



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

Early Punching Resistance of Concrete Slabs

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment for the
requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

Civil Engineering (Structures)

BY

Moataz Mohamed El-Taher El-Sayed

Teaching Assistant, Structural Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering - October 6 University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. AYMAN HUSSIN HOSNI

Professor of R.C. Structure, Structural Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

Dr. Wael M. Montaser

Assistant Professor, Structural Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering - October 6 University

Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University
Cairo - 2019

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo Egypt, for the degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Ain Shams University, from June 2017 to March 2019.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other University or Institute.

Date : / / 2019

Name : Moataz Mohamed El-Taher El-Sayed Abbas

Signature :



**Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering**

Approval Sheet

Thesis : Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural)

Student Name : Moataz Mohamed El-Taher El-Sayed

Thesis Title : Early Punching Resistance of Concrete Slabs

Examiners Committee:

Signature

PROF. DR. MOHAMED ELSAEED EISSA

Professor of Concrete Structures

Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

PROF. DR. AMR HUSSEIN ABD EL AZIM ZAHER

Professor of Concrete Structures

Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

PROF. DR. AYMAN HUSSEIN KHALIL

Professor of Concrete Structures

Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University
(Supervisor)

Date: / / 2019

INFORMATION ABOUT THE RESEARCHER

Name: Moataz Mohamed El-Taher El-Sayed

Date of Birth: July, 8th, 1990

Place of Birth: Cairo, Egypt

Last Academic Degree: 2014, B.Sc. in Structural Engineerig, Faculty of Engineering, October 6 University

Present Job: Teaching Assistant, Structural Engineerig Department, Faculty of Engineering, October 6 University

Email: *Eng.moatazeltaher@gmail.com*

Signature:

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Above all, I would thank Allah for all the blessing that He has given me, including the opportunity to finish this study. I would also like to thank Him for the all special people that surround me.

I would like to express my sincerest appreciation to my advisors, **Prof. Dr. Ayman Hussin Hosni** and **Dr. Wael Mohamed Montaser** for their guidance. Their willingness to share their time and profound insight into the subject matter contributed to making this study a positive learning experience.

I would like to present special thanks to **Prof. Dr. Emad El-Sharkawy**, **Dr. Ahmed Said Eid**, **Dr. Hesham Abd EL Aziz Amna** for their valuable help, guidance, continuous support and encouragement in my life

Last but not least, I dedicated this thesis to **my Parents**; it is their unconditional love that motivates me to finish this work. Many thanks to **my mother** for her care and support since I started MSc Degree. Special thanks go to my father, **Dr. Mohamed El-Taher**, my role model, for his technical support.

Finally, I would like to thank my relatives, my friends, and my colleagues for their continuous encouragement and support.

Moataz Mohamed El-Taher

Abstract of the M.Sc. Thesis Submitted by

Eng. / Moataz Mohamed El-Taher El-Sayed

Title of the thesis:

EARLY PUNCHING RESISTANCE OF CONCRETE SLABS

ABSTRACT

The reinforced concrete flat slab system is a widely used structural system. Its formwork is very simple as no beams or drop panels are used. However, the catastrophic nature of the failure exhibited at the connection between the slab and the column has concerned engineers. This area becomes the most critical area as far as the strength of flat slabs is concerned due to the concentration of high bending moments and shear forces.

During construction of multi-story building with reinforced concrete floor slabs, shoring and reshoring operations are carried out. The construction is started by setting up the shoring on the previously cast floors and then pouring fresh concrete on next floor, during shoring and reshoring process the weight of freshly poured concrete is transferred by shoring into the previously cast floors. This construction load may exceed the design loads and led to early failure of slabs.

One of the common cause of failures of flat-slab structures during construction is insufficient early-age punching shear capacity under relatively high construction loads. Punching shear failure is a local phenomenon which generally occurs in a brittle manner, at concentrated load or column support region. This type of failure is catastrophic because no external, visible signs are shown prior to occurrence of the failure.

Most of the current concrete researches focuses on punching resistance of concrete slabs after reaching its strength (after 28 days). Punching failure may happen during construction due to insufficient concrete compressive strength of premature concrete. So, the main objective of this thesis is to study and investigate the early punching resistance of concrete slabs.

Keywords: Slabs, Early punching capacity, Premature concrete, Early-age, Punching shear behavior, Early strength admixture.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1 Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Research Scope and Objectives..... | 2 |
| 1.3 Thesis Outline | 3 |
| 2 Literature Review | 5 |
| 2.1 Punching Shear Behavior of RC Slabs..... | 5 |
| 2.1.1 Introduction..... | 5 |
| 2.1.2 Existing Cases of Punching Failures..... | 6 |
| 2.2 Factors Affecting the punching shear strength of RC Slabs | 9 |
| 2.2.1 Introduction..... | 9 |
| 2.2.2 Concrete Strength..... | 9 |
| 2.2.3 Column to slab aspect ratio..... | 10 |
| 2.2.4 Flexural Reinforcement | 10 |
| 2.2.5 Shear reinforcement | 11 |
| 2.2.6 Boundary conditions | 12 |
| 2.3 Effect of Early-age Concrete Properties and Construction Loads on Slab Serviceability | 12 |
| 2.3.1 Introduction..... | 12 |
| 2.3.2 Design and construction loads | 13 |
| 2.3.3 Material Properties for the Early Age Concrete | 17 |
| 2.4 Symmetric Punching Shear | 24 |
| 2.4.1 Introduction..... | 24 |
| 2.4.2 Test Arrangement..... | 24 |
| 2.4.3 Observation of Behaviour | 24 |
| 2.5 Punching Shear Design in Different Codes | 27 |
| 2.5.1 Introduction..... | 27 |
| 2.5.2 Egyptian code of practice (E.C.P.-2018) | 27 |
| 2.5.3 ACI 318 (2008) | 28 |
| 2.5.4 Eurocode-2 (2003) | 29 |
| 2.5.5 British Standard BS 8110-97 | 29 |
| 3 Experimental Program..... | 37 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 37 |
| 3.2 Objective of the Experimental Program..... | 37 |
| 3.3 Details of Test Specimens | 38 |
| 3.4 Characteristics of used materials..... | 41 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 3.4.1 | Concrete | 41 |
| 3.4.2 | Conventional Reinforcement | 43 |
| 3.5 | Specimens Preparation | 43 |
| 3.6 | Mixing and Curing | 46 |
| 3.7 | Mechanical Properties of Tested Concrete..... | 50 |
| 3.7.1 | Compressive Strength Test | 50 |
| 3.7.2 | Splitting Tensile Strength Test..... | 50 |
| 3.8 | Mechanical Properties of Tested Steel Bars..... | 51 |
| 3.8.1 | Tensile Strength Test | 51 |
| 3.9 | Instrumentation..... | 52 |
| 3.9.1 | The Internal Instrumentation..... | 52 |
| 3.9.2 | The External Instrumentation | 52 |
| 3.10 | Test Setup | 53 |
| 3.10.1 | The Test Setup | 53 |
| 3.10.2 | Loading Mechanism..... | 54 |
| 4 | Experimental Results..... | 56 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 56 |
| 4.2 | Mechanical Properties of Tested Material | 56 |
| 4.2.1 | Compressive Strength Test Results | 56 |
| 4.2.2 | Concrete Splitting Tensile Test Results | 57 |
| 4.2.3 | Steel Tensile Strength Test | 58 |
| 4.3 | Punching Behavior of Tested Slab Specimens..... | 59 |
| 4.3.1 | Failure Loads and Crack patterns for Concrete Slabs..... | 59 |
| 4.3.1.1 | Crack patterns for Group (1)..... | 60 |
| 4.3.1.2 | Crack patterns for Group (2)..... | 62 |
| 4.3.1.3 | Crack patterns for Group (3)..... | 64 |
| 4.3.2 | Load-Deflection Response..... | 66 |
| 4.3.3 | Longitudinal Steel Strain | 70 |
| 5 | Analysis and Discussion..... | 75 |
| 5.1 | Effect of early loading..... | 75 |
| 5.2 | Effect of concrete compressive strength | 77 |
| 5.3 | Effect of early strength admixture..... | 80 |
| 6 | Codes Provisions | 83 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 83 |
| 6.2 | Comparisons Between Different Codes..... | 83 |
| 6.2.1 | EGYPTIAN CODE OF PRACTICE ECP (203-2010)..... | 83 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 6.2.2 | ACI318-14 | 84 |
| 6.2.3 | Eurocode2 | 85 |
| 6.2.4 | BS 8110 – 97..... | 85 |
| 6.3 | Codes comparison summary | 86 |
| 7 | CONCLUSIONS | 88 |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 88 |
| 7.2 | Conclusions | 88 |
| 7.3 | Recommendations for Future Research | 90 |
| 8 | References..... | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| 9 | | 93 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 2-1: Harbor Cay Condominium..... | 8 |
| Figure 2-2: Baybridge Office Plaza | 8 |
| Figure 2-3: Sampoong Department..... | 8 |
| Figure 2-4: Underground Car-Parking, Switzerland..... | 8 |
| Figure 2-5: Jaya Supermarket, Selangor Malaysia | 9 |
| Figure 2-6 Bullock Department Store, USA (1994) | 9 |
| Figure 2-7: Typical Punching Failure (Alaa, G.S. 1996)..... | 30 |
| Figure 2-8: Cracking of symmetrical two-way slab..... | 30 |
| Figure 2-9: Internal transverse strain (Regan 1983) | 31 |
| Figure 2-10: Cross-section View of Slab C1 after failure (Gira 1990) | 31 |
| Figure 2-11: Vertical Deformation of a slab-Moe's (1961) | 32 |
| Figure 2-12: Radial Distributions of Strains in A slab with only Ring Reinforcement (Kinnunen and Nylander (1960) | 32 |
| Figure 2-13: Radial and tangential Strains on the compressed surface of two-way slab (Kinnunen and Nylander (1960) | 33 |
| Figure 2-14: Distribution along a radius of a radial and tangential strains of the compressed surface (Anis 1970)..... | 33 |
| Figure 2-15 : Vertical strains at column face – Moe's (1960) | 34 |
| Figure 2-16: Vertical strains at column faces – vanderbilt (1972) | 34 |
| Figure 2-17: Crack Pattern at Failure for a Typical Test Slab (Marzouk and Hussin 1991). | 35 |
| Figure 2-18: Critical Punching Perimeter Definitions for ACI 318 -08 | 35 |
| Figure 2-19: Critical Punching Perimeter Definitions for Eurocode-2 (2003)..... | 36 |
| Figure 2-20 Critical Punching Perimeter Definitions for BS8110 (1997)..... | 36 |
| Figure 3-1: Schematic flow chart for the experimental program comparisons. | 39 |
| Figure 3-2: Concrete dimensions for all specimens..... | 40 |
| Figure 3-3: Distribution of steel reinforcement bars for all specimens | 40 |
| Figure 3-4: Wooden forms..... | 44 |
| Figure 3-5: Inserting steel cages inside formworks. | 44 |
| Figure 3-6: Installing cloumn above the wooden form..... | 45 |
| Figure 3-7:Positions of LVDT and Strain gauge. | 46 |
| Figure 3-8: Mixing dry materials and water mechanically..... | 47 |
| Figure 3-9: Casting in forms just after mixing..... | 47 |
| Figure 3-10: Smoothing the final concrete surface..... | 48 |
| Figure 3-11: Preparation of concrete cubes and cylinders at the construction site..... | 49 |
| Figure 3-12: Splitting tensile strength test. | 50 |
| Figure 3-13: Test set-up for the tensile strength test..... | 51 |
| Figure 3-14: Test setup | 54 |
| Figure 3-15: Typical slab Set-up..... | 55 |
| Figure 4-1: Average compressive strength development for Normal Strength Concrete..... | 56 |
| Figure 4-2: Average compressive strength development for Early Strength Concrete. | 57 |
| Figure 4-3: Crack Pattern of slab specimen (S1-1)..... | 61 |
| Figure 4-4: Crack Pattern of slab specimen (S1-2)..... | 61 |
| Figure 4-5: Crack Pattern of slab specimen (S1-3)..... | 62 |
| Figure 4-6: Crack Pattern of slab specimen (S2-1)..... | 63 |
| Figure 4-7: Crack Pattern of slab specimen (S2-2)..... | 63 |
| Figure 4-8: Crack Pattern of slab specimen (S3-1)..... | 64 |
| Figure 4-9: Crack Pattern of slab specimen (S3-2)..... | 65 |
| Figure 4-10: Crack Pattern of slab specimen (S3-3)..... | 65 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 4-11: Applied load versus deflection for (S1-1)..... | 66 |
| Figure 4-12: Applied load versus deflection for (S1-2)..... | 67 |
| Figure 4-13: Applied load versus deflection for (S1-3)..... | 67 |
| Figure 4-14: Applied load versus deflection for (S2-1)..... | 68 |
| Figure 4-15: Applied load versus deflection for (S2-2)..... | 68 |
| Figure 4-16: Applied load versus deflection for (S3-1)..... | 69 |
| Figure 4-17: Applied load versus deflection for (S3-2)..... | 69 |
| Figure 4-18: Applied load versus deflection for (S3-3)..... | 70 |
| Figure 4-19: Applied load versus steel strain for (S1-1)..... | 71 |
| Figure 4-20: Applied load versus steel strain for (S1-2)..... | 71 |
| Figure 4-21: Applied load versus steel strain for (S1-3)..... | 72 |
| Figure 4-22: Applied load versus steel strain for (S2-1)..... | 72 |
| Figure 4-23: Applied load versus steel strain for (S2-2)..... | 73 |
| Figure 4-24: Applied load versus steel strain for (S3-1)..... | 73 |
| Figure 4-25: Applied load versus steel strain for (S3-2)..... | 74 |
| Figure 4-26: Applied load versus steel strain for (S3-3)..... | 74 |
| Figure 5-1: Comparison between (S1-1), (S1-2) and (S1-3) in Deflection. | 76 |
| Figure 5-2: Comparison between (S1-1), (S1-2) and (S1-3) in Steel Strain..... | 77 |
| Figure 5-3: Comparison between (S2-1), (S1-3) and (S2-2) in Deflection. | 79 |
| Figure 5-4: Comparison between (S2-1), (S1-3) and (S2-2) in Steel Strain..... | 79 |
| Figure 5-5: Comparison between (S3-1), (S3-2) and (S3-3) in Deflection. | 81 |
| Figure 5-6: Comparison between (S3-1), (S3-2) and (S3-3) in Steel Strai..... | 82 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 3-1: Details of specimens..... | 38 |
| Table 3-2: Material quantities in Kg/m ³ for the NC and ESC specimens..... | 41 |
| Table 4-1: Mechanical properties of all Concrete Slabs..... | 57 |
| Table 4-2: Mechanical properties of tested steel. | 58 |
| Table 4-3: Summary of test results for slab specimens. | 59 |
| Table 6-1: Comparison between experimental results and results calculated by ECP. | 84 |
| Table 6-2: Comparison between experimental results and results calculated by ACI. | 84 |
| Table 6-3: Comparison between experimental results and results calculated by EC2. | 85 |
| Table 6-4: Comparison between experimental results and results calculated by BS8110 - 97. | 86 |
| Table 6-5: Codes Comparison Summary. | 87 |

NOTATION

| | |
|------------|--|
| f_{cu} | Concrete characteristic Strength. |
| q_{cup} | The ultimate punching shear strength for concrete. |
| γ_c | Material Reduction Factor for Concrete. |
| α | Factor depends on the column location. |
| d | Effective slab depth. |
| a, b | Column short and long direction respectively. |
| v | Nominal shear stress. |
| f'_c | Cylindrical concrete compressive strength. |
| β_c | The column aspect ratio. |
| u | The critical punching perimeter. |
| ρ | The reinforcement ratio. |
| F'_c | Specified Compressive Strength of Concrete |
| T_s | Thickness of Slab |
| LVDT | Linear Variable Displacement Transducer |
| E_c | Young's Modulus of Concrete |
| E_s | Young's Modulus of Steel Section |
| EXP. | Experimental |

Chapter One

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

One of the features in design of modern day reinforced concrete buildings is the use of a flat slab cast monolithically with supporting columns. A flat plate is, by definition, a two-way slab structure with a uniform thickness, without beams, drop panels or column capitals. Flat plate structures have properties that are demanded for many types of construction such as underground parking, commercial towers and industrial buildings. The main advantages of this type of construction are low formwork and workmanship cost, less construction duration, high modularity in floor space partitioning and architectural convenience.

One of the practical problems for flat slab construction system is how to avoid punching shear failure at the columns. Punching shear is generally associated with high concentrations of shear forces and bending moments at the column peripheries. In the case of symmetric punching, the ultimate limit state is characterized by the formation of a frustum of a cone having generatrices inclined with respect to the plane of the slab by an angle usually between 22 and 30 degrees. The incorporation of lightweight concrete opens various possibilities concerning the overall weight reduction of multi-story buildings, projects with severe problems in foundation situation or in the case of adding extra floors to existing buildings.

Modern construction techniques enable reinforced concrete structures to be constructed in a very short time. The loads occurring due to the construction process on the partially completed structure can be larger than the design service load. The available strength of the immature partially completed structure is dependent upon the available concrete strength which may be less than the specified strength. Failure would occur if the available strength is less than that required to support the construction loads.

Slabs are usually designed by using the theory of bending and shear. A slab may be loaded prematurely when it has not been allowed to develop its full characteristic strength at the normal 28 days period after casting and with adequate curing procedure following proper mixing and placing of the concrete. When loading is made on a slab prematurely, it will result in misbehavior in service hence, it is necessary to investigate the effect of premature loading

on reinforced concrete slabs in order to avoid such failures as wide cracks, de-bonding, other defects and consequently failure. Premature loading of reinforced concrete members may not be deliberate as we find in the construction industry and site procedures. It occurs most of the time in order to meet project time targets as individual structural elements is not allowed to fully develop their characteristic strength before being loaded. For example, a slab may be used to support the formwork for another floor or other structural elements, or it may be loaded with masonry blocks for walls before being laid.

Since very limited researches has been performed to study early punching behavior, and therefore empirical data is scarce, this research presented herein was aimed at investigating the early punching behavior of concrete slabs.

1.2 Research Scope and Objectives

This research consists of an experimental program conducted to study the effects of different parameters on the punching behavior of concrete slabs . A Total of eight slabs were fabricated and tested to investigate the early punching shear behavior of concrete slabs, in addition to a comparison between different code provisions regarding punching shear capacity . All slabs in the experimental program were tested under one concentrated load to study the effects of early loading on slabs, and different concrete compressive strength, and using an early strength concrete admixture. In addition, the experimental analysis has been refined and modified based on the literature review and previous analysis. Also it was carried out to achieve the main objectives of the research that can be summarized as follows:

- Study and investigate the early punching resistance of concrete slabs under concentric punching load.
- Investigate the punching behavior of concrete slabs with different concrete compressive strength.
- Evaluate the current provisions of the Egyptian code of practice and other international codes related to punching capacity of slab-column connections subjected to concentric punching load.