composition of roughages.....	52
4.3.1. Effect of roughage source on chemical composition.....	52
4.3.2. Effect of ZAD concentration on chemical composition of roughages.....	57
4.3.3. Effect of interaction between roughage source and ZAD concentration on CP content.....	60
4.4. Effect of roughage source, ZAD concentration and incubation time on <i>in vitro</i> parameters.....	61
4.4.1. <i>In vitro</i> total gas production	61
4.4.2. <i>In-vitro</i> pH	67
4.4.3. <i>In-vitro</i> dry matter disappearance.....	63
4.4.4. <i>In-vitro</i> organic matter disappearance.....	65
4.5. Bacterial count.....	67
5- SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	71
6- REFERENCES.....	73
ARABIC SUMMARY.....	91

LIST OF TABLE

No.	Title	Page
1	Chemical composition of rice straw (RS) in review.....	4
2	Chemical composition of wheat straw (WS) in review	4
3	Chemical composition of corn stover (CS) in review.....	5
4	Chemical composition of sugarcane bagasse (SCB) in review.....	5
5	Rice straw (RS) treatments in the review.	31
6	Wheat straw (WS) treatments in the review.	32
7	Corn Stover (CS) treatments in the review.	32
8	Sugar Cane Bagasse (SCB) treatments in the review.	33
9	Previous studies concerning the effect of different treatment on rice straw (RS) <i>in vitro</i> dry matter and organic matter disappearance	35
10	Previous studies concerning the effect of different treatment on wheat straw (WS) <i>in vitro</i> dry matter and organic matter disappearance	36
11	Previous studies concerning the effect of different treatment on corn stover (CS) <i>in vitro</i> dry matter and organic matter disappearance.....	36
12	Previous studies concerning the effect of different treatment on sugarcane bagasse (SCB) <i>in vitro</i> dry matter and organic matter disappearance	37
13	The components of the buffer.	42
14	Chemical composition of untreated roughages (rice straw, wheat straw, corn stover, bagasse) on DM basis.	47
15	Effect of time on chemical composition (on DM basis).....	51
16	Effect of roughages treated with ZAD on chemical composition (on DM basis).....	54
17	Changes in chemical composition caused by treatments.....	55

No.	Title	Page
18	Effect of ZAD concentration on chemical composition of roughages (on DM basis).	59
19	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and ZAD concentration on CP content of treated roughage.	61
20	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and ZAD concentration on (TGP) <i>in vitro</i> (ml3).	61
21	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and incubation time on <i>in vitro</i> (TGP) (ml3).	62
22	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and ZAD concentration on <i>in vitro</i> (pH).	63
23	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and incubation time on <i>in vitro</i> (pH).	63
24	Effect of interaction between roughages sources and ZAD concentration on <i>in vitro</i> dry matter disappearance (DMD) %	64
25	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and incubation time on <i>in vitro</i> dry matter disappearance (DMD) %	65
26	Effect of interaction between roughages sources and ZAD concentration on <i>in vitro</i> (OMD) % .	66
27	Effect of interaction between roughages sources and time on <i>in vitro</i> (OMD) %	67
28	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and ZAD concentration on bacterial count.	67
29	Effect of interaction between roughages sources and time on bacterial count.	68

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1	Crude protein content of untreated roughages	47
2	Crude fiber content of untreated roughages	48
3	Ether extract content of untreated roughages.....	48
4	Dry matter content of untreated roughages.....	48
5	Effect of incubation time on CP content of experimental roughages	49
6	Effect of incubation time on CF content of experimental roughages	49
7	Effect of incubation time on DM content of experimental roughages	50
8	Effect of incubation time on EE content of experimental roughages	50
9	Effect of incubation time on fiber fraction content of experimental roughages	50
10	Effect of roughage sources on CF.....	53
11	Effect of roughage sources on fiber fraction.....	53
12	Dry matter content of experimental roughages before and after treatments.....	55
13	Crude fiber content of experimental roughages before and after treatments.....	55
14	Ether extract content of experimental roughages before and after treatments.....	56
15	Crude protein content of experimental roughages before and after treatments.....	56
16	Effect of ZAD concentration on crude fiber content of experimental roughages	58
17	Effect of ZAD concentration on fiber fractions of experimental roughages	58
18	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and ZAD	60

	concentration on CP content of treated roughage	
19	Effect of interaction between roughage source and incubation time <i>in vitro</i> (DMD)	65
20	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and ZAD concentration (bacterial count)	69
21	Effect of interaction between roughage sources and time (bacterial count)	69

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

A.O.A.C.	Association of Official Analytical Chemical
ADF	Acid detergent fiber
ADIP	Acid detergent insoluble protein
ADL	Acid detergent lignin
APRI	Animal production research institute
BS	Barley straw
CF	Crude fiber
CP	Crude protein
CS	Corn stover
DG	Dry mixed grass
DM	Dry matter
DMD	Dry matter disappearance
EE	Ether extract
GE	Grosse energy
GS	Gram straw
IVDMD	<i>In vitro</i> dry matter disappearance
LS	Lentil straw
MST	Maize stover
NDF	Neutral detergent fiber
NFE	Nitrogen free extract
NSC	Non-structural carbohydrate
OMD	Organic matter disappearance
OS	Oat straw
PMST	Pearl millet stover
RS	Rice straw
SCB	Sugarcane bagasse

SST	Sorghum stover
TGP	Total gas production
TMR	Total mixed ration
VFA	Volatile fatty acid
WS	Wheat straw

INTRODUCTION

Many agricultural by-products have been used in ruminant nutrition for a long time in Egypt. Rice straw, wheat straw, corn stover and bagasse are common agricultural by products in Egypt .They are usually used to feed ruminant animals as low quality roughages because of their low nutritive value, high fiber and lignocellulose content (**Leng 1991**),they are also low in minerals, especially phosphorus, insufficient in crude protein and deficient in vitamins (**Tingshuag et al., 2002**). Several digestibility experiments and feeding trials have been conducted to study the effect of nutritive value of crop residues on digestibility (**Khattab et al., 2008, 2013 and Kholif et al., 2014**). It has been concluded that high fiber contents negatively affect voluntary intake and rate of organic matter fermentation. Feeding such residues without treatment or with treatment supplementation, can just or barely cover maintenance energy requirement.

Several methods have been suggested for improving feeding value of agricultural by-products. Ensiling of many dry crop by-products have been used with addition of microorganisms and/or enzymes after chopping, reconstitution of moisture and processing then saved in an anaerobic condition (**Nahla et al., 2014**).

Probiotics are common biological treatments used recently to enhance silage quality and improve nutritive value of ensiled by products. However concentrations used and incubation time recommended were not fully discussed and needed some further studies.

One of these probiotics that been used successfully through last few years is ZAD. It is a probiotic invented by (**Gado 1997**) and used by many other investigators such as: (**Nahla et al., 2014**) in rabbits, (**Ibrahim et al., 2017**) in ruminants and (**Gado et al. 2011, 2009**). To improve nutrient, value of agricultural by products in order to replace