



# **Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization- Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI- TOF MS) for the Identification of Bacteria Causing Urinary Tract Infections**

*Thesis*

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of MD degree in Basic  
Medical Sciences (Medical Microbiology and Immunology)*

*Presented by*

**Ahmed Gad Taha Mahmoud**

*M.B.B.ch., M.Sc.*

*Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, 2013*

*Under the supervision of*

**Prof. Nehal Mohamed Anwar Fahim**

*Professor and Head of Medical Microbiology  
& Immunology Department*

*Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Lamia Fouad Fathi**

*Professor of Medical Microbiology & Immunology  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Walaa Abd El-Latif El- Sadek**

*Assistant Professor of Medical Microbiology & Immunology  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University  
2019*

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالَ

لَسْبَدَانِكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا  
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ  
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

# Acknowledgment

No words can ever express my sincere gratitude to **Allah** who guide, aid and bless me in everything and everywhere in my life. And whom I relate any success in achieving any work in my life. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my Eminen.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Nehal Mohamed Anwar Fahim**, Professor of Microbiology & Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for giving me the honour of working under her supervision.

Also I would like to express my gratitude to **Prof. Lamia Fouad Fathy**, Professor of Microbiology & Immunology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for her support, advice and assistance in initiating and completing this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Walaa Abd El-Latif El- Sadek**, Assistant Professor of Microbiology & Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University this research would not have been possible without her support.

No words can express my feeling and respect to them regarding their continuous encouragement at every stage of this work.

I appreciate their vast knowledge and skill in many areas (e.g., vision, ethics, and interaction with participants); they provided me with direction, technical support, constant encouragement, invaluable suggestions made this work successful, their willingness to share their bright thoughts with me for the stimulating medical discussion and for the continuous support and guidance throughout this work.

A very special thanks goes out to **Major General Dr. Wael Ahmed Abd EL Hamid Moustafa**, Head of Armed forces Central laboratory who gave me a lot of support and help

I must also acknowledge all members of bacteriology department of Military central laboratory.

Finally, I would like to thank everybody who was important to the successful realization of thesis, as well as expressing my apology that I could not mention personally one by one.

# *Dedication* *to*

**The sole of my Parents**

**My loving& caring wife**

**My kids**

**My Dear sisters**

# *List of Contents*

Title	Page No.
List of Tables .....	6
List of Figures .....	8
List of Abbreviations .....	9
Introduction .....	1
Aim of the Work .....	13
Review of Literature	
▪ Urinary Tract Infection .....	14
▪ Diagnosis of UTI .....	28
▪ Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) .....	38
Materials and methods .....	50
Results .....	60
Discussion .....	77
Summary .....	84
Conclusion .....	87
Recommendations .....	88
References .....	89
Arabic Summary .....	—

## *List of Tables*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Composition of C.L.E.D medium. ....	51
<b>Table (2):</b>	Isolated colonies characteristics on C.L.E.D medium.....	52
<b>Table (3):</b>	Shows composition of Chromogenic UTI Agar medium.....	52
<b>Table (4):</b>	Identification colour of spp. on Chromogenic UTI Agar media. ....	53
<b>Table (5):</b>	Demographic data of the selected patients. ....	60
<b>Table (6):</b>	Identified organisms directly by MALDI-TOF MS in relation to gram stain.....	62
<b>Table (7):</b>	Pellet Sufficiency versus cells by microscopic examination. ....	63
<b>Table (8):</b>	Genus level and Species level Identification by MALDI-TOF MS Direct in relation to the amount examined. ....	64
<b>Table (9):</b>	Pellet Sufficiency in relation to identification by MALDI-TOF MS direct from urine samples.....	65
<b>Table (10):</b>	MALDI-TOF MS Direct Score in Identified and Unidentified Specimen. ....	65
<b>Table (11):</b>	Frequency and percent of organsims by direct MALDI-TOF MS.....	66
<b>Table (12):</b>	Different groups of identification by direct method in relation to score. ....	68
<b>Table (13):</b>	Comparison among different study groups by direct identification.....	69

*List of Tables Cont...*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (14):</b>	MALDI-TOF MS Culture Score in different CFU cultures.....	70
<b>Table (15):</b>	Identified Organisms by MALDI-TOF MS from culture in relation to Gram stain Results.....	71
<b>Table (16):</b>	Frequency and percent of identification of organisms from culture.....	72
<b>Table (17):</b>	Identification of organisms by colours on culture media. ....	73
<b>Table (18):</b>	Each group of identification by MALDI-TOF MS after culture in relation to score.....	73
<b>Table (19):</b>	Cross tabulation test between direct and from culture methods.....	74
<b>Table (20):</b>	Shows identification of spp. By BD PHONEIX and PCR. ....	75
<b>Table (21):</b>	Sensitivity and specificity of MALDI-TOF MS direct method in comparison to MALDI-TOF MS after culture method.....	76

## *List of Figures*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Fig. (1):</b>	Shows Bruker MALDI-TOF MS .....	58
<b>Fig. (2):</b>	Shows mass spectrum of E.coli by MALDI-TOF MS .....	58
<b>Fig. (3):</b>	Shows 10 probabilities of sample with score by MALDI-TOF MS .....	59
<b>Fig. (4):</b>	Shows BD PHONEIX for identification and sensitivity of microorganisms. ....	59
<b>Fig. (5):</b>	The percentage of identification by MALDI-TOF MS direct from urine samples. ....	61
<b>Fig. (6):</b>	The different spp. isolated by direct method. ....	67
<b>Fig. (7):</b>	The results of cultures and CfU/ml of each culture. ....	70
<b>Fig. (8):</b>	Comparison between MALDI-TOF MS direct and from culture. ....	75



## *List of Abbreviations*

Abb.	Full term
ASB .....	Asymptomatic bacteruria
CAUTI .....	Catheter associated UTI
CDC .....	The center for disease control and prevention
CFU .....	Colony-forming units
CLSI .....	Clinical and laboratory Standards Institute.
ELISA .....	Enzyme Linked immunosorbent assay
MALDI-TOF MS..	Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization- Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry
MDR .....	Multidrug resistance
MICS.....	Minimal inhibitory concentrations
MIS .....	Microbial identification system
MSU.....	Mid stream urine
NCCLS.....	National committee for Clinical laboratory Standards
NPV .....	Negative predictive values
PCR.....	Polymerase chain reaction
PPV .....	Positive predictive values

## INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a severe public health problem. Many microorganisms cause UTIs. With the majority of cases are caused by *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*. The problem of high recurrence rates and antimicrobial resistance in urinary tract pathogens is increased. This lead to increase the economic burden of these infections (*Flores-Mireles et al., 2015*).

Microbiological confirmation of a UTI takes 24–48 h. In the meantime, patients are usually given empirical antimicrobial therapy, sometimes unnecessarily or inadequately. Anticipation of clinically useful data is of the greatest importance, with both diagnostic and therapeutic consequences (*Burillo et al., 2014*).

Traditional bacterial identification in the clinical microbiology laboratory is done by biochemical and phenotypic analysis, using both manual and automated systems, in addition to molecular methods. While some of these techniques are rapid, the majority depend on microbial growth and utilization of biochemicals, requiring hours to days for identification (*Saffert et al., 2011*).

In the recent years several chromogenic media have been developed and commercialized, allowing for more specific direct differentiation of microorganisms on primary plates. The

Chromogenic agar offers simultaneous presumptive identification of gram Positive and gram negative bacteria and yeasts on a single medium by means of distinct colony colours produced by reactions of genus or species specific enzymes with a suitable chromogenic substrate (*Sony et al., 2015*).

Standardized test systems such as API and VITEKH 2 (bioMérieux), or PHOENIX (BD Diagnostics), complemented by traditional culture and microscopy methods, have so far been used in routine labs for the rapid identification of clinical microorganisms. With the introduction of these methods, the average time needed for a reliable and validated identification ranged from 6 h to 18 h and in the last few years, sequence analysis of small-subunit rRNAs or selected genes by PCR methods has complemented the biochemical methods, additionally decreasing throughput time and becoming in several cases the gold standard (*Benagli et al., 2011*).

Conventional methods of bacterial species identification are time consuming and labor intensive. Gram staining and automated reading systems of biochemical reactions such as Vitek 2 (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Etoile, France) or BD PHOENIX (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) as well as susceptibility testing still require 48–72 hours from sample collection to identification of the bacterial species. MALDI-TOF MS has been developed considerably in recent years and now constitutes a quantum leap in the identification of pathogenic microbes. The technology provides a very rapid, cost-effective,

easy-to-use and reliable method of bacterial (and fungal) identification to the genus and species level (*Dierig et al., 2015*).

However, MALDI-TOF MS applications can go far beyond. Identification directly from clinical specimens such as urine or cerebrospinal fluid can make a timely difference in the management of patients with severe infections. In addition, the future potential has not been completely explored, and novel applications are developing, such as rapid typing in outbreak situations, early detection of antibiotic resistance and recognition of mixed infections employing advanced software algorithms (*Mari et al., 2014 & Dierig et al., 2015*).

## **AIM OF THE WORK**

- To evaluate MALDI-TOF MS for the rapid identification of clinically relevant urinary tract pathogens in urine samples to the species level and to compare the results with the routine conventional identification methods including UTI chrom agar and the BD PHOENIX.
- To detect the sensitivity and specificity of MALDI-TOF MS for bacterial isolates identification in comparison to PCR as gold standard test.

## *Chapter 1*

# **URINARY TRACT INFECTION**

Urinary tract infection (UTI) refers to bacterial or fungal infection of the bladder or the kidney, or both, in a patient regardless to the presence or absence of symptoms (*Mandell et al., 2010*).

UTIs are upper or lower according to the anatomical site (*Ezejiofor, 2016*).

Nosocomial UTI refers to UTI that is acquired in any institutional setting providing health care. The Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) uses the term, health-care associated, instead of nosocomial that can also be used in reference to infection that are related to health care activities occurs anywhere, including the home (*Chenoweth and Saint, 2016*).

UTI is the most common nosocomial infection (33%), followed by pneumonia (15%) then, surgical site infections (13%) (*CDC, 2018*).

## **Symptomatic UTI**

Symptomatic UTI commonly occur in sexually active women and more common in women than in men (*Foxman et al., 2000*).

### **Asymptomatic bacteruria (ASB)**

Defined as  $\geq 10^5$  colony-forming units (CFUs)/mL without any symptoms or signs of urinary tract infection (*Mouton et al., 2010*).

### **Pathogenesis of urinary tract infections**

The ascent of microorganisms through the urethra is the main route of infection; other routes like hematogenous or lymphatic also present (*Smelov et al., 2016*).

Most UTIs are caused by one organism with *Escherichia coli* as causative microorganism in 70–95% of cases (*Czaja et al. 2007*).

In complicated UTIs the microbial spectrum is broader and include different species such as *Pseudomonas*, *Staphylococcus*, *Serratia*, *Providencia*, *Enterococcus*, and fungi (*Nicolle, 2008*).

Few relatively uncommon microorganisms in urine, may cause hematogenous infection of the urinary tract like *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Candida* spp. and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. They all cause primary infections somewhere else in the body (*Grabe et al., 2015*).

The most common type of healthcare-associated infection is UTIs. In 75% of UTIs it is related to urinary catheter. The prolonged use of urinary catheter is the main risk