



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

Studying the Swirling Effect on the Thermal and Combustion Characteristics for the Gas Domestic Burner

A Thesis Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Mechanical Power Engineering)

By

Ahmed Adel El-Sayed Moustafa

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Mechanical Power Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2011

Supervised By

Prof. Mahmoud Mohammed Kamal Abd El Aziz

Dr. Hany El-Sayed Abdel Halim

Cairo – (2019)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

Studying the Swirling Effect on the Thermal and Combustion Characteristics for the Gas Domestic Burner

By

Ahmed Adel El-Sayed Moustafa

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering
(Mechanical Power Engineering)
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2011

Examiners' Committee

Name and Affiliation

Signature

Prof. Mahmoud Abd El Fattah El Kady
Mechanical Power, El Azhar University

.....

Prof. Mahmoud Abd El Rasheed Nosier
Mechanical Power, Ain Shams University

.....

**Prof. Mahmoud Mohammed Kamal
Abd El Aziz**
Mechanical Power, Ain Shams University

.....

Date: 14 March 2019



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

Studying the Swirling Effect on the Thermal and Combustion Characteristics for the Gas Domestic Burner

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of
Master of Science in Mechanical Power Engineering
by

Ahmed Adel El-Sayed Moustafa

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering
(Mechanical Power Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2011

Supervisors

Name and Affiliation

Signature

**Prof. Mahmoud Mohammed Kamal
Abd El Aziz**

Mechanical Power, Ain Shams University

.....

Dr. Hany El-Sayed Abdel Halim

Mechanical Power, Ain Shams University

.....

Date: 14 March 2019

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it is been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Ahmed Adel El-Sayed Moustafa

Signature

.....

Date: 14 March 2019

Researcher Data

Name	: Ahmed Adel El-Sayed Moustafa
Date of birth	: 18 th November, 1989
Place of birth	: Cairo, Egypt
Last academic degree	: Bachelor of Science
Field of specialization	: Mechanical Power Engineering
University issued the degree	: Ain Shams University
Date of issued degree	: June, 2011
Current job	: Senior Laboratory Engineer – Electrolux Egypt

Thesis Summary

An experimental study carried out, to investigate the thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emissions for different gas domestic burner designs, these designs included a number of approaches that were determined to influence of these aspects on the overall performance of the burner. In this research, two types of burner were examined a single ring burner and a double ring burner. Testing each burner on multiple pan support heights at different air to fuel ratios to see the tangible enhancement.

For the single ring burner three burners were tested a radial ordinary design, a swirl design and an impinging flames design. The highest efficiency was for the swirl single ring, and the achieved efficiency was 60.4% with a rise of 1.5% from the radial design at the lowest pan support height and highest Reynolds number.

The main reason behind this high efficiency is that the swirling flow allowed for a longer residence time between the flames and the heated load transferred more heat to the load while this measured the highest emissions out of all single ring burners.

The carbon monoxide emissions in the impinging flames burner were as low as 0.008%; this was due to the long flames propagating radially by impinging flames that resulted to a lower contact area between the flames and the heated load, adding on the impinging flames allowed more secondary air to surround the flames lengths.

For the double ring burner four burners were examined a radial design, swirl design, counter swirl design, and impinging flames design. The counter flow in the double ring burner showed the best thermal efficiency with a value of 57.4%, with a rise also of 1.5% the same as the single ring burner.

The double ring burner with impinging flames was the lowest emissions out of all designs with lowest emissions achieved 0.018 % of carbon monoxide emissions, but the best practical burner for the limits of international standards was the impinging flame burner.

To conclude the impinging flames and swirl flow when added to the gas domestic burners tend to improve the performance of the burners. More combinations need to be experimented to work more on enhancing the thermal efficiency and lowering the combustion emissions.

Key words:

Gas domestic burner, Swirl flow, Impinging flames.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. Mahmoud Mohammed Kamal Abd El Aziz and Dr. Hany El-Sayed Abdel Halim, for their continuous support, encouragement, and guidance during this research work.

I am deeply grateful to my parents and brothers for their encouragement and support; and I'm deeply in debt to my dear wife for her patience and support during day after day activities of this work and many weekends spent in the lab testing and preparing the thesis.

Finally, I would like to thank my friends and colleagues the supported me during this research. I would like also to thank my dear friend Ahmed Fahmy and Mohamed El demerdash and Marco Sapportti my managers for their support and full encouragement.

March 2019

Table of Content

Statement	I
Researcher Data	II
Thesis Summary.....	III
Acknowledgement	IV
Table of Content	V
List of Tables	IX
List of Figures	X
List of Symbols	XIV
1. Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Domestic Burners:	1
1.2 Flame Type Definition.....	1
1.3 Burner Classification	2
1.4 Fluid Dynamics of Gas Burners.....	3
1.5 Aerated Premixed Gas Burner	5
1.6 Domestic Burner Flame Configuration.....	6
1.7 Swirl Flow.....	7
1.8 Air to Fuel Ratio	7
1.9 Flame Front Impinging	8
1.10 Flame and Surface Impinging.....	8
1.11 Thesis Outlines.....	9
2. Chapter 2: Literature Survey	10
2.1 Introduction.....	10
2.2 Review of Previous Researches	10

2.2.1	Swirl impact on gas burners performance and emissions	10
2.2.2	Influence of swirl flow direction on domestic burner performance.....	13
2.2.3	Load height impact on the domestic burner performance.....	13
2.2.4	Intersecting flames behavior and performance effect	15
2.3	Present Work Objective	16
3.	Chapter 3: Test Rig and Testing Methodology.....	18
3.1	Introduction.....	18
3.2	Test Rig.....	18
3.3	New Designs Burners	19
3.3.1	Single ring new designed burners	19
3.3.2	Double ring new designed burners.....	20
3.4	Measuring Devices and Testing Methodologies	22
3.4.1	Thermal efficiency	22
3.4.2	Carbon monoxide emissions	25
3.4.3	Measuring mixture flowrate in the venture tube	26
3.4.4	Dimensionless parameters referencing	31
4.	Chapter 4: Experimental Results and Analysis.....	34
4.1	General.....	34
4.2	Single Ring Burner Results.....	34
4.2.1	Radial flow burner	35
4.2.2	Swirl flow burner	38
4.2.3	Impinging flames burner.....	41
4.2.4	Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emission at constant δ	44
4.2.5	Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emission at constant Reynolds number	47

4.3	Double Ring Burner Results	50
4.3.1	Radial flow burner	51
4.3.2	Swirl Burner.....	54
4.3.3	Counter swirl burner	57
4.3.4	Impinging flame burner	60
4.3.5	Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emission at constant δ	63
4.3.6	Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emission at constant Reynolds number	66
4.4	Single and Double Ring Results Comparison.....	68
4.4.1	Comparison between radial single and radial double ring burner.....	68
4.4.2	Comparison between swirl single and swirl double ring burner.....	71
4.4.3	Comparison between impinging flames single and impinging flames double ring burner.....	73
5.	Chapter 5: Conclusions and Recommendations	75
5.1	Conclusions.....	75
5.2	Recommendations.....	77
	References.....	78
	Appendices.....	81
	Appendix A.....	81
	Single Ring Single Charts & Tables	81
	Double Ring Single Charts & Tables.....	96
	Appendix B	116
	Fuel Properties	116
	Appendix C	117
	Appendix D.....	118

Pot Selection Table	118
Appendix E	119
Infrared gas analyzer.....	119
Appendix F.....	120
Pressure gauge	120
Appendix G.....	121
Temperature indicator.....	121
Appendix H.....	122
Anemometer calibration certificate.....	122
Appendix I	123
Wet flowmeter calibration certificate.....	123
Appendix J	124
Environmental Conditions calibration certificate	124
Appendix K.....	125
Gas Collecting Hood.....	125

List of Tables

Table 1. Reynolds numbers where testing will be performed at.....	32
Table 2. . Values of δ for the single ring burners.....	33
Table 3. . Values of δ for the double ring burners	33

List of Figures

Figure 1. Types of flames	2
Figure 2 Jet development shape regarding potential core and shear layer	4
Figure 3 Single ring burner with casted flame ports 1) Tap; 2) Injector; 3) Venture tube; 4) Burner body; 5) Steel cap	5
Figure 4 Double ring with drilled flame ports 1) Tap; 2) Injector; 3) Venture tube; 4) Burner body; 5) Steel cap	6
Figure 5. Impinging flames	8
Figure 6. Sketch of the Testing Rig	18
Figure 7. Sketch for the radial single ring burner	19
Figure 8. Sketch for the radial double ring burner	20
Figure 9. Image of test rig with acquisitioning devices for thermal efficiency evaluation.....	22
Figure 10. Image of test rig with acquisitioning devices for carbon monoxide emissions.....	25
Figure 11 Points for measurements across venture tube section.....	27
Figure 12 Illustration of the flow speed measuring points.....	27
Figure 13 Images of the actual placement of the anemometer in the cross section of the venture tube.....	28
Figure 14 Air Adjustor adjusted on the first position	28
Figure 15 Mixture velocity distribution at 1st air adjustor position.....	28
Figure 16 Air adjustor adjusted at the 2nd position	29
Figure 17 Mixture velocity distribution at 2nd air adjustor position	30
Figure 18 Air Adjustor adjusted at the 3rd position.....	30
Figure 19 Mixture velocity distribution at 3rd air adjustor position.....	31
Figure 20 Image of radial flow burner	34
Figure 21. Image of swirl flow burner	34
Figure 22. Image of impinging flames burner	34
Figure 23. Thermal efficiencies for radial single ring burner at different δ	35
Figure 24. Carbon monoxide emissions radial single ring burner at different δ	36

Figure 25 Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emissions for single ring radial burner with EN 30-1 standard limits.....	37
Figure 26. Thermal efficiencies swirl single ring burner at different δ	38
Figure 27. Carbon monoxide emissions swirl single ring burner at different δ	39
Figure 28 Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emissionsfor single ring swirl burner with EN 30 standard limits	40
Figure 29. Thermal efficiencies for impinging flame single ring burner at different δ	41
Figure 30. Carbon monoxide emissions for impinging flames single ring burner at different δ	42
Figure 31 Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emissionsfor Single ring impinging flame burner with EN 30 standard limits.....	43
Figure 32 Thermal efficiencies of three single ring burner types @ $\delta=0.222$	44
Figure 33. Carbon monoxide emissions of three single ring burner types @ $\delta=0.222$	44
Figure 34. Thermal efficiencies of three single ring burner types @ $\delta=0.244$	45
Figure 35. Carbon monoxide emissions of three single ring burner types @ $\delta=0.244$	45
Figure 36. Thermal efficiencies of three single ring burner types @ $\delta=0.266$	46
Figure 37. Carbon monoxide emissions of three single ring burner types @ $\delta=0.266$	46
Figure 38. Thermal efficiencies of three single ring burner types @ $Re=1580$	47
Figure 39. Carbon monoxide emissions of three single ring burner types @ $Re=1580$	47
Figure 40. Chart for thermal efficiencies of three single ring burner types @ $Re=1800$	48
Figure 41. Chart for carbon monoxide emissionsof three single ring burner types @ $Re=1800$	48
Figure 42. Thermal efficiencies of three single ring burner types @ $Re=2030$	49
Figure 43. Carbon monoxide emissions of three single ring burner types @ $Re=2030$	49

Figure 44. Image of radial flame burner	50
Figure 45. Image of Swirl flame burner.....	50
Figure 46. Image of Counter swirl flame burner	50
Figure 47. Image of Impinging flame burner.....	50
Figure 48. Thermal efficiencies for radial flame double ring burner at different δ .	51
Figure 49. Carbon monoxide emissions for radial flame double ring burner at different δ	52
Figure 50 Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emissionsfor double ring radial burner with EN 30 standard limits	53
Figure 51. Thermal efficiencies for swirl flame double ring burner at different δ ...	54
Figure 52. Carbon monoxide emissions for swirl flame double ring burner at different δ	55
Figure 53 Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emissionsfor double ring swirl burner with EN 30 standard limits	56
Figure 54. Thermal efficiencies for counter swirl flame double ring burner at different δ	57
Figure 55. Carbon monoxide emissions for counter swirl flame double ring burner at different δ	58
Figure 56 Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emissions for double ring counter swirl burner with EN 30 standard limits	59
Figure 57. Thermal efficiencies for Impinging flame double ring burner at different δ	60
Figure 58. Carbon monoxide emissions for Impinging flame double ring burner at different δ	61
Figure 59 Thermal efficiency and carbon monoxide emissions for double ring impinging flame burner with EN 30 standard limits.....	62
Figure 60. Thermal efficiencies of four double ring burner types @ $\delta=0.166$	63
Figure 61. Carbon monoxide emissions of four double ring burner types @ $\delta=0.166$	63
Figure 62. Thermal efficiencies of four double ring burner types @ $\delta=0.183$	64