

**“Surface Roughness, Mineral Content and  
Color Change of Resin Infiltrated and  
Remineralized Artificial Carious Enamel  
Lesions: An in Vitro Study“**

Thesis Submitted to Operative Dentistry Department, Faculty of  
Dentistry, Ain Shams University  
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for Doctor's Degree in  
Operative Dentistry

**By**

**Sarah Muhammad Muhammad Abdelmoniem**

B.D.S. 2009

M.Sc. 2014

Ass. Lecturer at Operative Dentistry Department,  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

**2019**

# **Supervisors**

## **Dr. Omailma Hassan Ghallab**

Professor and Head of Operative Dentistry Department  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

## **Dr. Zainab Mohammad Diaa El-Din Solaiman**

Lecturer at Operative Dentistry Department  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

## **Dr. Mohammad Nasser Mohammad Anwar**

Lecturer at Operative Dentistry Department  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

# Acknowledgment

First and last, I would like to express my endless gratitude to the Almighty **ALLAH**. I will always feel so tiny in front of all what you have given to me in my life. However, getting this thesis out to light required the support and encouragement of many people whom I would like to personally acknowledge. First, I would like to extend my gratefulness to my supervisors:

**Dr. Omaila Hassan Ghallab**, Professor and Head of Operative Dentistry Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her non ending support, patience, encouraging words and extreme dedication to work. You are the one who never let anybody down.

**Dr. Zainab Diaa Aldeen**, Lecturer of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her valuable guidance, patience and support in the most time needed.

**Dr. Muhammed Nasser**, Lecturer of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his time, endless knowledge and continuous education.

# **Dedicated to**

## **My Mother**

Who always inspires my life with her life journey and fills mine with support and affection. You are and will always be my icon.

## **My Father**

Who is always standing in my back with his prayers and love. You and Mum were the very softly pushing motive to end this up.

## **Tariq, Yahia and Muhammad**

The love of my life, I will never forget time passed not feeling me around you, for this piece of work to come out to light. It wouldn't have been done without your love and containment. A thanks is not enough for your support.

## **Mother, Father in law and Yasmine**

Since the journey started, you have always surrounded me with your prayers. Your encouraging words were always a motive for me to keep going on and never stop. Thanks for your real love.

# **List of Contents**

List of Tables.....	ii
List of Figures.....	iv
List of Abbreviations.....	viii
Introduction.....	1
Review of Literature.....	3
Aim of the Study.....	45
Materials and Methods.....	46
Results.....	65
Discussion.....	96
Summary and Conclusions .....	113
References.....	115
Arabic Summary	

# List of Tables

<b>Table (1):</b> Materials' manufacturers, composition and their lot numbers...	46
<b>Table (2):</b> Experimental factors of the study.....	48
<b>Table (3):</b> Interaction between experimental variables.....	48
<b>Table (4):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) values of surface roughness (Ra) for different lesion depths at control, demineralization and treatment.....	66
<b>Table (5):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of surface roughness (Ra) for different treatment subgroups at control, demineralization and treatment.....	68
<b>Table (6):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) values of surface roughness (Ra) for each treatment protocol within each lesion depth at control, demineralization and treatment.....	70
<b>Table (7):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) values of calcium content (atomic wt %) for different lesion depths at control, demineralization and treatment.....	72
<b>Table (8):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) values of calcium content (atomic wt %) for different treatment subgroups at control, demineralization and treatment.....	74
<b>Table (9):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of calcium content (atomic wt %) for the effect of each treatment protocol within each lesion depth at control, demineralization and treatment.....	76

<b>Table (10):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) values of phosphate content (atomic wt %) for different lesion depths at control, demineralization and treatment.....	78
<b>Table (11):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) values of phosphate content (atomic wt %) for different treatment subgroups at control, demineralization and treatment.....	80
<b>Table (12):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of phosphate content (atomic wt %) for the effect of each treatment protocol within each lesion depth at control, demineralization and treatment.....	82
<b>Table (13):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) values of color change ( $\Delta E$ ) for different lesion depths at $\Delta E_1$ , $\Delta E_2$ and $\Delta E_3$ .....	84
<b>Table (14):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) values of color change ( $\Delta E$ ) for different treatment subgroups at $\Delta E_1$ , $\Delta E_2$ and $\Delta E_3$ .....	85
<b>Table (15):</b> Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of color change ( $\Delta E$ ) for the effect of each treatment protocol within each lesion depth at $\Delta E_1$ , $\Delta E_2$ and $\Delta E_3$ .....	87

# List of Figures

<b>Figure (1):</b> Flow chart showing sequential steps of specimens grouping and preparation.....	49
<b>Figure (2):</b> (a) Sectioning of teeth bucco-palataly using a low speed disc under coolant, (b) Two specimens prepared from each tooth.....	50
<b>Figure (3):</b> Nail varnish covering the whole sample, except the window area 4x 4 mm <sup>2</sup> .....	51
<b>Figure (4):</b> (a) Representative specimen in G A immersed in a demineralizing solution. (b) Representative specimen in G B immersed in a demineralizing solution.....	53
<b>Figure (5):</b> (a) Representative specimen in G A after 96 h of demineralization without change of solution. (b) Representative Specimen in G B after 96 h of demineralization with every 12 h change of the solution.....	53
<b>Figure (6):</b> (a) Application of Icon -Etch for 2 min, (b) Application of Icon-Dry for 1 min, (c) Application of Icon-Infiltrant for 3 min, (d) Light curing of Icon-Infiltrant for 40 s, (e) Polishing of resin infiltrated surface of the window area.....	55
<b>Figure (7):</b> (a) 1part remineralizing agent: 3 parts artificial saliva, (b) Application of remineralizing agent slurry on the window area.....	56
<b>Figure (8):</b> The contact stylus profilometer.....	58
<b>Figure (9):</b> Specimens placed in a Blu-Tack filled mold for surface roughness measurement.....	58



<b>Figure (10):</b> ESEM Model Quanta 250 FEG attached to EDX unit.....	59
<b>Figure (11):</b> Specimens fixed to a glass slide for ESEM-EDX examination.....	60
<b>Figure (12):</b> Demonstrative elemental analysis for calcium and phosphate atomic wt % for a representative specimen.....	61
<b>Figure (13):</b> (a) Cary 5000 Spectrophotometer, (b) Specimens placed over the reflectance port.....	62
<b>Figure (14):</b> Dino Lite digital microscope.....	63
<b>Figure (15):</b> Bar chart showing average surface roughness (Ra) for different lesion depths at control, demineralization and treatment.....	66
<b>Figure (16):</b> Bar chart showing average surface roughness (Ra) for different treatment subgroups at control, demineralization and treatment.....	68
<b>Figure (17):</b> Bar chart showing average surface roughness (Ra) for each treatment protocol within each lesion depth at control, demineralization and treatment.....	71
<b>Figure (18):</b> Bar chart showing average calcium content (atomic wt %) for different lesion depths at control, demineralization and treatment.....	73
<b>Figure (19):</b> Bar chart showing average calcium content (atomic wt %) for different treatment subgroups at control, demineralization and treatment.....	75
<b>Figure (20):</b> Bar chart showing average calcium content (atomic wt %) for the effect of each treatment protocol within each lesion depth at control, demineralization and treatment.....	77
<b>Figure (21):</b> Bar chart showing average phosphate content (atomic wt %) for different lesion depths at control, at demineralization and at treatment.....	79

<b>Figure (22):</b> Bar chart showing average phosphate content (atomic wt %) for different treatment subgroups at control, demineralization and treatment.....	81
<b>Figure (23):</b> Bar chart showing average phosphate content (atomic wt %) for the effect of each treatment protocol within each lesion depth at control, demineralization and treatment.....	83
<b>Figure (24):</b> Bar chart showing average color change ( $\Delta E$ ) for different lesion depths at control, at demineralization and at treatment for different groups..	84
<b>Figure (25):</b> Bar chart showing average color change ( $\Delta E$ ) for different treatment subgroups at control, at demineralization and at treatment for different groups.....	86
<b>Figure (26):</b> Bar chart showing average phosphate content for the effect of each treatment protocol within each lesion depth at control, at demineralization and at treatment.....	88
<b>Figures (27 a-d):</b> ESEM microphotograph for representative specimens of ICDAS I and II score groups at control. a. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS I score at control. b. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS II score at control.....	89
<b>Figures (28 a-d):</b> ESEM microphotograph for representative specimens of ICDAS I and II score groups at demineralization. a. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS I score at demineralization. b. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS II score at demineralization.....	90

**Figures (29 a-d):** ESEM microphotograph in RI subgroup of ICDAS I and II score groups. a. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS I score after RI treatment. b. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS II score after RI treatment.....91

**Figures (30 a-d):** ESEM microphotograph for randomly selected specimens in MI P subgroup of ICDAS I and II score groups. a. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS I score after MI P treatment. b. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS II score after MI P treatment.....92

**Figures (31 a-d):** ESEM microphotograph for randomly selected specimens in MI subgroup of ICDAS I and II score groups. a. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS I score after MI treatment. b. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS II score after MI treatment.....93

**Figures (32 a-d):** ESEM microphotograph for randomly selected specimens in MI P+RI subgroup of ICDAS I and II score groups. a. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS I score after MI P + RI treatment. b. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS II score after MI P + RI treatment.....94

**Figures (33 a-d):** ESEM microphotograph for randomly selected specimens in MI +RI subgroup of ICDAS I and II score groups. a. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS I score after MI + RI treatment. b. ESEM microphotograph for representative specimen of ICDAS II score after MI + RI treatment.....95

## **List of Abbreviations**

1. Fluoride = F.
2. Casein phosphopeptide amorphous calcium phosphate = CPP-ACP.
3. Volume = vol.
4. Weight = wt.
5. Micrometer =  $\mu\text{m}$ .
6. Millimeter = mm.
7. Hydroxyapatite = HAP.
8. White spot lesion = WSL.
9. Refractive index = RI.
10. International Caries Detection and Assessment System = ICDAS.
11. Fibre-optic transillumination = FOTI.
12. Digital version of fibre-optic transillumination = DIFOTI.
13. Electronic caries monitor = ECM.
14. Quantitative light-induced fluorescence = QLF.
15. Nanometer = nm.
16. Scanning electron microscope = SEM.
17. Deionized water = DW.
18. Amorphous calcium fluoride phosphate = ACFP.
19. Microcomputed tomography =  $\mu\text{CT}$ .
20. Hydrochloric = HCL.
21. Minute = min.
22. Second = s.
23. Atomic force microscopy = AFM.
24. Energy dispersive X-ray analysis = EDX.
25. Transverse microradiography = TMR.

Permanent teeth are created with natural defensive mechanisms enabling them to withstand a variety of challenges and last forever. The main intrinsic defense line is its outer enamel covering, the hardest tissue in human body. Unfortunately, enamel can lose its integrity because of many chemical, mechanical and physical challenges in its environment, inducing imbalance between remineralization and demineralization, while in function <sup>(15)</sup>.

Early signs of enamel demineralization can take place as early as two weeks after biofilm accumulation on enamel surface, when enamel starts to lose its translucency and look more whitish, being so called white spot lesion (WSL) <sup>(1-5)</sup>. Enamel starts to lose its unique characteristics regarding the shape, surface structure, esthetic properties or even lead to its total loss. Any change in the appearance of teeth in the visible areas usually has an impact on patients considering self-satisfaction, self-esteem and psychological status with their esthetic impairment <sup>(15,16)</sup>.

As in all medicine fields, a growing shift of focus is now dedicated to the more preventive treatment options that facilitates the systematic respect of the original tissue, ensuring carious lesions treatments on a high medical and esthetic level with minimal tissue loss. Due to the reversible nature of some white spots, less invasive treatment options like remineralization and resin infiltration should be applied, where the practical use of the presented materials will guarantee saving hard tooth structure as possible <sup>(1-4)</sup>. So, proper diagnosis of carious lesions according to its depth and causes, with complete awareness of the treatment protocols and their prognosis are the most critical ways in decision making and management of caries in each patient. So, the expected retreatment cycle associated with the conventional restorative dentistry, can be avoided <sup>(15,53)</sup>.

Different remineralizing agents using fluoride (F) or casein phosphopeptide amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP) proved their efficacy being cariostatic and preventing further lesion development, so, protecting enamel from losing its normal mineral content, translucency and surface texture. However, their success in deeper enamel lesion scores of the International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS) is not well guaranteed, beside the uncertainty about the esthetics improvement <sup>(44,52)</sup>.

Resin infiltration concept has gained so much fame, based on the caries infiltration philosophy aiming at obturating the paths for demineralizing acids and mechanical strengthening of the porous lesion too <sup>(1,44)</sup>. As a positive side effect, opaque enamel lesions lose their chalky white color and regain the genuine enamel translucency <sup>(53)</sup>. Results of clinical masking of WSLs with resin infiltration protocol are reported to be very acceptable. Still, some lesions respond only partly or not at all to the treatment according to the lesion depth <sup>(44,52)</sup>.

It is challenging to precisely inform the concerned patients about the outcome of their WSLs' managements. So, it's no wonder that the need for a sensible management protocol treating WSLs is very crucial. Research has well defined the different lines of treatment that unfortunately sacrifice more tooth structure. Still, exact treatment guidelines using different remineralizing agents and/or resin infiltration in different lesion depths, and their influence on surface roughness, mineral content and color change, are still lacking.

Accordingly, this research was conducted to enlighten our look towards the use of resin infiltration and CPP-ACP based remineralizing agents, F and non-F containing, on different lesion depths of WSLs.

