



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering

"Effect of Shear Connectors Distribution and Reinforcement Layout at Grouting Pockets on the Connection between Pre-cast Concrete Girders and Pre-cast Deck Panels"

By
Eng. Hussein Salah Marzouk Youssef

B.Sc. (2009)
Civil Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering - Helwan University

A Thesis
Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
of the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural)

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Amr H. Zaher
Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Structural Engineering Department,
Ain Shams University, Egypt.

Dr. Khaled Mohammed Hilal
Associate Professor
Structural Engineering Department,
Ain Shams University, Egypt.

December- 2018
Cairo - Egypt



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering

**"Effect of Shear Connectors Distribution and Reinforcement
Layout at Grouting Pockets on the Connection between Pre-
cast Concrete Girders and Pre-cast Deck Panels"**

By
Eng. Hussein Salah Marzouk Youssef

B.Sc. (2009)
Civil Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering - Helwan University

EXAMINERS' COMMITTEE

Signature

Prof. Dr. Ashraf Hassan El Zanaty
Professor of RC Structures,
Faculty of Engineering - Cairo University.

.....

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan Ghallab
Professor of Reinforced Concrete,
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University, Cairo.

.....

Prof. Dr. Amr Hussein Zaher
Professor of Reinforced Concrete,
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University, Cairo.

.....

Dr. Khaled Mohammed Hilal
Associate Professor at the Structural Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University, Cairo.

.....

Date: 08 / 12 /2018

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural).

The work included was carried out by the author at reinforced concrete laboratory of the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Date : 8/12/2018

Name : **Hussein Salah Marzouk Yousef**

Signature :

AUTHOR

Name : **Hussein Salah Marzouk**

Date of birth : 1 September 1987

Place of birth : Cairo, Egypt.

Academic Degree : B.Sc. in Structural Engineering

University : Helwan University

Date : 2009

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I thank ALLAH who guided and helped me to finish this work in the proper shape.

I would like to thank my mother, my wife and my whole family for their continuous support. I would like to express my deep appreciation to Prof. **Dr. Amr H. Zaher** , professor of concrete structures, faculty of engineering, Ain Shams University, for his experienced advice, continuous support and deep encouragement through all phases of the work.

I am also extremely grateful to **Dr. Khaled Mohammed Hilal** , Associate Professor at the Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his experienced advice, continuous support and deep encouragement through all phases of the work.

I would like to thank the technicians of the reinforced concrete laboratory, Ain Shams University.

ABSTRACT

TITLE: "Effect of Shear Connectors Distribution and Reinforcement Layout at Grouting Pockets on the Connection between Pre-cast Concrete Girders and Pre-cast Deck Panels"

Submitted by: Hussein Salah Marzouk Youssef

Supervised by: Prof. Dr. Amr H. Zaher

Dr. Khaled Mohammed Hilal

Precast concrete decks have begun to revolutionize the bridge design industry due to their rapid constructability, reduction in overall mobilization and high quality control. Several precast elements are available to meet with all construction requirements. It is often preferable to transport beams and deck slabs separately to reduce the weight of each element. The interfacial shear transfer between the top slab and the supporting beams is of great significance to the overall deck load carrying capacity and performance. According to international codes it was found that distribution of shear connectors is one of the most important factors that has an effect on the capacity of the connection. Previous research and design guidelines suggest the use of two different approaches to quantify the required interfacial shear strength, namely based on the maximum compressive forces in the flange at mid-span or the maximum shear flow at the supports.

The research objectives can be summarized in evaluating the structural behavior and load carrying capacity experimentally and analytically for precast girders and precast slabs connected through shear connectors in grouting pockets in the case of regular or concentrated shear connectors distribution at support. In addition the effect of adding horizontal rebars around the grouting pockets in the deck slab was determined.

Seven composite RC T-beams with 2.00 m span were tested under static loading conditions, flexural tests were performed to study the parameters mentioned previously and to quantify peak and post-peak behavior of the interface between P.C beams and P.C deck slabs.

TABLE OF CONTENT

<i>Notations.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Chapter (1) Introduction</i>	<i>12</i>
1.1 General	12
1.2 Research Objective	13
1.3 Research Scope And Contents	13
<i>Chapter (2) Literature Review.....</i>	<i>17</i>
2.1 general	17
2.2 Precast Concrete Bridge Deck Panel System Background	17
2.3 Shear Transfer Mechanism and Horizontal Shear Stress in Composite Members	18
2.4 Shear Friction.....	22
2.5 Previous research work	23
2.5.1 Revesz	23
2.5.2 Mast	24
2.5.3 Hanson.....	24
2.5.4 Saemann and Washa.....	25
2.5.5 Birkeland	25
2.5.6 Mattock	26
2.5.7 Shaikh	26
2.5.8 Loov	27
2.5.9 Walraven and Reinhardt.....	27
2.5.10 Walraven et al.....	28
2.5.9 Mau and Hsu	28
2.5.10 Loov and Patnaik.....	28
2.5.10 Kumar and Ramirez.....	29
2.5.10 Patnaik.....	30
2.6.1 Menkulasi.....	31
2.6.2 Kovacovic	32
2.6.2 Karim Atef.....	32
2.6.2 Moataz Awry.....	32
2.6 Effectiveness of adding grouting layer at the interface surface on the horizontal shear transfer	33
2.6.1 Jonathan	33
2.6.2 Tanaka and Nakamura	34
2.7 Design Codes.....	35
2.7.1 AASHTO Standard Specification Provisions.....	35
2.7.2 AASHTO LRFD-2010 Bridge Design Specifications for Horizontal Shear.....	36
2.7.3 Cohesion and friction factors for AASHTO LRFD 2010.....	39
2.7.4 ECP 203 -2007 Design Provisions.....	40
2.8 British standard - BS 8110-1:1997.....	42
2.8.1 Horizontal Shear Force Due To Design Ultimate Loads.....	42
2.8.2 Average Horizontal Design Shear Stress	42

2.8.3 Links In Excess Of Minimum	43
2.9 Comparison between the parametric study for the available analytical models.....	43
 Chapter (3) Experimental Program	45
3.1 General	45
3.2 Test Specimen	46
3.2.1 Specimen dimension and description	46
3.2.3 Specimen reinforcement	47
3.3 Fabrication Of Test Specimen	50
3.3.1 Fabrication Of Control Specimen, Web and Slab Panels of Six Concrete Beams (Stage 1)	51
3.3.2 Application Of The Grouted Pockets (Stage 2)	56
3.4 Material Properties	58
3.4.1 Concrete.....	59
3.4.2 Reinforcing Steel.....	59
3.4.3 Grouting Mortar (SIKAGROUT-200)	60
3.5 Instrumentation.....	60
3.5.1 Load Measurements.....	60
3.5.2 Vertical Deflection And Horizontal Slip Measurements	66
3.5.3 Steel Strain Measurements	63
3.6 Test Set-Up	65
3.6.1 Supports.....	65
3.6.2 Testing Procedure.....	66
 Chapter (4) Results of the experimental program	67
4.1 General	67
4.2 Test Results.....	68
4.2.1 Specimen B1 (Control Specimen):.....	68
4.2.2 Specimen B2:	71
4.2.3 Specimen B3:	77
4.2.4 Specimen B4:	83
4.2.5 Specimen B5:	88
4.2.6 Specimen B6:	93
4.2.6 Specimen B7:	98
 Chapter (5) Discussion Of The Experimental Results.....	105
5.1 General	105
5.2 Discussion Of The Experimental Results.....	105
5.2.1 Capacity of specimens	105
5.2.2 Deflection and Ductility.....	106
5.2.3 Comparison of Load – Deflection behavior	108
5.2.4 Strain in longitudinal steel	110
5.2.5 Strain in shear connectors.....	112
5.2.6 Sliding.....	114
5.2.5 Crack at Interfacial Surface	114
5.2.6 First Crack at Grouting Pockets	115

5.3 Conclusion Of The Experimental Work.....	118
5.3.1 Effect of shear connectors ratio.....	118
5.3.2 Effect of shear connectors distribution.....	118
5.3.3 Effect adding grout at interface.....	118
5.3.4 Effect adding horizontal rebar around grouting pockets.	118
 Chapter (6) Analytical Study and Design provisions	119
6.1 General	119
6.2 Specimen Design Concept	119
6.3 Horizontal Shear Connection	119
6.4 Different Design Provisions For Horizontal Shear strength.....	120
6.4.1 ACI -318 Design Provisions	121
6.4.1.1 Mast	121
6.4.1.2 Birkeland's Equation	122
6.4.1.3 Shaikh's Equation	123
6.4.1.4 Loov's Equation	124
6.4.1.5 Walraven 's Equation.....	125
6.4.2 ECP 203 -2007 Design Provisions.....	126
6.4.3 AASHTO LRFD Design Specifications - 2010	127
6.5 Experimental Longitudinal Shear Stress (experimental shear stress)	128
6.6 The analytical work according to codes and varies literature equations.....	128
6.7 Comparison between theoretical and experimental values for the horizontal shear stress.....	130
6.7.1 Specimen B2:	130
6.7.2 Specimen B3:	77
6.7.3 Specimen B4:	83
6.7.4 Specimen B5:	88
6.7.5 Specimen B6:	93
6.7.6 Specimen B7:	98
 6.8 Comparison between different analytical models based on experimental data	163
6.9 Analysis Procedure Using Strut-and-Tie Method	164
6.10 The effect of adding horizontal rebars at grouted pockets in beam B7	167
6.11. Summary and conclusion for the analytical work	169
6.11.1 Specimens with low clamping stress (B2 to B5)	170
6.11.2 Specimens with high clamping stress (B6 and B7)	170
 Chapter (7) Summary, Conclusions And Design Recommendations	171
7.1 Summary	171
7.2 Conclusions	173
7.3 References	174

NOTATIONS

A_c	=	Area of the cracked interfacial surface (mm^2).
A_{vf}	=	Area of vertical reinforcement crossing interface or, shear connectors area (mm^2).
b	=	Interface width (mm).
b_v	=	Section width at the interface between the precast beam and deck (mm).
C, T	=	Total compression or tension in the flange (kN).
d	=	Effective member depth (mm).
f_y	=	Reinforcement yield stress (MPa).
f_{cu}	=	Concrete cubic compressive strength (MPa).
f_c	=	Concrete cylindrical compressive strength (MPa).
d_v	=	Distance between tension and compression resultant forces (mm).
I	=	Moment of inertia (mm^4).
I_x	=	Moment of inertia of the composite section (mm^4).
jd	=	Distance between the tension and compression resultant forces (mm).
K_1	=	Fraction of concrete strength available to resist interface shear.
K_2	=	Limiting interface shear resistance (MPa).
k_c	=	Cohesion factor.
l_v	=	Length of the interface (mm).
P	=	Percent of steel crossing interface (%).
P_n	=	Permanent net compressive force (N)
P_c	=	Permanent net compressive force normal to the shear plane; if force is tensile, $P_c = 0.0$ (N).
Q	=	First moment of the area above (or below) the fiber being considered (kN).
Q_y	=	Vertical shear force at the section considered (kN).
τ_z	=	Horizontal shear stress (MPa).

- S_h = Static moment of area of the slab or flange about the neutral axis of the composite section (mm^3).
- s = Shear connector spacing (mm).
- v_h = Horizontal shear stress (MPa).
- V_n = Ultimate horizontal shear strength (MPa).
- V = Vertical shear force at section (kN).
- X = Effective depth (mm).
- Y = Ultimate shear stress capacity (MPa).
- $\rho_v f_y$ = Clamping stress (MPa).
- μ = Empirical coefficient of friction.
- ϕ = Strength reduction factor for shear.

CHAPTER (1)

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General:

Precast concrete decks are commonly used in the construction of highway bridges due to their rapid constructability, reduction in overall mobilization, lightweight components and high quality control. Several precast elements are available to meet with all construction requirements. It is often preferable to transport beams and deck slabs separately to reduce the weight of each element. The interfacial shear transfer between the top slab and the supporting beams is of great significance to the overall deck load carrying capacity and performance. According to international codes it was found that distribution of shear connectors is one of the most important factors that has an effect on the capacity of the beams. Previous research and design guidelines suggest the use of two different approaches to quantify the required interfacial shear strength, namely based on the maximum compressive forces in the flange at mid-span or the maximum shear flow at the supports.

This thesis investigates the structural behavior and load carrying capacity experimentally and analytically for precast girders and precast slabs connected through shear connectors in grouting pockets in the case of regular distribution for shear connectors along beam span or concentrate of distribution near the supports, in addition the effect of adding horizontal rebar around the grouting pockets in the deck slab.

Seven composite RC T-beams with 2.00 m span were tested under static loading conditions, flexural tests were performed to study the parameters mentioned previously and to quantify peak and post-peak behavior of the interface between P.C beams and P.C deck slabs.

1.2 Research Objective:

The main objective of this research is to evaluate the interfacial shear behavior of grouted pockets connection between P.C beams and P.C slabs in RC T-beams. The various specific objectives of the study may be summarized as evaluating following parameters:

1. Regular distribution for shear connectors along beam span versus concentrated distribution at supports.
2. Adding horizontal rebars around the grouting pockets in the deck slab.
3. Using grout layer along the interface between slab and beam.

1.3 Research Scope and Contents:

This study consist of experimental and analytical studies to evaluate the behavior of precast girders connected with precast deck slabs with steel connectors with different distributions. Based on the results of the experimental and theoretical calculations, design recommendations will be proposed for the pocketed connection . This research work includes three phases, experimental, analytical, and design recommendations. Each part of this study is discussed briefly in the following subsections.

Phase I: Experimental Program:

The experimental program consisted of testing seven specimens, first specimen (B1) is considered as control beam, other six specimens divided into two groups depending on different parameters. The first group (specimens B2 to B5) consisted of five specimens varying in the shear connectors' distribution along the beam span to connect the web with the slab panels with different pockets details and interface grout.

The second group consisted of two specimens (B6 and B7), which varying in shear connectors ratio in addition adding horizontal rebars around the grouting pockets in the deck slab.

The overall dimensions of the beams were 150 mm width, and 300 mm depth and the slab panels were 450 mm width, and 80 mm depth. The clear span of the specimens was 2000 mm. Different pocket details are described briefly in the (Chapter3). The beams were tested using a four-point loading setup up to failure, with different shear connectors ratio and distribution. The load, deflection, cracking record and steel strain at which transverse shear failure occurs will be measured.

Phase II: Analytical Study:

The analytical phase of the research included a rational analysis for the calculation of the horizontal shear transfer in the interfacial surface between precast beams and precast deck panels using international codes and strut-and-tie method. The interfacial shear failure load calculated from strut-and-tie and codes was compared with experimental results.

Phase III: Design Recommendations:

Based on the experimental results of the tested beams and the analytical work, several conclusions are introduced to give an understanding of the behavior of the connection between the pocketed connection of precast beam and precast deck slab.

To fulfill the previously mentioned objectives, this research was divided into the following chapters:

Chapter (1): Introduction

This chapter is a general introduction to discuss the objective and scope of the research program.

Chapter (2): Literature Review

This chapter covers the available literature on the precast bridge deck-panel system, the horizontal shear stress in composite members and presents