

**MATHEMATICAL MODEL BY PREDICTING THE
DISTRIBUTION OF SOIL MOISTURE IN THE
ROOT ZONE OF TURF LANDSCAPE**

By

SHAHENDA YEHIA EBRAHIM ALI EL BASHA

B.Sc. Agric. Eng., Faculty of Agric., Ain Shams University, 2014

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirement for the Degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Agricultural Sciences
(On- farm Irrigation and Drainage Engineering)**

**Department of Agricultural Engineering
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2019

Approval sheet

**MATHEMATICAL MODEL BY PREDICTING THE
DISTRIBUTION OF SOIL MOISTURE IN THE
ROOT ZONE OF TURF LANDSCAPE**

BY

SHAHENDA YEHIA EBRAHIM ALI EL BASHA

B.Sc. Agric. Eng., Faculty of Agric., Ain Shams University, 2014

This thesis for M.Sc. Degree has been approved by:

Dr. Magdy Tawfek El-Tantawy
Head Researchers of Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural
Engineering Researchers Institute, Dokki, Giza

Dr. Khaled Faran el Bagoury
Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University.

Dr. Osama Mohamed Ahmed Bedair
Assoc. Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University.

Dr. Ahmed Abo El Hassan Abdel-Aziz
Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University.

Date of examination: 26 / 2 /2019

MATHEMATICAL MODEL BY PREDICTING THE DISTRIBUTION OF SOIL MOISTURE IN THE ROOT ZONE OF TURF LANDSCAPE

By

SHAHENDA YEHIA EBRAHIM ALI EL BASHA

B.Sc. Agric. Eng., Faculty of Agric., Ain shams university, 2014

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Ahmed Abo El Hassan Abdel- Aziz

Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural
Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.
(Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Osama Mohamed Bedair

Assoc. Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural
Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Mohamed Yehia Akl

Assoc. Prof. of Mathematics and Engineering Physical, Faculty of
Engineering , Banha University.

ABSTRACT

Shahenda yehia Ebrahim Ali El Basha: Mathematical Model By Predicting the Distribution of Soil Moisture in the Root Zone of Turf Landscape. Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2019.

A laboratory experiment was conducted to study the irrigation interval day around effective root zone for turf, used three treatments (spray, SDI and hydrogel) by measuring the moisture content every day in soil and then determine irrigation interval time for every irrigation treatment.

The Field experimental was carried out in Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Kalubia Governorate , Egypt , Throughout the period from 21/10/2016 to 20/10/2017 (12 months), to construct mathematical model by predicting the distribution of soil moisture content in the root zone of turf landscape .

Experimental area of $182.25m^2$ divided into 9 plots ($4.5*4.5m$) for three irrigation treatments ((spray type (nozzles 15) under pressure 2 bar, with discharge $0.8 m^3/ hr$, SDI (Type of emitters used (built-in) with discharge of 2L/h at 1.0 bar operating pressure) and hydrogel where it is a unique material in terms of its ability to absorb water) . Each treatment replicated three times , The plants used was one of the landscape plants (passpalm 10) at the landscape area and it was new rolls and the roots were short.

Results of laboratory experiment could be summarized as follows:

- Through the laboratory experiment, the distance between the irrigation was calculated under three treatments (spray – SDI – hydrogel).
- Period between irrigation under spray irrigation was daily for a quarter of an hour and under the SDI irrigation was daily for half an hour , while under the hydrogel treatment was done every four

days because the wilt point of soil was 9% , field capacity of soil was 22% .

- Results of Field experimental could be summarized as follows:
- Annual water consumption less by 77.3%, 71.3% when using hydrogel , compared with other irrigation systems (spray, and SDI) resp. , this is due to the quantity of loss water from evaporation in spray irrigation treatment , sun exposure more than (SDI, hydrogel) irrigation treatments .
- The hydrogel treatment has highest water saving by 166% and 69% compared with (SDI and hydrogel) irrigation treatments resp., because the hydrogel's ability to hold water and a large period between irrigation when using hydrogel in the soil .
- The SDI treatment has highest electrical saving by 35.7% and 293% compared with (spray and hydrogel) irrigation treatments resp.
- The turf quality index (color , density , ground cover) give high degree at hydrogel treatment compared with other irrigation treatments (SDI , spray) , this is due to the hydrogel have many materials , both naturally occurring and synthetic and ability of water saving around root zone of turf .
- The average of soil moisture contents at (10cm,15cm) depth of soil in hydrogel treatment was highest compared with (Spray , SDI) irrigation systems resp.

Keywords: Irrigation, Spray, Hydrogel , Sub-surface drip irrigation, turf, Distribution of soil moisture.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All of thank to Allah the most gracious the most merciful to give me power to finish this work. I would like to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed A. Abdel Aziz** Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor) for suggesting the problem of study and for his kind supervision throughout this work.

The authoresses also, express her deep thanks to **Dr. Osama M. Bedair** , Assoc. Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture , Ain Shams University for fruitful discussion, Kind helping, continuous encouragement and sincere guidance and support during the investigation , all staff members of the Agricultural Engineering Department, Faculty of Agricultural, Ain Shams University.

I would like to thank **Dr. Mohamed Y. Akl** , Assoc. Prof. of Mathematics and Engineering Physics, Faculty of Engineering University, for supporting and helping me all the time , And I would like to thank also **Dr. Enas M. Ahmed** , prof. of Chemical Engineering , Department of Chemical Engineering and Pilot Plant National Research Centre for providing us hydrogel material .

Special thanks to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed E. Galal** , Prof. and Head of soil Department , Faculty of Agriculture , Ain Shams University, for helping me.

Finally, deepest appreciations are going towards my family especially **my mother, my father, my sister, my brothers and my friends** for their understanding, patience and loving encouragement to complete my study.

CONTENTS

	Page
1-INTRODUCTION	1
2- REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1. The Benefit of Landscape	3
2.2. Water Losses in Irrigation Turf	3
2.3. Irrigation System for Turf	4
2.3.1. Spray Heads and Rotating Irrigation Nozzles	4
2.3.2. Subsurface Drip Irrigation System	5
2.3.3. Effect of Spray and Sub Surface Drip Irrigation Systems on Turf	6
2.3.4. Impact of Using Hydrogel in Root Zone Turf	6
2.3.5. The Effect of Using the Hydrogel on the Turf Sign	8
2.4. Mathematical Model	9
2.5. Irrigation Scheduling	10
2.5.1 Management Allowable Depletion	11
2.6. Moisture Content Level Below Root Zone for Turf Using Different Irrigation System (Spray and Subsurface Drip)	11
2.7. Distribution Uniformity	12
2.8. Determine Moisture Content Level by Using Mathematical Model Below Root Zone for Turf Using Different Irrigation System (Spray and Subsurface Drip)	13
2.9. Energy Consumption of Irrigation Systems	14
2.10. Turf Quality Index	14
3-MATERIALS AND METHODS	15
3.1. Laboratory Experiment	15
3.2. Field Experiment	17
3.3. Field Experiment Layout and Design	19
3.4. Irrigation Treatments	21
3.5. Describe Mathematical Model	22
3.6. Transactions and Equipment Used in the Experiment	27

	Page
3.6.1. Specifications of the Device	27
3.6.2. Calibration of Moisture Device	28
3.6.3. Pressure and Disposal Device	29
3.7. Irrigation Scheduling	30
3.7.1. Landscape Irrigation Scheduling	30
3.7.2. Irrigation Run Time for the Interval	31
3.7.3. Landscape Water Needs	31
3.7.4. Plant Water Requirement	32
3.7.5. Available Water Holding Capacity (AWHC)	34
3.7.6. Plant Available Water	34
3.8. Distribution Uniformity	35
3.9. Statistical Analysis	37
3.10. Quality Index	37
3.11. Cost Analysis	37
4-RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	39
4.1. Effect of different irrigation treatments on water consumption for different seasons	39
4.2. Effect of different irrigation treatments on annual total water consumption ($L/m^2/year$)	40
4.2. Effect of different irrigation treatments on annual total water consumption ($L/m^2/year$)	40
4.3. Appropriate interval irrigation time by using different treatments (hydrogel, spray and SDI) depend on depletion soil limit (MAD,%)	41
4.4. Effect of different irrigation treatments (hydrogel , SDI and spray) on distribution of moisture content around root zone of turf in four seasons	42
4.4.1. (Autumn season)	42
4.4.2. (winter season)	43
4.4.3. (spring season)	44
4.4.4. (summer season)	45

III

	Page
4.5. Costs of different irrigation treatments (spray , SDI and hydrogel)	46
4.5.1. Annual water consumption ($\text{m}^3/\text{m}^2/\text{year}$)	46
4.5.2. Annual electrical consumption (Kw.h)	47
4.6. Effect of different irrigation treatments on turf quality index	48
5-SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	49
6- REFERENCES	51
7- APPPENDIX	56

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
(1)	Management Allowable Depletion with no stress....	11
(2)	Rating of emission uniformity (EU) for drip/micro-irrigation.....	13
(3)	Some physical properties of the Soil Samples Under Investigation.....	18
(4)	Some Chemical Properties of the Soil Samples Under Investigation.....	18
(5)	Some Chemical Properties of the Irrigation Water (Domestic Water) Under Investigation.....	18
(6)	Soil Moisture Content	19
(7)	Device Specification.....	28
(8)	Species Factor (Ks) for Different Plant Types.....	33
(9)	Microclimate Factor (Kmc) for Different Plant Types.....	33
(10)	Density Factor (Kd) for Different Plant Types.....	33
(11)	Available Water Holding Capacities for Various Soil textures.....	34
(12)	Rating of Lower Quarter Distribution Uniformity (DULQ) for Different Sprinklers.....	35
(13)	indicate turf quality index	37
(14)	Amount of water consumed per liter in each season	56
(15)	Soil moisture content ,% and Water holding capacity in soil (cm^3) in spray treatment	56
(16)	Soil moisture content ,% and Water holding capacity in soil (cm^3) in SDI treatment.....	57
(17)	Soil moisture content ,% and Water holding capacity in soil (cm^3) in hydrogel treatment.....	57
(18)	Annual water and electrical consumption.....	58
(19)	Quality index for each treatments.....	58

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.No.	Page
Fig. (1) The evapotranspiration cycle.....	3
Fig. (2) spray heads and irrigation nozzles.....	5
Fig. (3) Laboratory model to determine irrigation interval time under hydrogel irrigation treatment.....	15
Fig. (4) Laboratory model to determine irrigation interval time under spray irrigation treatment.....	16
Fig. (5) Laboratory model to determine irrigation interval time under SDI irrigation treatment.....	17
Fig. (6) Field experiment Layout	20
Fig. (7) Laboratory model to study wetting front from typhoon dripper line.....	23
Fig. (8) Soil moisture meter , Model : PMS-714.....	27
Fig. (9) Calibration curve of soil moisture content.....	29
Fig. (10) Pressure guge.....	29
Fig. (11) flow meter.....	30
Fig. (12) Distribution catch can in field under investigation ...	36
Fig. (13) Distribution catch can in field under investigation.....	36
Fig. (14) Effect of different irrigation treatments on water consumption for different seasons.....	39
Fig. (15) Effect of different irrigation treatments on annual total water Consumption.....	40
Fig. (16) Appropriate interval irrigation time by using different irrigation treatments.....	41
Fig. (17) Effect of different irrigation treatments on distribution of moisture content around root zone of turf in autumn season.....	42
Fig. (18) Effect of different treatments on distribution of moisture content around root zone of turf in winter season.....	43

Fig.No.		Page
Fig. (19)	Effect of different treatments on distribution of moisture content around root zone of turf in spring season.....	44
Fig. (20)	Effect of different treatments on distribution of moisture content around root zone of turf in summer season.....	45
Fig. (21)	Effect of different irrigation treatments on annual water consumption ($\text{m}^3/\text{m}^2/\text{year}$).....	46
Fig. (22)	Effect of different irrigation treatments on annual electrical consumption ($\text{m}^3/\text{m}^2/\text{year}$).....	47
Fig. (23)	Effect of different irrigation treatments on turf quality index.....	48

INTRODUCTION

Water scarcity is a growing global problem in some parts of the world , Egypt is one of those countries facing such challenges, .it receives about 98% of its fresh water from outside , the population of Egypt is the main cause of it is problem , Since 1959, Egypt's share of Nile water has been estimated at about 55.5 billion cubic meters per year , this was when the population of Egypt was about 25 million , Egypt now has three other peoples, with more than 98 million people (**National Organization for Mobilization and Statistics**)

In Egypt, there are a lot of residential compounds, touristic villages and municipalities' landscape and all of these require a big amount of irrigation water per day , the majority of these turfs are grown on highly permeable sandy soils. Careful management is therefore required to achieve an acceptable balance between maintaining turf quality, reducing water use and minimizing water and nutrient loss beyond the root zone (**Del Marco, 1990**).

Proper irrigation system selection for strips, islands, and areas near buildings, sidewalk, and steep areas is very important to obtain good turf quality, minimum operation ,costs and water losses (**Bedair , 2018**).

R. Kjlgren et al (2000) stated that , with increasing seasonal water use most of them goes to landscape , because the high water requirement , increasing use of irrigation for landscape is causing new demands for efficient irrigation systems .

There is a problem of loss of water due to the use of uni-spray in the turf areas, where this loss is in several forms: evaporation of water from the surface, the volatilization of water droplets with the change of the prevailing weather, the emergence of some salts on the surface of the soil requiring an additional amount of water to wash these salts and covers Small spaces require more sprinklers , (**Irrisoft, 2014**).

INTRODUCTION

Toro solutions (2006) reported that narrow or irregularly shaped areas, including turf, less than 8 feet in width in any direction, shall be irrigated with sub-surface drip irrigation or low volume water irrigation system, sub-surface drip irrigation saves water with minimal water loss due to mist, evaporation, runoff or wind drift. There have been some investigations of the viability of using sub-surface drip irrigation (SDI) to irrigate turf grass (**Johnson and Leinauer, 2004; Devitt and Miller, 1988 and Ferguson, 1994**), some of the benefits of SDI over conventional irrigation are that it operates at lower volumes and flow rates, puts water directly into the root zone, and is thus less susceptible to losses from wind and evapotranspiration.

The use of hydrogel down the root zone to turf a good irrigation method to provide water and at the same time give the plant its need without waste. Where it is a unique material in terms of its ability to absorb water and its resistance to degradation. They are gelatin placed under the surface at specific distances that absorb water quickly and retain large amounts of it. It shows the ability to inflate and retain a large part of the water inside its structure, but it will not dissolve in the water. It is a super absorbent where it forms a group of polymeric materials. Keep large amounts of water in their 3D networks.

El-Gindy et al. (2001), mentioned that, sandy soil has low holding capacity, so using soil conditioners especially polymers can increase the water holding capacity of the soil. The use of polymer is not restricted to only sandy soils but also to clay soils however it can improve soil hydraulic conductivity, seed emergence and eliminate crust problems. They also reported that incorporated polymer into the soil will improve soil structure and water retention, thus reducing leaching, reducing water losses due to percolation and evaporation, protecting the plant against water stress and increasing both the nutrient and water supply to the roots.

INTRODUCTION

Objectives of this study are:

- 1- Predicting the distribution of moisture levels below the root zone turf area as a result of the addition of hydrogel .
- 2- Determine the best application rates of water for hydrogel treatment compared with using different irrigation system (spray and SDI) treatments .
- 3- Determine the best quality index (color – density – ground cover%) under three treatments (hydrogel – SDI – Spray) irrigation systems .