

The Effect of The Reaction Layer Formed During The Pressing Technique on Microtensile Bond Strength of Resin Cement to Two Lithium Disilicate Materials

A thesis submitted for the partial fulfillment of the Master Degree
requirements in Crown & Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry,

Ain Shams University.

By

Walid Mohamed Gomaa

B.D.Sc.

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Future University.

2012

Faculty of Dentistry,

Ain Shams University

2019

Supervisors:

Dr. Amina Mohamed Hamdy

Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics

Crown & Bridge department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmad Khaled Aboelfadl

Associate Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics

Crown & Bridge department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

2019

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

﴿ قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا ط
إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ ﴾

صدق الله العظيم

(آية ٣٢ سورة البقرة)

Acknowledgment

I express my deepest gratitude to Dr. Amína Mohamed Hamdy Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her constant guidance, support, motivation and untiring help during this work. Her depth knowledge has been extremely beneficial for me.

I express my profound sense of reverence to my supervisor Dr. Ahmad Khaled Aboelfadl Associate Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for the time, support, motivation and guidance, he devoted to me. His high moral ethics was a model for me.

I would like to thank also Ain shams Fixed Prosthodontics staff members for offering me much support, effort throughout the whole work.

Dedication

*I would like to dedicate this
work to my parents and
beloved wife for their support.*

Content

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>Introduction</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Review of literature</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Statement of the problem</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>Aim of the Study</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Materials and Methods</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>Results</i>	<i>64</i>
<i>Discussion</i>	<i>76</i>
<i>Summary</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>Conclusion</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>Recommendations</i>	<i>91</i>
<i>References</i>	<i>92</i>
<i>Arabic Summary</i>	

List of figures

List of Figures

Figure (1): Overview of the proposed classification system of all-ceramic and ceramic-like materials. (Gracis et al, 2015)	6
Figure (2): IPS® e.max Press	29
Figure (3): Rosetta® SP	30
Figure (4): TOTALCEM™ Adhesive Resin Cement automix syringe	31
Figure (5): PORCELAIN ETCHANT™	31
Figure (6): PORCELAIN PRIMER™	32
Figure (7): ALL-BOND UNIVESAL™	33
Figure (8): ChemiCore™	33
Figure (9): Material abrasivo™	34
Figure (10): IPS® e.max Press Invex Liquid™	34
Figure (11): Teflon mold	37
Figure (12): Application of separating medium in the mold	37
Figure (13): Electric Wax device.....	38
Figure (14): Application of wax in the mold.....	38
Figure (15): Wax Flushing with mold edges.....	38
Figure (16): Removal of disc from the mold.....	38
Figure (17): a digital caliper for measuring disc thickness giving 3 mm	39
Figure (18): Wax discs.....	39
Figure (19): attaching sprues to discs.....	40
Figure (20): Attaching sprued discs to ring base after sealing its opening by wax.....	40
Figure (21): Mixing the investment material under vaccum.....	41
Figure (22): Filling the ring with the investment material on the vibrator.....	41
Figure (23): Filling the ring with the investment material on the vibrator.....	42
Figure (24): Positioning of ring gauge and ring was allowed to set	42
Figure (25): Placement of investment ring inside the preheating furnace.....	43
Figure (26): Placement of IPS e.max Press ingot into the hot ring	43
Figure (27): Placement of Rosetta SP ingot into the hot ring	44

List of Figures

Figure (28): Loaded investment ring.....	44
Figure (29): Placement of the loaded investment ring in center of hot press furnace	45
Figure (30): Starting the selected press program.....	45
Figure (31): Placement of the investment ring on a cooling grid to cool after its removal from the press furnace.....	46
Figure (32): Marking the length of the Alox plunger.....	46
Figure (33): Sectioning of the investment ring by a separating disc	47
Figure (34): Rough divestment	47
Figure (35): Fine divestment	47
Figure (36): Immersing the discs in the Invex Liquid for reaction layer removal.....	48
Figure (37): sandblasting by aluminum oxide particles.....	48
Figure (38): Separation of sprues by suitable separating disks.....	49
Figure (39): Smoothing of the sprue attachment point by suitable disks	49
Figure (40): Polishing the discs with suitable polishing disks.....	50
Figure (41): Checking disc thickness by the caliper	50
Figure (42): Sandblasting by aluminum oxide particles	51
Figure (43): Application of HF acid.....	52
Figure (44): Porcelain primer.....	52
Figure (45): Teflon mold for formation of composite discs.....	53
Figure (46): Injection of dual cured core build-up composite inside the teflon mold using the automix tip.....	53
Figure (47): Curing of composite discs to ensure optimal polymerization.....	54
Figure (48): Application of universal bond on ceramic samples.....	54
Figure (49): A teflon mold of 6.1 mm thickness.....	55
Figure (50): Application of the resin cement on the treated surface of the ceramic plate using automix tip.....	55
Figure (51): Placement of fixed load (500 g) above the mold.....	56
Figure (52): Light curing of resin-ceramic block using blue-phase LED unit.....	56
Figure (53): Resin-Ceramic block (6.1 mm thickness).....	56
Figure (54): Resin-Ceramic block fixed on an epoxy resin cylinder.....	57
Figure (55): Resin-Ceramic block vertically sectioned into serial slabs.....	57
Figure (56): The resin-ceramic block rotated 90° to make additional vertical cuts.....	58

List of Figures

Figure (57): Perpendicular cuts in the resin-ceramic block.....	58
Figure (58): A micro-beam of 1mm ² cross-sectional area.....	59
Figure (59): A micro-beam aligned and glued in the central groove of the Geraldeli's jig...	59
Figure (60): Universal testing machine.....	60
Figure (61): Nikon MA 100 stereoscopic japan (50 x magnification).....	61
Figure (62): Cohesive failure.....	61
Figure (63): Adhesive failure.....	61
Figure (64): Mixed failure.....	62
Figure (65): Bar chart showing average Micro-tensile bond strength (Mpa) for different types of ceramic materials.....	66
Figure (66) : Bar chart showing average Micro-tensile bond strength (Mpa) for different surface treatments.....	67
Figure (67): Bar chart showing average Micro-tensile bond strength (Mpa) with and without reaction layer.....	68
Figure (68): Stacked bar chart showing percentage of mode of failure in different ceramic materials.....	69
Figure (69): Pie chart showing percentage of mode of failure in IPS E-Max Press.....	70
Figure (70): Pie chart showing percentage of mode of failure in Rossetta SP.....	70
Figure (71): Stacked bar chart showing percentage of mode of failure in different surface treatments.....	72
Figure (72): Pie chart showing percentage of mode of failure in in samples treated with 60 seconds of etching and 110µm particle size sandblasting.....	72
Figure (73): Pie chart showing percentage of mode of failure in samples treated with 20 seconds of etching and 50µm particle size sandblasting.....	73

List of Figures

Figure (74): Stacked bar chart showing percentage of mode of failure with and without a reaction layer.....	74
Figure (75): Pie chart showing percentage of mode of failure in in samples without reaction layer.....	75
Figure (76): Pie chart showing percentage of mode of failure in in samples with reaction layer.....	75

List of Tables

List of Tables

Table (1): Materials used in this study.....	28
Table (2): Technical data of IPS® e.max Press	29
Table (3): Technical data of Rosetta® SP	30
Table (4): Chemical composition of TOTALCEM™.....	31
Table (5): Chemical composition of IPS® e.max Press Invex Liquid™	34
Table (6): Specimen Grouping	36
Table (7): Descriptive statistics of micro-tensile bond strength (Mpa).....	64
Table (8): Effect of different variables and their interactions on Micro-tensile bond strength (Mpa).....	65
Table (9): Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of micro-tensile bond strength (Mpa) for different ceramic materials.....	66
Table (10): Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of micro-tensile bond strength (Mpa) for different surface treatments.....	67
Table (11): Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of micro-tensile bond strength (Mpa) with and without reaction layer	68
Table (12): Frequencies (n) and Percentages (%) of mode of failure in different ceramic materials.....	69
Table (13): Frequencies (n) and Percentages (%) of mode of failure in different surface treatments.....	71
Table (14): Frequencies (n) and Percentages (%) of mode of failure with and without a reaction layer.....	74

Introduction

Esthetic dentistry is concerned with restoring the natural teeth appearance by direct and indirect means of restoration. It is a very challenging and demanding field of dental science.

In the past few years, esthetic dentistry has witnessed advancement in both dental materials and techniques. The dental ceramics is used routinely in modern dental practices. This is due to the great qualities it offers as a dental restorative material in terms of esthetics, physical and mechanical properties.

Glass ceramics are highly translucent because of the glassy phase present at the grain boundary that forms non-porous structure and the crystals that reduce light scattering. They have higher crystalline content than powder porcelain so they have higher mechanical properties and reduce crack formation. They are durable and can be bonded to tooth structure by resin cements. Their fabrication is easier than that of the traditional ceramics. They don't shrink much after firing. Then, two generations of pressable ceramics were introduced which are *Leucite reinforced glass ceramics* (IPS Empress 1) and *Lithium disilicate glass ceramic* (IPS Empress 2).

Lithium disilicate glass ceramics commonly used type of dental ceramics nowadays in dental practice is used to restore anterior and posterior teeth. It provides high esthetic properties for anterior teeth and high physical and mechanical properties for posterior teeth restorations.

Introduction

The 1st generation is the *IPS Empress2* which was introduced as SiO₂-Li₂O by Ivoclar Vivadent (AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein). The 2nd generation that was also launched by Ivoclar Vivadent is the *IPS e.max*, in which the translucency and the physical properties were improved through different firing process

Nowadays, there are other lithium disilicate ceramics in the market as Rosetta SP press and Rosetta SM .

Lithium disilicate materials are supplied in two forms, pressing type and milling CAD/CAM type. The pressed lithium disilicate ceramics enable ceramic restorations with thin marginal thickness and a slight higher strength compared to milled ceramics .This is considered a huge advantage for the fabrication of very thin types of restorations.

Dental ceramics are used in wide range of fixed dental restorations such as, laminate veneers, inlays, onlays, crowns, endocrowns, crowneers, crowns and bridges .The smile makeover and dental smile designs required thin type of dental restorations. These restorations may require a minimal or no reductions to the natural tooth structure.

These restorations depend on the adhesiveness to the natural tooth structure therefore dental markets supplies many types of adhesive materials such as light cure resin cement, dual cure resin cement and self cure resin cement.

Unfortunately, the pressing type technique is accompanied by a phenomenon known as the reaction layer. Some manufacturers

Introduction

claimed that their lithium disilicate glass ceramics has a least reaction layer.

Review of Literature

Review of Literature

It's out of discussion that ceramic restorations are the treatment of choice in cases that requires esthetic considerations. This led to a continuous modification in their composition, microstructure and processing in order to achieve a satisfactory level of clinical performance¹.

Dental Ceramics

In the past decades, ceramic materials improvement has paced significantly and their usage have been more abundant. It was due to their biological compatibility, translucency, fluorescence and chemical stability. They also have a coefficient of thermo-linear expansion close to dental composition, as well as compression and abrasion resistance. These properties enable it to perfectly mimic the appearance of natural teeth.².

Several definitions have been considered to describe and define ceramics. The traditional definition refers to the term *ceramic* as any product made from a nonmetallic inorganic material usually by firing at a high temperature to achieve desirable properties. The more limiting term *porcelain* points to a specific compositional range of ceramic materials originally made by mixing kaolin (hydrated aluminosilicate), quartz (silica), in addition to feldspars (potassium and sodium aluminosilicates), and firing at high temperature^{3 4 5}. On the other hand, the 2013 version of the ADA Code on Dental Procedures and Nomenclature⁶ defines the term porcelain/ceramic as pressed, fired, polished, or milled materials containing predominantly inorganic