



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Chemistry Department

Studying the Separation and Hazard Effect of some Radionuclides and Rare Earth Elements from Monazite Mineral

*A thesis Submitted for
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) Degree of Chemistry*

*By
Azza Atteya Ezz El-Din
Radiation Protection and Civil Defence Depart.
Atomic Energy Authority*

*To
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University*

Board of Scientific Supervision

Prof.Dr. Wagiha H. Mahmoud
*Prof. of Analytical Chemistry,
Chemistry Department, Faculty
of Science - Ain shamsUniversity*

Prof.Dr. Emad H. Borai
*Prof. of Analytical Chemistry,
Hot Laboratories Center,
Atomic Energy Authority*

Prof.Dr. Sameh H. Othman
*Prof. of Radiochemistry,
NuclearResearch Center,
Atomic Energy Authority*

2019



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Chemistry Department

***“Studying the Separation and Hazard Effect of some
Radionuclides and Rare Earth Elements from
Monazite Mineral”***

Thesis Approved

Prof. Dr. Wagiha H. Mahmoud

*Prof. of Analytical Chemistry, Chemistry
Department, Faculty of Science - Ain shams
University*

Prof. Dr. Emad H. Borai

*Prof. of Analytical Chemistry, Hot Laboratories
Center, Atomic Energy Authority*

Prof. Dr. Sameh H. Othman

*Prof. of Radiochemistry, Nuclear Research
Center, Atomic Energy Authority*

Thesis Advisors

.....


.....

.....

Head of Chemistry Department

Prof. Dr. Ibrahim H. Badr

2019



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

All gratitude is due **ALLAH** who guided and aided me to bring forth to light this thesis.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Wageha H. Mahmoud**, Prof. of Analytical Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science - Ain Shams University for her valuable guiding, sincere help and his continues support and for complete revision of the final form of the thesis.

I would like to express my deep thanks and full sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Emad Hassan Borai**, Prof. of Analytical Chemistry, Hot Laboratories Center, Atomic Energy Authority, who suggested the work, for his supervision, interesting discussion, for the interpretation of the data, his continuous help during all stages of the development of the work and friendship throughout my research.

It is my pleasure to express my deep gratitude to **Prof. Sameh H. Othman**, Prof. of Radiochemistry, Nuclear Research Center, Atomic Energy Authority, for his significant encouragement and supervision of this work.

All thanks and respect for my family, which always supports me for this goal, and all of my friends helped me, thanks for all of them.

Azza Atteya Ezz El-Din



Contents

CONTENTS

List of Figures.....	I
List of Tables.....	IV
List of Publications.....	VI
List of Abbreviation.....	VII
Aim of work.....	VIII
Abstract.....	IX

Chapter I

Introduction

1.1.	Egyption black sand	1
1.2.	Monazite	3
1.3.	Chemical Processing of Monazite Concentrate	5
1.3.1.	Sulphuric acid method	6
1.3.1.1.	Digestion	6
1.3.1.2.	Dissolution of reaction mass	7
1.3.2.	Sodium hydroxide method	8
1.4.	The importance of monazite	10
1.5.	Rare Earth Elements	10
1.5.1.	What are Rare Earths?	10
1.5.2.	Rare Earth Elements difination and applications	11
1.5.3.	The Rare Earth Industry	17
1.6.	Separation Techniques of The Rare Earths Elements	18
1.6.1.	Precipitation	20
1.6.2.	Solvent Extraction	23

1.6.3.	Ion Exchange	28
1.7.	Factors Influencing adsorption	33
1.8.	Adsorption Equilibria and Adsorption Isothmers	37
1.9.	Amberlite	42
1.10.	Brief Outline on the Chemistry of some Constituents in Monazite Ore	47
1.10.1.	Uranium	47
1.10.2.	Thorium	50
1.10.3.	Cerium	52
1.11.	Speciation	53
1.11.1.	Speciation Methods	54
1.11.2.	Speciation of Uranium	54
1.11.3.	Speciation of Thorium	55
1.11.4.	Speciation of Cerium	56
1.12.	Activity Concentrations for Natural Radionuclides in Minerals of Rare Earth Elements	57
1.12.1.	Heavy-mineral sand	57
1.12.2.	Heavy-mineral concentrate	59
1.12.3.	Activity concentration in monazite	60
1.12.4.	Activity concentration in xenotime	61
1.12.5.	Other heavy minerals	61
1.13.	Hazard	62
1.13.1.	Definition of Hazard	62
1.13.2.	Hazard Event	62

Chapter II

Experimental

2.1.	Material	66
2.1.1.	Amber jet 4200 Cl resin	66
2.1.2.	Monazite Samples	66
2.2.	Chemicals and Reagents	66
2.3.	Synthetic stock solutions	67
2.4.	Instrumentation	68
2.4.1.	UV-Visible spectrophotometer measurements	68
2.4.2.	Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP)	68
2.4.3.	X-ray fluorescence	71
2.4.4.	Other Apparatus Used	71
2.5.	Methods	72
2.5.1.	Spectrophotometric procedure for Th (IV) and U (VI)	72
2.5.2.	Batch sorption experiments	72
2.5.2.1.	Removal of Adsorbed Amount of radionuclides and REE	73
2.5.2.2.	Removal of radionuclides and REE	74
2.5.3.	Adsorption Isotherm of radionuclides Ions.	75
2.5.4.	Batch adsorption kinetics	76
2.5.5.	Anion Exchange Resin Column Set-Up	76
2.5.6.	Evaluation of break through curves	77
2.5.6.1.	Thomas model	77
2.5.6.2.	Yoon and Nelson model	78

Chapter III

Results And Discussions

3.1.	Characterization of Samples	81
3.1.1.	Characterization of Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	81
3.1.2.	Characterization of Monazite Sample	81
3.1.2.1.	X-Ray Fluorescence measurements	81
3.2.	Speciation	83
3.2.1.	Uranium speciation	83
3.2.2.	Thorium speciation	90
3.2.3.	Cerium speciation	94
3.3.	Batch sorption studies	97
3.3.1.	Factors affecting the sorption of uranium (VI) and thorium (IV).	97
3.3.1.1.	Effect of PH	97
3.3.1.2.	Effect of Agitation Time on the Removal of uranium and thorium ions	100
3.3.1.3.	Effect of initial concentration	102
3.3.1.4.	Effect of resin weight	104
3.3.1.5.	Effect of Temperature Variation on the Removal of uranium and thorium ions.	106
3.4.	Equilibrium Isotherm	108
3.4.1.	Langmuir Isotherm	108
3.4.2.	Freundlich Isotherm	113
3.5.	Adsorption Kinetics	115
3.5.1.	Pseudo-first-order kinetic model	115
3.5.2.	Pseudo-second order kinetic model	120
3.5.3.	Weber and Morris intra-particle diffusion model	124
3.6.	Activation energy	128

3.7.	Thermodynamic Studies	131
3.8.	Column adsorption	135
3.8.1.	Effect of flow rate	135
3.8.2.	Effect of resin amount	138
3.8.3.	Effect of initial concentration	138
3.9.	Evaluation of Kinetics models in fixed-bed column	142
3.9.1.	Thomas model	142
3.9.2.	Yoon - Nelson model	144
3.10.	Risk assessment of monazite processing before and after treatment process	150
3.11.	Activity concentration	150
3.12.	Radiological hazardous parameters	151
3.12.1.	Absorbed dose rate (D_{abs}) determination	151
3.12.2.	The annual effective dose equivalent determination	152
3.12.3.	Radium equivalent radioactivity	152
3.12.4.	External and internal hazard indices	153
3.12.4.	Excess life time cancer risk factor	153
Conclusion		155
Summary		157
Reference		163
Arabic Summary		

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Monazite rock	4
Fig. 1.2	Schematic diagram showing Chemical Processing of Monazite Concentrate by Sulphuric acid method	7
Fig. 1.3	Schematic diagram showing Chemical Processing of Monazite Concentrate by Sodium hydroxide method	9
Fig. 1.4	Amberlite resin	43
Fig. 2.1	Sample introduction system for radial plasma	70
Fig. 2.2	Energy relationship	71
Fig. 3.1	The main metal categories in monazite	83
Fig. 3.2.a	Speciation analysis of U (VI) at pH 3 and different sulfate concentrations	89
Fig. 3.2.b	Effect of pH on the adsorption of uranyl sulphate on Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	89
Fig. 3.3	Speciation analysis of Th (IV) at different pH and different sulfate concentrations	93
Fig. 3.4	Speciation analysis of Ce (III) at different pH and different sulfate concentrations	96
Fig. 3.5.a	Effect of pH on uranium removal % using Amberjet 4200 Cl	99
Fig. 3.5.b	Effect of pH on thorium removal % using Amberjet 4200 Cl	99
Fig. 3.6.a	Effect of contact time on uranium uptake using Amberjet 4200 Cl	101
Fig. 3.6.b	Effect of contact time on thorium uptake using Amberjet 4200 Cl	101
Figure 3.7.a	Effect of initial concentration on uranium uptake using Amberjet 4200 Cl	103
Fig. 3.7.b	Effect of initial concentration on thorium uptake using Amberjet 4200 Cl	103
Fig. 3.8.a	Effect of weight of resin on uranium uptake	105
Fig. 3.8.b	Effect of weight of resin on thorium uptake	105
Fig. 3.9.a	Effect of temperature on uranium uptake	107
Fig. 3.9.b	Effect of temperature on thorium uptake	107
Fig. 3.10.a	Langmuir isotherm for the adsorption of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ onto Amberjet 4200 Cl	112

Fig. 3.10.b	Langmuir isotherm for the adsorption of $[\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4]^{-4}$ onto Amberjet 4200 Cl	112
Fig. 3.11.a	Freundlich isotherm for the adsorption of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ onto Amberjet 4200 Cl	114
Fig. 3.11.b	Freundlich isotherm for the adsorption of $[\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4]^{-4}$ onto Amberjet 4200 Cl	114
Fig. 3.12.a	Lagergren plots for sorption of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ anions onto Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different temperatures	118
Fig. 3.12.b	Lagergren plots for sorption of $[\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4]^{-4}$ anions onto Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different temperatures	119
Fig. 3.13.a	Pseudo second order plots for sorption of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ anions on Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different temperatures	122
Fig. 3.13.b	Pseudo second order plots for sorption of $[\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4]^{-4}$ anions onto Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different temperatures	123
Fig. 3.14.a	Intra-particle diffusion model for the sorption of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ anions on Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different temperatures	126
Fig. 3.14.b	Intra-particle diffusion model for the sorption of $[\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4]^{-4}$ anions on Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different temperatures	127
Fig. 3.15.a	Arrhenius plot for sorption of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ anions onto Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different temperatures	130
Fig. 3.15.b	Arrhenius plot for sorption of $[\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4]^{-4}$ anions onto Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different temperatures	130
Fig. 3.16	Effect of temperature on the thermodynamic behavior of uranium (VI) adsorption upon Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	133
Fig. 3.17	Effect of temperature on the thermodynamic behavior of thorium (IV) adsorption upon Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	133
Fig. 3.18	Breakthrough curves for U (VI) and Th (IV) adsorption by Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different flow rates	137

Fig. 3.19	Breakthrough curves for U (VI) and Th (IV) adsorption by Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different resin amount	140
Fig. 3.20	Breakthrough curves for U (VI) and Th (IV) adsorption by Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different initial concentrations	141
Fig. 3.21	Linear plot of Thomas model with experimental data for U (VI) at different resin amount	146
Fig. 3.22	Linear plot of Thomas model with experimental data for Th (IV) at different flow rates, initial concentrations and resin amount	147
Fig. 3.23	Linear plot of Yoon Nelson model with experimental data for U(VI) at different flow rates, initial concentrations and resin amount	148
Fig. 3.24	Linear plot of Yoon–Nelson model with experimental data for Th (IV) at different flow rates, initial concentrations and resin amount	149

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Activity concentration of ^{232}Th and ^{238}U in heavy mineral sand.	58
Table 1.2	Activity concentration of ^{232}Th and ^{238}U in heavy mineral concentrate.	59
Table 1.3	Natural abundances and half lives of samarium isotopes	62
Table 2.1	Main chemicals and reagents used	67
Table 3.1	Characteristics of Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	81
Table 3.2	The chemical constituents of the high grade monazite measured by the XRF technique	82
Table 3.3	Distribution of Lewis acids and Bases of common metals and ligands in the low-temperature geochemical environment	95
Table 3.4	Langmuir constants for the Radionuclides removal U (VI) and Th (IV) on Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at 25 °C	109
Table 3.5	Values of separation factor of uranium (VI) and thorium (IV) ions on Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at different initial concentration	111
Table 3.6	Freundlich adsorption parameters for U(VI) and Th(IV) the heavy metal ions removal on Amberjet 4200 Cl resin at 25 °C	113
Table 3.7	Pseudo-first order kinetic parameters of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ sorption by Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	116
Table 3.8	Pseudo-first order kinetic parameters of $\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4^{-4}$ sorption by Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	117
Table 3.9	Pseudo-second order kinetic parameters of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ sorption by Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	121
Table 3.10	Pseudo-second order kinetic parameters of $\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4^{-4}$ sorption by AMBERJET 4200 Cl resin	121

Table 3.11	Kinetic parameters of the Intra-particle diffusion model for the sorption of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ by Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	124
Table 3.12	Kinetic parameters of the Intra-particle diffusion model for the sorption of $\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4^{-4}$ by Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	125
Table 3.13	Thermodynamic parameters of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^{-4}$ sorption by Amberjet 4200 Cl	134
Table 3.14	Thermodynamic parameters of $[\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_4]^{-4}$ sorption by Amberjet 4200 Cl	134
Table 3.15	Parameters of Thomas model for U (VI) ions sorption at different conditions onto Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	143
Table 3.16	Parameters of Thomas model for Th (IV) ions sorption at different conditions onto Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	143
Table 3.17	Parameters of Yoon - Nelson model for U (VI) ions sorption at different conditions onto Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	145
Table 3.18	Parameters of Yoon - Nelson model for Th (IV) ions sorption at different conditions onto Amberjet 4200 Cl resin	145
Table 3.19	Radiological hazard indices estimated before and after separation of U and Th from monazite mineral.	154