Effect of Different Desensitizing Toothpastes on Dentinal Tubule Occlusion, Microhardness and Chemical Analysis of the Dentin Surface

Thesis Submitted to Operative Dentistry Department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

for Partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Doctor's Degree

In Operative Dentistry

By

Hoda Raafat Mohamed Riad

B.D.S, M.Sc, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

Supervisors

Prof. Mokhtar Nagy Ibrahim

Former Dean, Professor of Operative Dentistry, Operative Dentistry Department Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Prof. Hanan Abdel Aziz Niazi

Professor of Operative Dentistry, Operative Dentistry Department Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Prof. Mohammed Hussein Zaazou

Researcher Professor of Operative Dentistry
Restorative and Dental Materials Research Department
Head of Oro-Dental Research Division. National Research Centre

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Nagy Ibrahim**, former Dean of Faculty of Dentistry and Professor of Operative Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his staunch and relentless support throughout this research. Without his patience, care, advice and great knowledge, this work wouldn't have come to such an end. May Allah have mercy on his soul.

My most sincere thanks and appreciation are also due to **Prof. Dr. Hanan Abdel Aziz Niazi,** Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her continuous efforts, help and support throughout this research and during the writing of the thesis. Her hard work and vast knowledge added a lot to my clinical and academic skills

My appreciation and gratitude are also extended to **Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hussein Zaazou**, Researcher Professor of Operative Dentistry, Restorative and Dental Materials Department, Head of Oro-Dental Research Division, National Research Centre, for his support during this research; without his dedication and wisdom, this study wouldn't have reached such an end.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Lamia Mahmoud Moharam**, Associate Researcher Professor of Operative Dentistry, Restorative and Dental Materials Department, National Research Centre, whose efforts, support, patience, knowledge and devotion paved the way to complete this study.

I would like also to thank **Dr. Alaa Hamed Salama**, Associate Researcher Professor, Pharmaceutics Technology Department, National Research Centre, for her help and patience to complete this work.

And my appreciation is extended to **Dr. Osama Sayed Mosallam**, Researcher of Operative Dentistry, Restorative and Dental Materials Department, National Research Centre, for his contribution to this study.

Dedication

I have the honor to express my sincerest love and respect to my dear parents, who provided the impetus, motivation for me to achieve this work and bestowed upon me immense love, care, guidance and foresight.

List of Contents

List of Contents	i
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	4
Aim of the study	34
Materials and Methods	35
Results	52
Discussion	83
Summary and Conclusions	102
References	105
Arabic Summary	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

List of Tables

Table No	Title	Page
1	Materials, composition and manufacturers.	35-36
2	Levels of the study.	40
3	Factorial design of investigation for SEM, EDX and	41
	microhardness tests.	
4	The effect of different desensitizing toothpastes on	65
	dentin microhardness without pH cycling.	
5	The effect of pH cycling on dentin surface	68
	microhardness for the different study groups.	
6	The effect of different desensitizing toothpastes on	70
	Calcium wt% of dentin without pH cycling.	
7	The effect of pH cycling on Calcium wt% of dentin	74
	for the different study groups.	
8	The Effect of different desensitizing toothpastes on	77
	Phosphorus wt% of dentin without pH cycling.	
9	The effect of pH cycling on Phosphorus wt% of	81
	dentin for the different study groups.	

List of Figures

Figure No	Title	Page
1	NovaMin containing toothpaste.	37
2	Propolis powder.	37
3	Moringa Oleifera leaves powder.	37
4	17% EDTA solution	38
5	Citric acid with pH 3, 4, & 5	38
6	Distilled water	38
7	Specimens grouping scheme for each test	40
8	Bench grinder machine	43
9	Silicon carbide abrasive paper mounted on bench	43
	grinder machine.	
10	pH meter	43
11	Incubator	43
12 a&b	(a)Electric toothbrush with added weights, weights	44
	(50g and 100g)	
13	The different desensitizing toothpastes applied to	45
	bovine teeth.	
14	Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	47
	attached to an Energy Dispersive X-ray unit (EDX).	
15	Chemical analysis using EDX.	48
16	Rounded sectional Teflon mold	50
17	Teeth embedded in acrylic resin blocks.	50
18	Digital Vickers hardness tester.	50

a, b: SEM photomicrographs of dentin specimen after	55
EDTA etching at magnifications x2000 and x4000	
respectively.	
a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	55
brushing with distilled water (control) at	
magnification x 2000 and x4000 respectively.	
a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	56
brushing with NovaMin containing toothpaste at	
magnification x 2000 and x4000 respectively.	
a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	56
brushing with Moringa containing toothpaste at	
magnification x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	57
brushing with Propolis containing toothpaste at	
magnification x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	57
citric acid cycling with pH 3 for the control group at	
magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
A,b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	58
citric acid cycling with pH 3 for NovaMin group at	
magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	58
citric acid cycling with pH 3 for Moringa group at	
magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	59
citric acid cycling with pH 3 for Propolis group at	
magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
	EDTA etching at magnifications x2000 and x4000 respectively. a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after brushing with distilled water (control) at magnification x 2000 and x4000 respectively. a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after brushing with NovaMin containing toothpaste at magnification x 2000 and x4000 respectively. a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after brushing with Moringa containing toothpaste at magnification x 2000 and x 4000 respectively. a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after brushing with Propolis containing toothpaste at magnification x 2000 and x 4000 respectively. a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after citric acid cycling with pH 3 for the control group at magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively. A,b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after citric acid cycling with pH 3 for NovaMin group at magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively. a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after citric acid cycling with pH 3 for NovaMin group at magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively. a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after citric acid cycling with pH 3 for Moringa group at magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively. a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after citric acid cycling with pH 3 for Moringa group at magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively. a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after citric acid cycling with pH 3 for Propolis group at

28 a&b	a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	59
	citric acid cycling with pH 4 for the control group at	
	magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
29 a&b	a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	60
	citric acid cycling with pH 4 for NovaMin group at	
	magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
30 a&b	a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	60
	citric acid cycling with pH 4 for Moringa group at	
	magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
31 a&b	a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	61
	citric acid cycling with pH 4 for Propolis group at	
	magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
32 a&b	a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	61
	citric acid cycling with pH 5 for the control group at	
	magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
33 a&b	a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	62
	citric acid cycling with pH 5 for NovaMin group at	
	magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
34 a&b	a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	62
	citric acid cycling with pH 5 for Moringa group at	
	magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
35 a&b	a, b: SEM micrographs of dentin specimens after	63
	citric acid cycling with pH 5 for Propolis group at	
	magnifications x 2000 and x 4000 respectively.	
36	Bar-chart showing the effect of different desensitizing	65
	toothpastes on dentin microhardness.	
37	Bar-chart representing the effect of pH cycling on	69
	dentin microhardness for each study group.	
	1	

38	Bar-chart representing the effect of different pH	69
	values on dentin microhardness for the different study	
	groups.	
39	Bar-chart showing the effect of different desensitizing	71
	toothpastes on Calcium wt% of the dentin surface.	
40	Bar-chart representing the effect of pH cycling on	75
	Calcium wt% of dentin for each study group.	
41	Bar-chart representing the effect of the different pH	75
	values on calcium wt% of dentin for the different	
	study groups.	
42	Bar-charts showing the effect of different	77
	desensitizing toothpastes on Phosphorus wt% of the	
	dentin surface.	
43	Bar-chart representing the effect of pH cycling on	81
	Phosphorus wt% of dentin for each study group.	
44	Bar-chart representing the effect of the different pH	82
	values on Phosphorus wt% of dentin for the different	
	study groups.	

"Dentin hypersensitivity has been defined as short, sharp pain arising from exposed dentin in response to stimuli typically thermal, evaporative, tactile, osmotic or chemical which cannot be ascribed to any other form of dental pathology" (3). Dentin hypersensitivity is widely prevalent and, therefore, this condition has acquired an important domain in dental research (73).

The "Hydrodynamic theory" explained the etiology of dentin hypersensitivity which implies that the dentinal fluid moves within the dentinal tubules with capillary motion. Since the dentinal tubules are patent on the outer dentin surface in cases of hypersensitive dentin, therefore treatment should be based on tubular sealing; either by their plugging chemically or mechanically or their content alteration ⁽²⁷⁾. In addition, dentin surface chemical analysis should be performed after the application of the desensitizing agent to identify the chemical structure of the occluded tubule plug and to investigate the change in the mineral content of dentin ⁽⁵⁷⁾.

Dentin microhardness is defined as the resistance to local deformation, and its tests are based on the induced permanent surface deformation that remains after the elimination of the load. A positive relationship was found between dentin microhardness and calcium content ⁽⁴⁷⁾. Moreover, microhardness testing, together with intra-oral models, has great importance in de- and re-mineralization experiments ⁽³³⁾.

Many treatments have been advocated for dentin hypersensitivity, among which desensitizing toothpastes are preferred for their convenience and cost-effectiveness. On the market, there are two main types of sensitivity-resistant toothpastes: potassium-containing toothpaste, which has a depolarizing effect on nerve conduction, and toothpastes which block the exposed dentine tubules and interrupt the external stimulation to achieve a desensitizing effect such as; NovaMin

containing toothpaste ⁽³⁵⁾. Considerable clinical research evidence supports NovaMin, which was developed to help in regenerating bone defects and is basically a calcium sodium phosphosilicate structure. This product was also found to react with the salivary contents and release sodium, calcium and phosphate resulting in hydroxycarbonate apatite deposition over the dentin surface and inside the dentinal tubules aiding in remineralization of the tooth structure and, hence, act as a desensitizing agent ⁽⁶⁾.

Alternative medicine is gaining popularity nowadays, contributing to a safer medical practice. Both Propolis and Moringa are investigated within this domain. *Moringa oleifera* grows mainly in Northern India and recently, it has been cultivated in Egypt. It is called "the miracle tree" referring to the wide variety of medicinal uses and nutritional purposes of almost all its parts. It has antihypertensive, diuretic and cholesterol lowering, hepato-protective, anticancer, antibacterial and antifungal properties. Lately, Moringa showed an antibacterial effect against some oral pathogens ⁽²³⁾.

Propolis is produced by honey bees to build and protect their hives. It is a non-toxic natural resin that possesses promising abilities to augment several medicinal purposes; such as antimicrobial, antiviral, antifungal, anti-inflammatory and anticancer activities. In the dental field, Propolis aids in controlling dental caries and promoting the periodontal health. In addition, it is used as a pulp capping agent and a disinfectant for cavities and during endodontic treatment. Recently, Propolis has been investigated for its effect on dentin hypersensitivity (41).

The continuous search for non-invasive treatment modalities for dentin hypersensitivity which maintain their occlusive effect following the daily acidic challenges, promote remineralization and improve the microhardness of dentin did not yield up till now a solid efficient option that can be considered as a gold standard for management of such condition ⁽⁵²⁾. In addition, the shift to alternative and herbal

medicine as a new trend in dentistry may have an impact on the treatment of dentin hypersensitivity. Therefore, evaluating the variation in dentinal tubule occlusion, the resistance of the possible occlusive effect to citric acid, microhardness and chemical analysis of dentin after treatment with these products may be of value.

Dentin hypersensitivity is one of the most prevalent and challenging disorders in the dental practice. The hydrodynamic theory proposed by **Brannstrom in 1986** (13) attempted to explain the mechanism behind dentin hypersensitivity; suggesting that the dentinal tubule fluid simulates a baroreceptor when the exposed dentin is stimulated. This implies that stimulation generates a neural signal that leads to a painful sensation, in addition, the theory claims that tubules are open between dentin surface which is exposed to the environment and pulp. Accordingly, this condition can be treated by either approach; interrupt the neural signal or block the dentinal tubules by forming a layer on the surface of dentin and within dentinal tubules (10,20).

I-Dentinal tubule occlusion after application of the desensitizing toothpastes and following acid challenge:

I.1.The effect of NovaMin on dentinal tubule occlusion:

Wang, et al. 2010 ⁽⁶⁹⁾ tested and compared the effect of three commercially available desensitizing dentifrices on dentinal tubule occlusion immediately, following acid challenge and after storage in artificial saliva under simulated pulpal pressure. A total of 100 dentin discs were prepared and divided into five groups (n=20) according to the treatment applied: Group I (Control 1): EDTA-etched specimens without any treatment; Group II (Control 2): brushing with distilled water for two minutes; Group III: brushing with NovaMin for two minutes; Group IV: brushing with Sensodyne Freshmint for two minutes and Group V: brushing with Colgate Sensitive for two minutes. Each group was further divided into two subgroups (n=10) according to the post-treatment applied (6% citric acid challenge of pH 1.5 for one minute or immersion in artificial saliva at 37°C for 24 hours). SEM was used to investigate dentin morphology. The results demonstrated that; the three desensitizing dentifrices created deposits on the dentin surface. In addition, they

were able to partially recover following the acid challenge. SEM examination revealed that there were toothpaste deposits in the dentinal tubules of NovaMin group, the amount of deposits decreased following the citric acid challenge where the diameter of the dentinal tubules' diameter increased but the toothpaste crystals were still found on the dentin surface and inside the tubules. The authors concluded that; NovaMin toothpaste demonstrated an excellent occlusion efficacy following brushing and storage in artificial saliva while Sensodyne Freshment toothpaste revealed the strongest acid resistant ability.

Parkinson and Wilson, 2011 (54) investigated the level of dentinal tubule occlusion and dentin mineralization of NovaMin containing dentifrice and compared it with different commercially available desensitizing dentifrices in an in vitro fourday trial. Two different parameters were investigated in this study; dentinal tubule occlusion and dentin surface microhardness. The extent of dentinal tubule occlusion and the subsequent effect of an acid challenge for eight treatment groups (calcium sodium phosphosilicate (45S5); strontium acetate; arginine/calcium carbonate; amine fluoride; calcium sulphate/diphosphate; stannous fluoride; casein stabilized amorphous calcium phosphate toothpaste; and a negative control, deionized water) were assessed using SEM. On the other hand, the change in surface microhardness of four treatment groups (calcium sodium phosphosilicate/1450 ppm fluoride dentifrice; arginine/ calcium carbonate/1450 ppm fluoride dentifrice; amine fluoride/1400 ppm fluoride dentifrice; and a control surface consisting of a dentin specimen coated with a varnish) was evaluated using Knoop diamond indenter. For both tests, bovine dentin specimens and followed the same four-day brushing routine and twice daily acid challenge with grapefruit juice on days 3 and 4. The results demonstrated that; NovaMin and stannous fluoride dentifrices showed the highest level of dentinal tubule occlusion. Moreover, NovaMin dentifrice demonstrated a resistance to the acid challenge. Furthermore, NovaMin dentifrice exhibited a